ORIGINAL ARTICLE – THORACIC ONCOLOGY

Prognostic significance of neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in locally advanced non-

small cell lung cancer treated with trimodality therapy

Shimpei Tsudaka, MD¹, Hiromasa Yamamoto, MD, PhD^{*1,5}, Hiroki Sato, MD, PhD¹, Kuniaki Katsui, MD, PhD^{2,6}, Ken Suzawa, MD, PhD^{1,5}, Kazuhiko Shien, MD, PhD^{1,5}, Kentaroh Miyoshi, MD, PhD^{1,5}, Shinji Otani, MD, PhD^{1,5}, Mikio Okazaki, MD, PhD^{1,5}, Seiichiro Sugimoto, MD, PhD^{1,8}, Masaomi Yamane, MD, PhD^{1,5}, Katsuyuki Kiura, MD, PhD^{3,7}, Susumu Kanazawa, MD, PhD^{4,6}, and Shinichi Toyooka, MD, PhD^{1,5}

Departments of ¹General Thoracic Surgery and Breast and Endocrinological Surgery, ²Proton Beam Therapy, ³Hematology, Oncology and Respiratory Medicine and ⁴Radiology, Okayama University Graduate School of Medicine, Dentistry and Pharmaceutical Sciences, 2-5-1 Shikata-cho, Kita-ku, Okayama 700-8558, Japan

Departments of ⁵Thoracic Surgery, ⁶Radiology and ⁷Allergy and Respiratory Medicine, Okayama University Hospital, 2-5-1 Shikata-cho, Kita-ku, Okayama 700-8558, Japan

⁸Organ Transplant Center, Okayama University Hospital, 2-5-1 Shikata-cho, Kita-ku, Okayama 700-8558, Japan

Running head: Prognostic impact of NLR in LA-NSCLC

Conflict of Interest: The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

*Corresponding Author: Hiromasa Yamamoto, MD, PhD, Department of Thoracic Surgery,

Okayama University Hospital, 2-5-1 Shikata-cho, Kita-ku, Okayama 700-8558, Japan

TEL: +81-86-235-7265, FAX: +81-86-235-7269, E-mail: <u>h.yamamoto@md.okayama-u.ac.jp</u>

Funding: This work was supported by a Management Expenses Grant for National University Corporations in Japan.

1 Synopsis

- 2 We evaluated the prognostic impact of postoperative NLR in LA-NSCLC patients treated with
- 3 trimodality therapy. The high postoperative NLR value was not only an independent
- 4 unfavorable prognostic factor, but also a promising indicator for the postoperative treatment.

1 Abstract

| 2 | Purpose: Current evidence suggests that the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) is a |
|----------------------|--|
| 3 | prognostic factor in several types of cancer. In this study, we aimed to evaluate the prognostic |
| 4 | impact of clinicopathological factors, including postoperative NLR, in patients with locally |
| 5 | advanced non-small cell lung cancer (LA-NSCLC) who underwent surgery after |
| 6 | chemoradiotherapy (CRT) with or without postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy. |
| 7 | Methods: The medical records of LA-NSCLC patients treated with trimodality therapy at our |
| 8 | institution between June 1999 and May 2019 were reviewed. The association between several |
| 9 | clinicopathological factors and overall survival (OS) was analyzed. |
| | |
| 10 | Results: A total of 168 patients were included in this study. Regarding the prognosis, 5-year |
| 10 11 | Results: A total of 168 patients were included in this study. Regarding the prognosis, 5-year OS rate was 68.1%, and 2-year recurrence-free survival rate was 66.1% in the entire |
| | |
| 11 | OS rate was 68.1%, and 2-year recurrence-free survival rate was 66.1% in the entire |
| 11 12 | OS rate was 68.1%, and 2-year recurrence-free survival rate was 66.1% in the entire population. In multivariate analysis, we identified that high postoperative NLR, not |
| 11 12 13 | OS rate was 68.1%, and 2-year recurrence-free survival rate was 66.1% in the entire population. In multivariate analysis, we identified that high postoperative NLR, not pretreatment or preoperative NLR, was one of the independent factors for unfavorable OS |
| 11 12 13 14 | OS rate was 68.1%, and 2-year recurrence-free survival rate was 66.1% in the entire population. In multivariate analysis, we identified that high postoperative NLR, not pretreatment or preoperative NLR, was one of the independent factors for unfavorable OS (NLR high vs. NLR low; hazard ratio = 2.45, 95% confidence interval: 1.53-3.94, $p < 0.001$). In |

- 1 on the prognosis in patients with low NLR (p = 0.19).
- Conclusions: Our results suggest that the high postoperative NLR was not only an
 independent unfavorable prognostic factor in patients with LA-NSCLC who were treated with
 trimodality therapy, but also a promising indicator for the postoperative treatment in this
 population.
- 6
- 7

1 Introduction

| 2 | Lung cancer remains the leading cause of cancer-related death worldwide. ^{1,2} |
|----|--|
| 3 | Approximately 20 to 25% of patients with lung cancer present with locally advanced disease, |
| 4 | and concurrent chemoradiotherapy (CRT) is the standard therapy for the patients with |
| 5 | unresectable stage IIIA or IIIB non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). ³ In recent years, immune- |
| 6 | checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) have also been used as the standard of care for advanced NSCLC. |
| 7 | The combination of chemotherapy with ICIs has demonstrated the clear superiority compared |
| 8 | with chemotherapy alone. ⁴ Clinical trials are currently being conducted to demonstrate the |
| 9 | efficacy of preoperative induction chemotherapy combined with ICI compared to chemotherapy |
| 10 | alone (NCT03800134 and NCT03456063). In addition to these therapeutic strategies, surgical |
| 11 | resection after the induction therapy is one of the possible options for locally advanced (LA)- |
| 12 | NSCLC, and is mainly performed by experienced institutions. The rationale for induction |
| 13 | treatment in patients with LA-NSCLC is to allow complete surgical resection by reducing the |
| 14 | number of cancer cells in the primary tumor and metastatic local lymph nodes, and eradicating |
| 15 | possible micrometastases. ⁵ In fact, cancer cells may still remain microscopically, even if the |
| 16 | complete response seemed to be obtained radiographically after induction CRT. Thus, we |
| 17 | consider that surgery after induction CRT is essential. Based on this idea, we have performed |

| 1 | trimodality therapy (CRT followed by surgery with or without postoperative adjuvant |
|----|--|
| 2 | chemotherapy) for LA-NSCLC and reported the feasibility and favorable prognosis with |
| 3 | moderate, but acceptable toxicities. ⁶⁻⁸ Recently, phase II study of a quadruple-modality therapy |
| 4 | (induction chemoradiation followed by surgery with perioperative immunotherapy) for |
| 5 | resectable stage discrete N2 IIIA-B NSCLC has been started in Japan (JapicCTI-195069). |
| 6 | Inflammation is an important feature of tumor microenvironment and associated with poor |
| 7 | prognosis of various types of tumor. ⁹ Hematological inflammatory parameters such as |
| 8 | neutrophil, lymphocyte, monocytes, and platelets can reflect the immune status and have |
| 9 | important predictive value for the prognosis of tumors. ^{10,11} Neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio (NLR), |
| 10 | a marker of systemic inflammation, is one of the indicators of systemic inflammation and has |
| 11 | received considerable interest recently because it is simple and convenient. ¹² Several studies |
| 12 | have reported that pretreatment NLR value was correlated with the prognosis of various |
| 13 | malignancies. ¹³⁻¹⁶ With regard to lung cancer, Jin and colleagues have reported that |
| 14 | postoperative NLR and the changes in NLR during the treatments could predict the survival in |
| 15 | patients undergoing complete resection of stage I NSCLC. ¹⁷ However, the prognostic impact |
| 16 | of NLR in LA-NSCLC is still unclear. In this study, we retrospectively assessed the clinical |
| 17 | course of LA-NSCLC patients who underwent surgical resection after CRT with or without |

postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy, and evaluated the clinicopathological factors which had
the impact on prognosis to identify the patients who might best benefit from trimodality therapy.

4 Methods

5 **Patient selection and evaluation**

6 This retrospective study was approved by Ethics Committee, Okayama University 7 Graduate School of Medicine, Dentistry and Pharmaceutical Sciences and Okayama 8 University Hospital, Okayama, Japan (approval number: Eki1055) and written informed 9 consent was waived. A total of 168 patients with LA-NSCLC who underwent surgery after CRT 10 with or without adjuvant chemotherapy at Okayama University Hospital, Okayama, Japan 11 between June 1999 and May 2019 were enrolled in this study. The International Association 12 of the Study of Lung Cancer TNM staging system for NSCLC (eighth edition) was used to 13 determine the disease stage and nodal location.¹⁸ Even in the cases classified by the former 14 edition, we re-classified them using the current edition. The clinical stage was determined 15 using bronchoscopy, computed tomography (CT) of the chest and abdomen, magnetic 16 resonance imaging of the brain, and a radionuclide bone scan or 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose 17 positron emission tomography-CT.

1

2 Trimodality therapy

| 3 | While several chemotherapeutic regimens were applied, cisplatin (CDDP) and |
|----|--|
| 4 | docetaxel (DOC) plus concurrent thoracic radiation with total dose of 40 or 46 Gy was generally |
| 5 | used as the basic regimen for the preoperative CRT in our institution, as described previously. ⁷ |
| 6 | S1-tegafur-oxonate combination was generally selected for the patients who were older than |
| 7 | 75 years of age. After receiving a radiation dose of around 40 Gy, the patients were restaged |
| 8 | according to the image analysis. The radiologic response was assessed using the Eastern |
| 9 | Cooperative Oncology Group criteria and was classified as a complete response (CR), partial |
| 10 | response (PR), stable disease (SD), or progressive disease (PD). Patients without |
| 11 | unresectable lesions were scheduled to undergo surgery within 6 weeks of the completion of |
| 12 | preoperative CRT. For poorly responding patients, an additional radiation dose of up to 14 or |
| 13 | 20 Gy was administered to the boost volume. The detail of irradiated field for the thorax was |
| 14 | previously described. ^{7,8} The surgical procedure was determined according to the disease |
| 15 | extent, and included lobectomy, bilobectomy or pneumonectomy with complete ipsilateral |
| 16 | mediastinal and subcarinal nodal dissection. Resection with reconstruction of the bronchus, |
| 17 | chest wall, and/or major vessels was performed where necessary. Whereas the postoperative |

| 1 | treatment was left to the physician's discretion, adjuvant chemotherapy was administered in |
|----|--|
| 2 | as many cases as possible if the medical condition was acceptable. The regimen was |
| 3 | determined generally based on the pathological response to CRT, i.e., the same or similar |
| 4 | regimen to preoperative chemotherapeutic regimen was selected in the case the effective |
| 5 | response to preoperative CRT was observed. |
| 6 | |
| 7 | Data collection |
| 8 | The data collected from all of the patient medical records included the following: age, |
| 9 | sex, smoking history, histology, clinical stage, treatment course, pathological response to CRT |
| 10 | and pretreatment, preoperative, and postoperative NLR. The pathological response to the |
| 11 | preoperative CRT was classified into three categories, pathological complete response, |
| 12 | pathological major response, and pathological minor response. ¹⁹ The NLR was defined as the |
| 13 | absolute neutrophil count divided by the absolute lymphocyte count. Postoperative NLR value |
| 14 | was calculated based on the result of blood test within 1 month after discharge. We defined |
| 15 | the difference between pretreatment and preoperative NLR as δ 1-NLR, pretreatment and |
| 16 | postoperative NLR as $\delta 2\text{-NLR},$ preoperative and postoperative NLR as $\delta 3\text{-NLR}.$ All the |
| 17 | patients were observed either until death or May 1, 2020. |

1

2 Statistical analyses

| 3 | All statistical analyses were performed using EZR version 1.41.1 (Saitama Medical |
|----|---|
| 4 | Center, Jichi Medical University, Saitama, Japan), which is a graphical user interface for R |
| 5 | version 4.0.0 (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). ²⁰ To determine the |
| 6 | optimal cutoff value for each NLR and δ -NLR, a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve |
| 7 | was generated, and the cutoff value was defined as the point on the curve that was closest to |
| 8 | the upper left corner of the plot. The overall survival (OS) and recurrence-free survival (RFS) |
| 9 | rates were calculated from the starting date of preoperative CRT to the date of death or the |
| 10 | last follow-up for OS and to the date of confirmed disease relapse for RFS. The survival curves |
| 11 | were estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method and compared using the log-rank test. χ^2 tests |
| 12 | were applied to compare the ratio between the two groups. Cox proportional hazard model |
| 13 | was used for multivariate analysis. A value of $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant. |
| 14 | |

15 **Results**

16 *Patient characteristics*

17 We reviewed the medical record of 168 patients with LA-NSCLC who underwent lung

| 1 | resection after CRT with or without postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy. Patient |
|----------------|---|
| 2 | characteristics are summarized in Table 1. With regard to the clinical stage (c-stage) before |
| 3 | treatment, approximately 90% of the patients was diagnosed as having c-stage IIIA or higher. |
| 4 | Three patients with c-stage IVA were included because reevaluation after CRT showed the |
| 5 | improvement to operable status. The cN2 and cN3 statuses were pathologically confirmed in |
| 6 | 59 of the 107 patients and 7 of the 12 patients, respectively, using either mediastinoscopy or |
| 7 | endobronchial ultrasound before treatment initiation. |
| 8 | |
| 9 | Trimodality intervention |
| 10 | Detailed information of trimodality therapy is shown in Table 2 . Two courses of CDDP |
| | |
| 11 | + DOC with 46 Gy radiation dose was the most common preoperative treatment and 142 |
| 11 12 | + DOC with 46 Gy radiation dose was the most common preoperative treatment and 142 patients (84.5%) completed preoperative CRT. On the other hand, CRT was not completed in |
| | |
| 12 | patients (84.5%) completed preoperative CRT. On the other hand, CRT was not completed in |
| 12 13 | patients (84.5%) completed preoperative CRT. On the other hand, CRT was not completed in 26 patients because of the severe toxicity or allergic reaction. With regard to the surgical |
| 12 13 14 | patients (84.5%) completed preoperative CRT. On the other hand, CRT was not completed in 26 patients because of the severe toxicity or allergic reaction. With regard to the surgical procedures, 3 of 168 patients exhibited incomplete tumor resection with a microscopically |

| 1 | shown in Supplementary Table 1. Pretreatment, preoperative, and postoperative NLR in each |
|----------------------------|---|
| 2 | patient were calculated from the results of blood tests performed within the median of 6 days |
| 3 | (range, 0 to 157) before CRT, median of 5 days (range, 0 to 34) before surgery, and median of |
| 4 | 31 days (range, 10 to 221) after surgery, respectively. With regard to the adjuvant therapy, 77 |
| 5 | patients (45.8%) underwent postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy. They included two of three |
| 6 | patients with incomplete resection and 21 of 39 patients (53.8 %) with minor response to |
| 7 | preoperative CRT. |
| 8 | |
| | |
| 9 | ROC curve analyses |
| 9 10 | <i>ROC curve analyses</i> We performed ROC curve analyses to search appropriate cutoff values for each NLR. |
| | |
| 10 | We performed ROC curve analyses to search appropriate cutoff values for each NLR. |
| 10 11 | We performed ROC curve analyses to search appropriate cutoff values for each NLR. The cutoff value was 1.84 for pretreatment NLR, with an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.536. |
| 10 11 12 | We performed ROC curve analyses to search appropriate cutoff values for each NLR. The cutoff value was 1.84 for pretreatment NLR, with an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.536. Pretreatment NLR had a sensitivity of 75.7% and a specificity of 37.2% for predicting OS. The |
| 10 11 12 13 | We performed ROC curve analyses to search appropriate cutoff values for each NLR. The cutoff value was 1.84 for pretreatment NLR, with an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.536. Pretreatment NLR had a sensitivity of 75.7% and a specificity of 37.2% for predicting OS. The best cutoff values for preoperative and postoperative NLR were 4.81 and 4.06, respectively, |
| 10 11 12 13 14 | We performed ROC curve analyses to search appropriate cutoff values for each NLR. The cutoff value was 1.84 for pretreatment NLR, with an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.536. Pretreatment NLR had a sensitivity of 75.7% and a specificity of 37.2% for predicting OS. The best cutoff values for preoperative and postoperative NLR were 4.81 and 4.06, respectively, with AUCs of 0.544 (sensitivity: 17.6%, specificity: 93.6%) and 0.652 (sensitivity: 56.8%, |

1

2 Survival analyses

| 3 | The median follow-up period was 63 months (range, 5 to 252). Fifty-two patients died |
|----|--|
| 4 | of NSCLC and 22 patients died of other causes. The disease relapse patterns were classified |
| 5 | as locoregional sites (surgical margin, intrapulmonary, regional lymph node, and pleural cavity) |
| 6 | and distant sites. Disease relapse was observed in 73 patients, with a locoregional relapse |
| 7 | alone in 12 patients, a distant relapse alone in 45 patients, and both locoregional and distant |
| 8 | relapse in 16 patients at the time of initial diagnosis of relapse. Five-year OS and 2-year RFS |
| 9 | rates for the entire population were 68.1% and 66.1%, respectively (Figure 1a). To determine |
| 10 | which patients were more likely to benefit from trimodality therapy, the association between |
| 11 | clinicopathological factors and OS was examined using univariate analysis (Table 3). As |
| 12 | expected, the 5-year OS rate in patients with major or CR to preoperative CRT was significantly |
| 13 | better than that in patients with minor response ($p = 0.0020$), which was concordant with the |
| 14 | previous studies. ²¹ The survival curves stratified by pathological response to CRT are shown |
| 15 | in Figure 1b. On the other hand, there was no significant difference in OS between patients |
| 16 | with clinical stage IIB and IIIA or higher and those with N2-N3 and N0-N1 ($p = 0.84$ and $p =$ |
| 17 | 0.91, respectively). It may suggest that induction CRT was more effective to the patients with |

| 1 | advanced stage, that is, it was more beneficial to the patients with stage IIIA or higher than |
|----|---|
| 2 | those with stage IIB. Of note, the 5-year OS in patients with preoperative NLR \ge 4.81 was |
| 3 | significantly worse than that in patients with postoperative NLR < 4.81 ($p = 0.026$) and the 5- |
| 4 | year OS in patients with postoperative NLR \geq 4.06 was significantly worse than that in patients |
| 5 | with postoperative NLR < 4.06 (p < 0.001) (Figure 1c). The 5-year OS in patients with δ 2-NLR |
| 6 | \geq 2.40 was significantly worse than that in patients with $\delta 2\text{-NLR}$ < 2.40 (p = 0.0038) and the 5- |
| 7 | year OS in patients with δ 3-NLR ≥ 2.21 was significantly worse than that in patients with δ 3- |
| 8 | NLR < 2.21 (p = 0.0073). In addition, the 5-year OS rate in patients who underwent |
| 9 | postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy was significantly better than that in patients who did not |
| 10 | undergo postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy ($p = 0.0053$). We subsequently performed |
| 11 | multivariate analysis to determine the factors significant for OS. As indicated in Table 4, |
| 12 | pathological response to preoperative CRT, postoperative NLR, and postoperative adjuvant |
| 13 | chemotherapy were significantly associated with OS ($p < 0.001$, $p < 0.001$, and $p = 0.0010$, |
| 14 | respectively) indicating that these were independent prognostic factors for patients with LA- |
| 15 | NSCLC treated by trimodality therapy. These results prompted us to focus on the significance |
| 16 | of postoperative NLR and its relationship with postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy |
| 17 | |

1 Significance of postoperative NLR

| 2 | To gain insights into the clinical implications of postoperative NLR, we classified the |
|----|--|
| 3 | patients in this cohort into two groups based on the postoperative NLR cutoff value of 4.06, |
| 4 | and analyzed the relationship between postoperative NLR and clinicopathologic factors using |
| 5 | χ^2 test. As a result, clinicopathologic factors, including age, sex, smoking history, histological |
| 6 | subtypes, clinical stage, nodal status, emphysematous change, completion of CRT, tumor |
| 7 | location, surgical procedure, operation time, blood loss and postoperative complications, were |
| 8 | not significantly associated with postoperative NLR, indicating that those factors had no impact |
| 9 | on postoperative NLR (Supplementary Table 2). We next examined the relationship between |
| 10 | postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy and postoperative NLR. Five-year OS stratified by |
| 11 | postoperative NLR was compared between the groups of patients who either did or did not |
| 12 | undergo postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy using log-rank test. The results revealed that |
| 13 | in the group of patients who did not undergo postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy, patients |
| 14 | with postoperative NLR \ge 4.06 showed a significantly shorter 5-year OS, compared to those |
| 15 | with postoperative NLR < 4.06 ($p = 0.0023$) (Table 5) . On the other hand, in the group of |
| 16 | patients who underwent postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy, there was no significant |
| 17 | relationship between postoperative NLR and 5-year OS ($p = 0.13$). Furthermore, in the group |

| 1 | of postoperative NLR \ge 4.06, the 5-year OS in patients who underwent postoperative adjuvant |
|----|--|
| 2 | chemotherapy was significantly better than that in patients who did not undergo postoperative |
| 3 | adjuvant chemotherapy ($p = 0.016$). In addition, in the group of patients with postoperative |
| 4 | NLR < 4.06, there was no significant relationship between postoperative adjuvant |
| 5 | chemotherapy and 5-year OS ($p = 0.19$). The survival curves stratified by the level of |
| 6 | postoperative NLR and the status of postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy are shown in |
| 7 | Figure 2. Subsequently, we investigated the role of postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy in |
| 8 | survival (Supplementary Table 3). The regimens of postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy |
| 9 | were as follows: CDDP + DOC (n = 52), CDDP + pemetrexed (PEM) (n = 4), CDDP + S-1 (n |
| 10 | = 3), CDDP + irinotecan (n = 3), CDDP + VNR (n = 2), CDDP + gemcitabine (GEM) (n = 1), |
| 11 | CBDCA + PTX (n = 4), CBCDA + DOC (n = 1), CBCDA + PEM (n =1), CBCDA + GEM (n = 1), |
| 12 | single agent of S-1 (n =1), tegafur/uracil (n = 1), VNR (n = 1), gefitinib (n = 1), pembrolizumab |
| 13 | (n =1). Two courses of CDDP + DOC was the most common postoperative adjuvant |
| 14 | chemotherapy regimen and 52 patients (76.5%) completed this treatment. On the other hand, |
| 15 | postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy was not completed in 16 patients because of either |
| 16 | severe toxicity or allergic reaction (n = 7), pneumonia (n = 4), patient refusal (n = 2), onset of |
| 17 | enteritis (n = 1), cancer recurrence (n = 1), and patient death (n = 1). We then classified the |

| 1 | patients who underwent postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy into two groups based on the |
|----|---|
| 2 | postoperative NLR cutoff value of 4.06, and compared the clinical characteristics using χ^2 test |
| 3 | to examine the potential bias between high- and low-NLR in patients who underwent |
| 4 | postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy. As a result, no significant difference in clinicopathologic |
| 5 | factors including age, sex, histological subtypes, pathological response to CRT, completion of |
| 6 | adjuvant chemotherapy and residual tumor, were observed between two groups. In the high- |
| 7 | NLR group of patients who underwent postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy, 5-year OS rate |
| 8 | was 85.2% in patients who completed postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy, and 30.0% in |
| 9 | those who did not ($p = 0.0095$) (Supplementary Figure 2). Taken together, these results |
| 10 | indicated that only the patients with postoperative NLR \ge 4.06 had benefited of postoperative |
| 11 | adjuvant chemotherapy. Furthermore, it suggests the possibility that postoperative NLR value |
| 12 | can be one of the useful indicators of the decision for postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy. |
| 13 | |
| 14 | Discussion |
| 15 | A systemic inflammatory response leads to angiogenesis, inhibition of apoptosis, and |

16 DNA damage.²² Various markers of systemic inflammatory response such as cytokines, C-

17 reactive protein (CRP), Glasgow prognostic score, NLR and platelet to lymphocyte ratio (PLR)

| 1 | have prognostic roles in various common solid tumors, and the value of a systemic |
|----|---|
| 2 | inflammatory response has been extensively examined in NSCLC. ^{23,24} Although we focused |
| 3 | on NLR in this study, we need to consider other systemic inflammatory markers as a future |
| 4 | task. Regarding the relationship between NLR and CRT, Ishikawa and colleagues have |
| 5 | reported that it was demonstrated that an elevated post-CRT NLR tended to be correlated with |
| 6 | poor pathological response of CRT in rectal cancer. ²⁵ In that study, the post-CRT NLR was |
| 7 | higher than the pre-CRT NLR, but there was no difference in total WBC, indicating a decrease |
| 8 | in lymphocytes. Since lymphocytes, especially T cells play a central role in anti-tumor immunity, |
| 9 | the decrease of NLR after CRT might reflect the overall ability of the host to protect cancer. |
| 10 | In this study, we reviewed the clinical course of 168 patients with LA-NSCLC who |
| 11 | underwent surgery after CRT with or without postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy, and |
| 12 | identified that the significant factors for the better survival were the effective pathological |
| 13 | response to preoperative CRT, postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy, and low postoperative |
| 14 | NLR. We also analyzed the sample set of 156 patients treated with CDDP and DOC only, and |
| 15 | another set of 142 patients who received the entire treatment cycles. As a result of these |
| 16 | analyses, the prognosis was also significantly lower in the group with high postoperative NLR |
| 17 | (data not shown). In addition, our results suggested that the patients with high postoperative |

| 1 | NLR may benefit from postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy. The selection of appropriate |
|----|---|
| 2 | therapeutic modalities is the key for the multidisciplinary treatment of LA-NSCLC, and |
| 3 | postoperative NLR value might serve as not only a promising prognostic factor but also a novel |
| 4 | indicator for the decision regarding the administration of postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy. |
| 5 | Further investigations such as an optimal cutoff value of NLR are needed. |
| 6 | Whereas postoperative NLR value is associated with the prognosis of LA-NSCLC |
| 7 | patients treated with trimodality therapy, there was no significant association shown between |
| 8 | the prognosis and pretreatment or preoperative NLR. It is known that the ratio of neutrophil is |
| 9 | temporally increased in response to surgical stress. ²⁶ However, postoperative NLR in this study |
| 10 | was evaluated after patient discharge (median 31 days after surgery). It is less likely that |
| 11 | surgical stress had some impact on the postoperative NLR, because the literature has shown |
| 12 | that inflammation due to the surgical wound healing process ceases 1 month after the |
| 13 | operation. ²⁷ Indeed, no significant relationship between surgery-related factors (surgical |
| 14 | procedure, the amount of blood loss, and operation time) and postoperative NLR was observed |
| 15 | in our cohort. The question remains, why did only postoperative NLR correlate with prognosis? |
| 16 | Several studies have reported a positive correlation between tumor progression and NLR |
| 17 | levels, suggesting that NLR may be a marker of tumor burden. ^{28,29} In addition, Jin and |

| 1 | colleagues have also reported that patients with high postoperative NLR value had a shorter |
|----|---|
| 2 | OS and disease-free survival even in the cases with stage I NSCLC. ¹⁷ These previous studies |
| 3 | provided one possible explanation, namely that NLR may reflect the postoperative latent |
| 4 | residual tumor. In the case that the tumor volume significantly decreases by the treatment |
| 5 | including surgery, care should be taken to not only pre-treatment NLR but also post-treatment |
| 6 | NLR. |
| 7 | Considering that the recurrence was frequently observed in LA-NSCLC patients treated |
| 8 | with trimodality therapy, ³⁰ the additional adjuvant therapy should be taken into account to |
| 9 | improve the clinical outcome. Even the complete response by the pathological evaluation only |
| 10 | means that the induction CRT was effective in the primary lesion, that is, it is difficult to evaluate |
| 11 | if the micrometastasis exists somewhere in the body. Therefore, it is meaningful to give |
| 12 | postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy, even in the cases with the complete response |
| 13 | pathologically. However, patients after intensive treatment sometimes cannot afford to undergo |
| 14 | postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy due to considerable toxicity; therefore, there is a need |
| 15 | to establish a novel indicator for selecting patients who should undergo postoperative adjuvant |
| 16 | chemotherapy. Our results indicated that whereas patients with high postoperative NLR who |
| 17 | received postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy had a better prognosis in comparison with |

| 1 | those who did not, there was no significant association between postoperative adjuvant |
|----|---|
| 2 | chemotherapy and prognosis in patients with low postoperative NLR. However, it does not |
| 3 | mean that patients with low postoperative NLR values are refractory to postoperative adjuvant |
| 4 | chemotherapy, but that the prognosis was considered to be originally better. On the other hand, |
| 5 | patients with high postoperative NLR had a poor prognosis, thus postoperative adjuvant |
| 6 | chemotherapy improved the prognosis. Furthermore, a completion of adjuvant therapy |
| 7 | achieved better long-term survival than those who did not complete the adjuvant therapy. As |
| 8 | described above, there is the possibility that NLR positively correlates with tumor burden; thus, |
| 9 | postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy might contribute to the elimination of microscopic |
| 10 | residual tumor in patients with high postoperative NLR. To further investigate the potential of |
| 11 | NLR as a postoperative prognostic marker, future studies on the time course changes in NLR, |
| 12 | including the response to postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy, are mandatory. |
| 13 | Our study had several limitations. First, this was a retrospective study based on a |
| 14 | database of the modest sample size from a single institution. In addition, there should have |
| 15 | been changes in the management of patients during approximately 20 years. Second, the |
| 16 | timing of measuring NLR varied among the cases. These factors might have introduced |
| 17 | potential biases in our results. |

| 1 | In conclusion, we identified that the high postoperative NLR value was not only an |
|---|---|
| 2 | unfavorable prognostic factor in LA-NSCLC patients treated with trimodality therapy, but also |
| 3 | a promising indicator of the decision for postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy. Although further |
| 4 | investigation is needed to clarify the significance of NLR in the treatment of LA-NSCLC, |
| 5 | postoperative NLR may be useful in deciding on the optimal future treatment options. |
| 6 | |

| 1 | Acknowledgments |
|---|---|
| 2 | This work was supported by a Management Expenses Grant for National University |
| 3 | Corporations in Japan. We would like to thank Editage (www.editage.com) for English |
| 4 | language editing. |
| 5 | |

| | | Tsudaka et al. Impact of NLR for LA-NSCLC with trimodality therapy 25 |
|----|----|---|
| 1 | | References |
| 2 | 1. | Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2019. CA Cancer J. Clin. Jan |
| 3 | | 2019;69(1):7-34. |
| 4 | 2. | Ferlay J, Colombet M, Soerjomataram I, et al. Cancer incidence and mortality patterns |
| 5 | | in Europe: Estimates for 40 countries and 25 major cancers in 2018. Eur. J. Cancer. |
| 6 | | Nov 2018;103:356-387. |
| 7 | 3. | Stinchcombe TE, Zhang Y, Vokes EE, et al. Pooled Analysis of Individual Patient Data |
| 8 | | on Concurrent Chemoradiotherapy for Stage III Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer in Elderly |
| 9 | | Patients Compared With Younger Patients Who Participated in US National Cancer |
| 10 | | Institute Cooperative Group Studies. J. Clin. Oncol. Sep 1 2017;35(25):2885-2892. |
| 11 | 4. | Paz-Ares L, Luft A, Vicente D, et al. Pembrolizumab plus Chemotherapy for Squamous |
| 12 | | Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. <i>N. Engl. J. Med.</i> Nov 22 2018;379(21):2040-2051. |
| 13 | 5. | Spira A, Ettinger DS. Multidisciplinary management of lung cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. |
| 14 | | Jan 22 2004;350(4):379-392. |
| 15 | 6. | Toyooka S, Kiura K, Takemoto M, et al. Long-term outcome of induction |
| 16 | | chemoradiotherapy with docetaxel and cisplatin followed by surgery for non-small-cell |
| 17 | | lung cancer with mediastinal lymph node metastasis. Interactive cardiovascular and |
| 18 | | <i>thoracic surgery.</i> May 2012;14(5):565-569. |

| 1 | 7. | Katayama H, Ueoka H, Kiura K, et al. Preoperative concurrent chemoradiotherapy with |
|----|-----|---|
| 2 | | cisplatin and docetaxel in patients with locally advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. Br. |
| 3 | | <i>J. Cancer.</i> Mar 8 2004;90(5):979-984. |
| 4 | 8. | Torigoe H, Soh J, Tomida S, et al. Induction chemoradiotherapy using docetaxel and |
| 5 | | cisplatin with definitive-dose radiation followed by surgery for locally advanced non- |
| 6 | | small cell lung cancer. J Thorac Dis. Sep 2017;9(9):3076-3086. |
| 7 | 9. | Mantovani A, Allavena P, Sica A, Balkwill F. Cancer-related inflammation. Nature. Jul |
| 8 | | 24 2008;454(7203):436-444. |
| 9 | 10. | Peng F, Hu D, Lin X, et al. The monocyte to red blood cell count ratio is a strong |
| 10 | | predictor of postoperative survival in colorectal cancer patients: The Fujian prospective |
| 11 | | investigation of cancer (FIESTA) study. J. Cancer. 2017;8(6):967-975. |
| 12 | 11. | Zou ZY, Liu HL, Ning N, Li SY, Du XH, Li R. Clinical significance of pre-operative |
| 13 | | neutrophil lymphocyte ratio and platelet lymphocyte ratio as prognostic factors for |
| 14 | | patients with colorectal cancer. Oncol. Lett. Mar 2016;11(3):2241-2248. |
| 15 | 12. | Gu XB, Tian T, Tian XJ, Zhang XJ. Prognostic significance of neutrophil-to-lymphocyte |
| 16 | | ratio in non-small cell lung cancer: a meta-analysis. Sci. Rep. Jul 24 2015;5:12493. |
| 17 | 13. | Templeton AJ, McNamara MG, Šeruga B, et al. Prognostic role of neutrophil-to- |

| 1 | | lymphocyte ratio in solid tumors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J. Natl. |
|----|-----|--|
| 2 | | <i>Cancer Inst.</i> Jun 2014;106(6):dju124. |
| 3 | 14. | Luo G, Guo M, Liu Z, et al. Blood neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio predicts survival in |
| 4 | | patients with advanced pancreatic cancer treated with chemotherapy. Ann. Surg. Oncol. |
| 5 | | Feb 2015;22(2):670-676. |
| 6 | 15. | Yamamoto H, Namba K, Yamamoto H, et al. The neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio as a |
| 7 | | novel independent prognostic factor for multiple metastatic lung tumors from various |
| 8 | | sarcomas. Surg. Today. Aug 3 2020. |
| 9 | 16. | Guo D, Han A, Jing W, et al. Preoperative to postoperative change in neutrophil-to- |
| 10 | | lymphocyte ratio predict survival in colorectal cancer patients. Future Oncol. May |
| 11 | | 2018;14(12):1187-1196. |
| 12 | 17. | Jin F, Han A, Shi F, Kong L, Yu J. The postoperative neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio and |
| 13 | | changes in this ratio predict survival after the complete resection of stage I non-small |
| 14 | | cell lung cancer. Onco Targets Ther. 2016;9:6529-6537. |
| 15 | 18. | Goldstraw P, Chansky K, Crowley J, et al. The IASLC Lung Cancer Staging Project: |
| 16 | | Proposals for Revision of the TNM Stage Groupings in the Forthcoming (Eighth) Edition |
| 17 | | of the TNM Classification for Lung Cancer. J. Thorac. Oncol. Jan 2016;11(1):39-51. |
| | | |

| 1 | 19. | Yokomise H, Gotoh M, Okamoto T, et al. Induction chemoradiotherapy (carboplatin- |
|----|-----|---|
| 2 | | taxane and concurrent 50-Gy radiation) for bulky cN2, N3 non-small cell lung cancer. |
| 3 | | The Journal of thoracic and cardiovascular surgery. May 2007;133(5):1179-1185. |
| 4 | 20. | Kanda Y, Kanda J, Atsuta Y, et al. Impact of a single human leucocyte antigen (HLA) |
| 5 | | allele mismatch on the outcome of unrelated bone marrow transplantation over two |
| 6 | | time periods. A retrospective analysis of 3003 patients from the HLA Working Group of |
| 7 | | the Japan Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation. Br. J. Haematol. May |
| 8 | | 2013;161(4):566-577. |
| 9 | 21. | Pataer A, Kalhor N, Correa AM, et al. Histopathologic response criteria predict survival |
| 10 | | of patients with resected lung cancer after neoadjuvant chemotherapy. J. Thorac. Oncol. |
| 11 | | May 2012;7(5):825-832. |
| 12 | 22. | Zahorec R. Ratio of neutrophil to lymphocyte countsrapid and simple parameter of |
| 13 | | systemic inflammation and stress in critically ill. <i>Bratisl. Lek. Listy.</i> 2001;102(1):5-14. |
| 14 | 23. | Zhang H, Xia H, Zhang L, Zhang B, Yue D, Wang C. Clinical significance of |
| 15 | | preoperative neutrophil-lymphocyte vs platelet-lymphocyte ratio in primary operable |
| 16 | | patients with non-small cell lung cancer. Am. J. Surg. Sep 2015;210(3):526-535. |
| 17 | 24. | Hu P, Shen H, Wang G, Zhang P, Liu Q, Du J. Prognostic significance of systemic |

| | | Tsudaka et al. Impact of NLR for LA-NSCLC with trimodality therapy 29 |
|----|-----|--|
| 1 | | inflammation-based lymphocyte- monocyte ratio in patients with lung cancer: based on |
| 2 | | a large cohort study. <i>PLoS One.</i> 2014;9(9):e108062. |
| 3 | 25. | Ishikawa D, Nishi M, Takasu C, et al. The Role of Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte Ratio on |
| 4 | | the Effect of CRT for Patients With Rectal Cancer. In Vivo. Mar-Apr 2020;34(2):863- |
| 5 | | 868. |
| 6 | 26. | Li C, Wen TF, Yan LN, et al. Postoperative neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio plus platelet- |
| 7 | | to-lymphocyte ratio predicts the outcomes of hepatocellular carcinoma. J. Surg. Res. |
| 8 | | Sep 2015;198(1):73-79. |
| 9 | 27. | Josa V, Krzystanek M, Eklund AC, et al. Relationship of postoperative thrombocytosis |
| 10 | | and survival of patients with colorectal cancer. Int. J. Surg. Jun 2015;18:1-6. |
| 11 | 28. | Van Berckelaer C, Van Geyt M, Linders S, et al. A high neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio and |
| 12 | | platelet-lymphocyte ratio are associated with a worse outcome in inflammatory breast |
| 13 | | cancer. <i>Breast.</i> Aug 17 2020;53:212-220. |
| 14 | 29. | Mouchli M, Reddy S, Gerrard M, Boardman L, Rubio M. Usefulness of Neutrophil-to- |
| 15 | | Lymphocyte Ratio (NLR) as a prognostic predictor after treatment of hepatocellular |
| 16 | | carcinoma." Review article. Ann. Hepatol. Sep 4 2020. |
| 17 | 30. | Shin S, Kim HK, Cho JH, et al. Adjuvant therapy in stage IIIA-N2 non-small cell lung |

| 1 | cancer after neoadjuvant concurrent chemoradiotherapy followed by surgery. J. Thorac. |
|---|---|
| 2 | <i>Dis.</i> May 2020;12(5):2602-2613. |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |

| 1 | Figure legends |
|--|--|
| 2 | Fig. 1a. Overall survival (OS) and recurrence-free survival (RFS) in the entire population. |
| 3 | Fig. 1b. Overall survival (OS) stratified by the pathological response to the preoperative |
| 4 | chemoradiotherapy. |
| 5 | Fig. 1c. Overall survival (OS) stratified by the postoperative neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio |
| 6 | (NLR). |
| 7 | Fig. 2. Overall survival (OS) stratified by the level of postoperative neutrophil-to-lymphocyte |
| 8 | ratio (NLR) and the presence or absence of postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy. |
| 9 | |
| | |
| 10 | Supplementary Figure legends |
| 10 11 | Supplementary Figure legends Supplementary Fig. 1. ROC curves to determine the cutoff value for pretreatment, |
| | |
| 11 | Supplementary Fig. 1. ROC curves to determine the cutoff value for pretreatment, |
| 11 12 13 | Supplementary Fig. 1. ROC curves to determine the cutoff value for pretreatment, preoperative and postoperative NLR. |
| 11 12 | Supplementary Fig. 1. ROC curves to determine the cutoff value for pretreatment, preoperative and postoperative NLR. Supplementary Fig. 2. Overall survival (OS) stratified by the completion of postoperative |
| 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Supplementary Fig. 1. ROC curves to determine the cutoff value for pretreatment, preoperative and postoperative NLR. Supplementary Fig. 2. Overall survival (OS) stratified by the completion of postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy in the high postoperative NLR (≥ 4.06) group of patients who |
| 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Supplementary Fig. 1. ROC curves to determine the cutoff value for pretreatment, preoperative and postoperative NLR. Supplementary Fig. 2. Overall survival (OS) stratified by the completion of postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy in the high postoperative NLR (≥ 4.06) group of patients who |
| 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Supplementary Fig. 1. ROC curves to determine the cutoff value for pretreatment, preoperative and postoperative NLR. Supplementary Fig. 2. Overall survival (OS) stratified by the completion of postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy in the high postoperative NLR (≥ 4.06) group of patients who |

| Characteristics | Results |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|
| Age (years) | |
| Median (range) | 61 (31-79) |
| Sex | |
| Male | 130 (77.4%) |
| Female | 38 (22.6%) |
| Smoking history (Pack-years) | |
| < 30 | 54 (32.1%) |
| ≥ 30 | 114 (67.9%) |
| Histological subtypes | |
| Adenocarcinoma | 95 (56.5%) |
| Squamous cell carcinoma | 58 (34.5%) |
| Others | 15 (9.0%) |
| Emphysematous change | |
| Yes | 67 (39.9%) |
| No | 101 (60.1%) |
| c-Stage | |
| IIB | 18 (10.7%) |
| T3N0 / T2N1 | 12/6 |
| IIIA | 88 (52.4%) |
| T4N0 / T3 or T4N1 / T1 or T2N2 | 12 / 18 / 58 |
| IIIB | 53 (31.5%) |
| T3 or T4N2 / T1 or T2N3 | 47 / 6 |
| IIIC | 6 (3.6%) |
| T3 or T4N3 | 6 |
| IVA | 3 (1.8%) |
| T2N2M1a / T3N1M1b / T4N2M1b | 1 / 1 / 1 |
| Lymph node status | |
| N0 / N1 / N2 / N3 | 24 / 25 / 107 / 12 |
| Main tumor location | |
| Right | 92 (54.8%) |
| Left | 76 (45.2%) |

Table 1. Characteristics of the patients with LA-NSCLC treated by trimodality therapy (n = 168)

LA-NSCLC; locally advanced non-small-cell lung cancer

1

Table 2. Characteristics of trimodality therapy (n = 168)

| Variables | Results |
|--|--|
| Chemotherapy | |
| CDDP + DOC | 156 (92.8%) |
| CBDCA + PTX | 4 (2.4%) |
| S-1 | 4 (2.4%) |
| CDDP + Others | 3 (1.8%) |
| CDGP + 5FU | 1 (0.6%) |
| Dose of radiation therapy | |
| < 40Gy | 3 (1.8%) |
| 40Gy | 8 (4.8%) |
| 46Gy | 142 (84.5%) |
| > 46Gy | 15 (8.9%) |
| CRT response | |
| CR/PR/SD/PD | 2 (1.2%) / 128 (76.2%) / 36 (21.4%) / 2 (1.2%) |
| CRT completion | |
| Yes/No | 142 (84.5%) / 26 (15.5 %) |
| Days to operation from completion of CRT, median (range) | 41 (14–349) |
| Type of pulmonary resection | |
| Lobectomy/ Bilobectomy/ Pneumonectomy | 143 (85.1 %) / 17 (10.1 %) / 8 (4.8 %) |
| Severe postoperative complication ^a | |
| Yes/No | 12 (7.1%) / 156 (92.9%) |
| Postoperative hospitalization days (Median, range) | 20 (8-635) |
| Residual tumor | |
| R0/R1 | 165 (98.2%) / 3 (1.8%) |
| Pathological response of induction CRT | |
| Minor response | 39 (23.2%) |
| Major response | 68 (40.5%) |
| Complete response | 61 (36.3%) |
| NLR (pretreatment) | |
| Median (range) | 2.41 (0.692-11.5) |
| NLR (preoperative) | |
| Median (range) | 2.24 (0.526-45.5) |

| NLR (postoperative) | |
|--|--------------------------|
| Median (range) | 3.68 (0.226-83.0) |
| δ1-NLR | |
| Median (range) | -0.0451(-9.05-41.9) |
| δ2-NLR | |
| Median (range) | 1.22 (-9.59-75.0) |
| δ3-NLR | |
| Median (range) | 1.18 (-31.5-76.9) |
| Adjuvant chemotherapy | |
| Yes/No | 77 (45.8%) / 91 (54.2 %) |
| a; Anastomotic complications and empyema | |
| | |

CDDP; cisplatin, DOC; docetaxel, CBDCA; carboplatin, PTX; paclitaxel,

S-1; tegafur/gimeracil/oteracil, CDGP; nedaplatin, 5FU; fluorouracil

CRT; chemoradiotherapy, NLR; neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio

| Variables | n | 5-year OS | 95% CI | p value |
|------------------------------|-----|-----------|-----------|---------|
| Age (years) | | | | 0.170 |
| < 62 | 84 | 74.3% | 62.9-82.6 | |
| ≥ 62 | 84 | 61.8% | 49.7-71.8 | |
| Sex | | | | 0.68 |
| Male | 130 | 69.6% | 60.5-77.0 | |
| Female | 38 | 63.0% | 43.9-77.2 | |
| Smoking history (Pack-years) | | | | 0.85 |
| < 30 | 54 | 67.0% | 51.7-78.4 | |
| ≥ 30 | 114 | 68.7% | 58.8-76.7 | |
| Histological subtypes | | | | 0.83 |
| Adenocarcinoma | 95 | 71.0% | 59.8-79.6 | |
| Squamous cell carcinoma | 58 | 64.4% | 50.3-75.4 | |
| Others | 15 | 63.5% | 33.1-83.0 | |
| c-Stage | | | | 0.84 |
| IIB | 18 | 76.9% | 49.4-90.7 | |
| IIIA or higher | 150 | 67.0% | 58.4-74.3 | |
| Nodal status | | | | 0.91 |
| N0 / N1 | 49 | 74.3% | 59.0-84.5 | |
| N2 / N3 | 119 | 65.6% | 55.6-73.8 | |
| Emphysematous change | | | | 0.78 |
| Yes | 67 | 72.0% | 58.7-81.7 | |
| No | 101 | 66.0% | 55.4-74.6 | |
| Completion of CRT | | | | 0.46 |
| Yes | 142 | 67.5% | 58.5-74.9 | |
| No | 26 | 71.3% | 48.9-85.2 | |
| Pathological response to CRT | | | | 0.0020 |
| Minor | 39 | 45.3% | 28.8-60.4 | |
| Complete / Major | 129 | 75.8% | 67.0-82.6 | |
| Pretreatment NLR | | | | 0.092 |
| < 1.84 | 54 | 76.2% | 61.7-85.7 | |
| ≥ 1.84 | 114 | 64.1% | 53.9-72.6 | |
| | | | | |

Table 3. Univariate analysis (Logrank test) for overall survival (n = 168)

| Tsudaka et al. Impact of NLR fo | r LA-NSCLC | with tri | modality the | erapy 36 |
|---------------------------------|------------|----------|--------------|----------|
| Preoperative NLR | | | | 0.026 |
| < 4.81 | 149 | 68.9% | 60.3-76.1 | |
| ≥ 4.81 | 19 | 61.2% | 35.3-79.4 | |
| Postoperative NLR | | | | < 0.001 |
| < 4.06 | 99 | 74.5% | 63.9-82.5 | |
| ≥ 4.06 | 69 | 59.0% | 46.0-69.8 | |
| δ1-NLR | | | | 0.36 |
| < -0.100 | 81 | 64.1% | 51.7-74.1 | |
| ≥ -0.100 | 87 | 71.5% | 60.2-80.1 | |
| δ2-NLR | | | | 0.0038 |
| < 2.40 | 126 | 73.7% | 64.4-80.8 | |
| ≥ 2.40 | 42 | 51.2% | 34.4-65.6 | |
| δ3-NLR | | | | 0.0073 |
| < 2.21 | 123 | 73.5% | 64.0-80.8 | |
| ≥ 2.21 | 45 | 53.7% | 37.7-67.2 | |
| Adjuvant chemotherapy | | | | 0.0053 |
| Yes | 77 | 76.0% | 63.7-84.6 | |
| No | 91 | 61.5% | 50.3-71.0 | |

OS; overall survival, CI; confidence interval,

CRT; chemoradiotherapy, NLR; neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio

 $\delta 1\text{-}NLR;$ difference between pretreatment and preoperative NLR,

 δ 2-NLR; difference between pretreatment and postoperative NLR,

 $\delta 3\text{-}NLR;$ difference between preoperative and postoperative NLR

| 1 |
|----|
| 2 |
| 3 |
| 4 |
| 5 |
| 6 |
| 7 |
| 8 |
| 9 |
| 10 |

| | Table 4. Multivariate analysis (Cox proportional hazard regression model) for overall survival | | | |
|----|--|--------------|-------------|----------------|
| | Variables | Hazard ratio | 95% CI | <i>p</i> value |
| | c-Stage | 0.662 | 0.262-1.67 | 0.38 |
| | Nodal status | 1.05 | 0.532-2.09 | 0.94 |
| | Completion of CRT | 1.12 | 0.628-2.00 | 0.70 |
| | Pathological response to CRT | 0.355 | 0.208-0.607 | < 0.001 |
| | High preoperative NLR | 1.13 | 0.563-2.26 | 0.73500 |
| | High postoperative NLR | 2.36 | 1.53-3.94 | < 0.001 |
| | Adjuvant chemotherapy | 0.429 | 0.261-0.713 | < 0.001 |
| | CI; confidence interval | | | |
| 1 | | | | |
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | | | | |
| 4 | | | | |
| 5 | | | | |
| 6 | | | | |
| 7 | | | | |
| 8 | | | | |
| 9 | | | | |
| 10 | | | | |
| 11 | | | | |
| 12 | | | | |
| 13 | | | | |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 | | | | |
| 16 | | | | |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | | | | |
| | | | | |

Table 4. Multivariate analysis (Cox proportional hazard regression model) for overall survival

| Variables | n | 5-year OS | 95% CI | <i>p</i> value |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Log-rank test for OS among pa | atients without a | djuvant chemoth | erapy (n = 91) | |
| Postoperative NLR | | | | 0.0023 |
| < 4.06 | 50 | 72.1% | 56.7-82.8 | |
| ≥ 4.06 | 41 | 49.0% | 32.6-63.5 | |
| Log-rank test for OS among pa | atients with adju | vant chemothera | ıpy (n = 77) | |
| Postoperative NLR | | | | 0.13 |
| < 4.06 | 49 | 77.1% | 60.4-87.5 | |
| ≥ 4.06 | 28 | 73.7% | 52.5-86.5 | |
| Log-rank test for OS among pa | atients with post | operative NLR ≥ | 4.06 (n = 69) | |
| Adjuvant chemotherapy | | | | 0.016 |
| Yes | 28 | 73.7% | 52.5-86.5 | |
| No | 41 | 49.0% | 32.6-63.5 | |
| Log-rank test for OS among pa | atients with post | operative NLR < | 4.06 (n = 99) | |
| Adjuvant chemotherapy | | | | 0.19 |
| Yes | 49 | 77.1% | 60.4-87.5 | |
| No | 50 | 72.1% | 56.7-82.8 | |

| Table 5. The association between | postoperative NLR and adjuvant chemotherapy |
|----------------------------------|--|
| | postoporative ment and adjuvant onemotionapy |