

# *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University*

---

*Volume 22, Issue 1*

1980

*Article 1*

JUNE 1980

---

## A note on commutative separable algebras

Stuart Sui-Sheng Wang\*

\*Okayama University

Copyright ©1980 by the authors. *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University* is produced by  
The Berkeley Electronic Press (bepress). <http://escholarship.lib.okayama-u.ac.jp/mjou>

Math. J. Okayama Univ. 22 (1980), 1–3

## A NOTE ON COMMUTATIVE SEPARABLE ALGEBRAS

STUART SUI-SHENG WANG

In this note, we prove that separability descends by faithful flatness and hence is a local property. We also prove that separability is a punctual property over a semi-local ring.

Throughout this paper, rings and algebras are commutative with identity and ring homomorphisms carry the identity to the identity. In what follows,  $A$  denotes a ring with identity 1 and  $B$  an  $A$ -algebra.  $B$  is a separable  $A$ -algebra if and only if there exists an element  $e$  in  $B \otimes_A B$  such that  $(b \otimes 1)e = (1 \otimes b)e$  for all  $b \in B$  and  $p(e) = 1$ , where  $p$  is the multiplication map from  $B \otimes_A B$  to  $B$  ([3, p. 40]). It is easily seen that  $e$  is idempotent and unique. This element is called the separability idempotent of  $B$  over  $A$ , and is invariant under the switch map  $B \otimes_A B \rightarrow B \otimes_A B$  given by  $b_i \otimes b_j \mapsto b_j \otimes b_i$ .

Now, it is well known that if  $B$  is separable over  $A$  then for any  $A$ -algebra  $C$ , the  $C$ -algebra  $B \otimes_A C$  is again separable. Moreover, by [4, Prop. 2.2 (c)], it is known that if  $C$  is a faithfully flat  $A$ -algebra and  $B \otimes_A C$  is separable over  $C$  then  $B$  is separable over  $A$ , provided that  $B$  is finitely generated as an  $A$ -algebra. Our main result is that the hypothesis on  $B$  is not necessary.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $B$  be an  $A$ -algebra and  $C$  a faithfully flat  $A$ -algebra. If the  $C$ -algebra  $B \otimes_A C$  is separable, then  $B$  is separable over  $A$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon_0$  (resp.  $\varepsilon_1$ ):  $C \rightarrow V = C \otimes_A C$  denote the  $A$ -algebra homomorphism defined by  $c \mapsto 1 \otimes c$  (resp.  $c \mapsto c \otimes 1$ ). Then, the homomorphisms

$$1 \otimes \varepsilon_i : U = B \otimes_A C \longrightarrow W = B \otimes_A C \otimes_A C \quad (i = 0, 1)$$

give rise to the homomorphisms

$$(1 \otimes \varepsilon_i) \otimes (1 \otimes \varepsilon_i) : U \otimes_C U \longrightarrow W \otimes_C W \quad (i = 0, 1).$$

Moreover, we have the homomorphisms

$$U \xrightarrow{\mu} U \otimes_{\text{Im}(\varepsilon_i)} V \xrightarrow{\nu_i} \text{Im}(1 \otimes \varepsilon_i) \cdot V = W \quad (i = 0, 1)$$

where  $\mu(u) = u \otimes 1$ , and  $\nu_i(u \otimes v) = (1 \otimes \varepsilon_i)(u)v$ . Now, in general,

if  $U$  is separable over  $T$  and  $V$  is any  $T$ -algebra, then the separability idempotent for  $U$  over  $T$  goes to the separability idempotent for any homomorphic image of  $U \otimes_T V$  over  $V$ . Applying this to our case, we see that the separability idempotent  $e'$  of  $U$  over  $C$  (which is an element of  $U \otimes_C U$ ) must be sent to 0 under the difference  $d = (1 \otimes \varepsilon_0) \otimes (1 \otimes \varepsilon_0) - (1 \otimes \varepsilon_1) \otimes (1 \otimes \varepsilon_1)$ . Since  $C$  is faithfully flat over  $A$ , it follows from [2, Lemma 3.8] that the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow B \otimes_A B \xrightarrow{\rho} B \otimes_A B \otimes_A C \xrightarrow{1 \otimes 1 \otimes \varepsilon_0 - 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \varepsilon_1} B \otimes_A B \otimes_A C \otimes_A C$$

where  $\rho(m) = m \otimes 1$ , is exact. From this, one will easily see that the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow B \otimes_A B \xrightarrow{\sigma} U \otimes_C U \xrightarrow{d} W \otimes_{\cdot} W$$

where  $\sigma(b_1 \otimes b_2) = (b_1 \otimes 1) \otimes (b_2 \otimes 1)$ , is also exact. Hence there exists an element  $e$  in  $B \otimes_A B$  so that  $\sigma(e) = e'$ . Obviously,  $p(e) = 1$ , and  $(b \otimes 1)e = (1 \otimes b)e$  for all  $b \in B$ . Thus,  $B$  is separable over  $A$ , completing the proof.

An application of Th. 1 is the following corollary which shows that separability is a local property.

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $B$  be an  $A$ -algebra and let  $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$  be a family of elements of  $A$  which generates the unit ideal of  $A$ . Then  $B$  is separable over  $A$  if and only if for all  $i$ ,  $B_{f_i}$  is separable over  $A_{f_i}$ , where  $A_{f_i}$  is the ring of fractions of  $B$  having denominators equal to some power of  $f_i$ , and  $B_{f_i} = B \otimes_A A_{f_i}$ .*

*Proof.* By the result of [1, Ch. II, Prop. 5.1.3],  $C = \prod_{i=1}^n A_{f_i}$  is a faithfully flat  $A$ -algebra. From this, the assertion follows immediately.

As a second application of Th. 1, we have the following corollary which shows that separability is a punctual property provided that the base ring has only a finite number of maximal ideals.

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $A$  be a semi-local ring and  $B$  an  $A$ -algebra. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- i)  $B$  is separable over  $A$ .
- ii)  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is separable over  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  for each prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $A$ .
- iii)  $B_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is separable over  $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$  for each maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $A$ .

*Proof.* Only iii)  $\Rightarrow$  i) needs proof. Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  denote the set of maximal ideals of  $A$ . Since  $\mathcal{Q}$  is finite,  $\prod_{m \in \mathcal{Q}} A_m$  is faithfully flat over  $A$  by [1, Ch. II, Prop. 3.3.10].

**Remark 1.** By virtue of Corollary 2, we easily see that an  $A$ -algebra  $B$  is separable if and only if for every prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $A$ , there exists an element  $t$  in  $A - \mathfrak{p}$  (the complement of  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $A$ ) such that  $B_t$  is separable over  $A_t$ . Moreover, the result of Corollary 3 is a partial generalization of [4, Prop. 2.5].

**Remark 2.** By Theorem 1, we see that for  $A$ -algebras  $B, C$ , if  $B \otimes_A C$  is separable over  $C$  then  $B$  is separable over  $A$ , provided that  $\{A, C\}$  is one of (1), (2) and (3):

(1)  $A$  is a Noetherian ring,  $C$  is the  $I$ -adic completion of  $A$  where  $I$  is an ideal of  $A$  contained in the Jacobson radical of  $A$  ([1, p. 206]).

(2)  $A$  is a local ring,  $C$  is the Henselization of  $A$  ([3, p. 73]).

(3)  $A$  is a coherent ring (e. g., a Noetherian ring),  $C = A[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$ , a formal power series ring over  $A$  ([1, p. 49]).

Lastly, the author would like to express his gratitude to Professor Alex Rosenberg for his kind advices.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] N. BOURBAKI: Commutative Algebra (Translated from the French. Actualités Sci. Ind. 1290, Hermann, Paris), Addison-Wesley, 1972.
- [2] S. U. CHASE and A. ROSENBERG: Amitsur cohomology and the Brauer group, Mem. A.M.S. **52** (1965), 34—79 (Reprinted, 1968). MR **33** #4119.
- [3] F. DEMEYER and E. INGRAHAM: Separable Algebras over Commutative Rings. Lecture Notes in Math. **181** (1971), Springer. MR **43** # 6199.
- [4] M. A. KNUS and M. OJANGUREN: Théorie de la Descente et Algèbres d'Azumaya, Lecture Notes in Math. **389** (1974), Springer. MR **53** #5209.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES  
OAKLAND UNIVERSITY

(Received January 1, 1979)