Mathematical Journal of Okayama University

Volume 21, Issue 1 1979 Article 1

JUNE 1979

On projective killing tensor in a Riemannian manifold

Mitsuru Kora*

Copyright ©1979 by the authors. *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University* is produced by The Berkeley Electronic Press (bepress). http://escholarship.lib.okayama-u.ac.jp/mjou

^{*}Okayama University

Math. J. Okayama Univ. 21 (1979), 1-9

ON PROJECTIVE KILLING TENSOR IN A RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLD

MITSURU KORA

Our purpose is to give some conditions for the non-existence of the projective Killing tensor. We also show an integrability condition for the system of the partial differential equations which defines an affine Killing tensor of degree p.

1. Let M^m be an m-dimensional Riemannian manifold with positive definite metric $g=(g_{ab})^{1}$ with respect to local coordinate system $\{x^a\}$. We identify a skew symmetric covariant tensor $v=(v_{a_1...a_p})$ with the differential p-form

$$v = (1/p!)v_{a_1..a_p}dx^{a_1}\wedge...\wedge dx^{a_p}$$

dv and ∂v denote the exterior differentiation and the exterior co-differentiation of v respectively. As is well known, ddv = 0 and $\partial \partial v = 0$ hold, and d and d are dual each other with respect to the global scalar product.

Following [2], $v=(v_{a_1\cdots a_p})$ is called a projective Killing tensor if v is a skew symmetric tensor satisfying

$$\nabla_{c}\nabla_{b}v_{a_{1}...a_{p}} + (1/2)\sum_{i=1}^{p}R_{cba_{i}}^{\epsilon}v_{a_{1}...c...a_{p}}$$

$$- (1/2)\left(R_{ba_{1}c}^{\epsilon} + R_{ca_{1}b}^{\epsilon}\right)v_{\epsilon a_{2}...a_{p}}$$

$$- (1/2)\sum_{i=2}^{p}\left(R_{ba_{1}a_{i}}^{\epsilon}v_{ca_{2}...c...a_{p}} + R_{ca_{1}a_{i}}^{\epsilon}v_{ba_{2}...\epsilon...a_{p}}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{p}\left(-1\right)^{i-1}\left(g_{ca_{i}}\nabla_{b}\theta_{a_{1}...\hat{a}_{i}...a_{p}} + g_{ba_{i}}\nabla_{c}\theta_{a_{1}...\hat{a}_{i}...a_{p}}\right)$$

where $\theta = (\theta_{a_2 \dots a_p})$ is a certain skew symmetric tensor and $\widehat{a_i}$ means that a_i is deleted. If the left hand side of (1.1) vanishes identically, v is called an affine Killing tensor. As was shown in [2], if v is a Killing tensor then it is an affine one, and if v is an affine Killing tensor then it is a projective one.

If we transvect (1.1) with g^{ba_1} and change the index c to b, we have

¹⁾ The indices a, b, c,... run on the range {1, 2,..., m} and the summation convention is used throughout this paper.

$$\nabla_{b}\theta_{a_{2}...a_{p}} = -\{1/(m-p+2)\} \left[\nabla_{b}(\partial v)_{a_{2}...a_{p}} + \sum_{i=2}^{p} (-1)^{i} g_{ba_{i}}(\partial \theta)_{a_{2}...\hat{a_{i}}...a_{p}}\right],$$

that is,

$$\nabla_{b}\theta_{a_{1}...\hat{a}_{i}...a_{p}} = -\{1/(m-p+2)\} \left[\nabla_{b}(\delta v)_{a_{1}...\hat{a}_{i}...a_{p}} + \sum_{1 \leq j < i} (-1)^{j+1} g_{ba_{j}}(\delta \theta)_{a_{1}...\hat{a}_{j}...\hat{a}_{j}...a_{p}} - \sum_{i \leq j \leq p} (-1)^{j} g_{ba_{j}}(\delta \theta)_{a_{1}...\hat{a}_{i}...\hat{a}_{j}...a_{p}}\right].$$

From this, it follows by the straightforward computation that

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{i=1}^{p} (-1)^{i-1} (g_{ca_i} \nabla_b \theta_{a_1 \dots \widehat{a}_i \dots \widehat{a}_p} + g_{ba_i} \nabla_c \theta_{a_1 \dots \widehat{a}_i \dots a_p}) \\ &= - \{1/(m-p+2)\} \sum_{i=1}^{p} (-1)^{i-1} (g_{ca_i} \nabla_b (\delta v)_{a_1 \dots \widehat{a}_i \dots a_p}) \\ &+ g_{ba_i} \nabla_b (\delta v)_{a_1 \dots \widehat{a}_i \dots a_p}). \end{split}$$

Thus we have

Lemma 1. A skew symmetric tensor v is projective if and only if it satisfies

$$\nabla_{c}\nabla_{b}v_{a_{1}...a_{p}} + (1/2)\sum_{i=1}^{p}R_{cba_{i}}^{e}v_{a_{1}...e_{i...a_{p}}}$$

$$- (1/2)(R_{ba_{1}c}^{e} + R_{ca_{1}b}^{e})v_{ea_{2}...a_{p}}$$

$$- (1/2)\sum_{i=2}^{p}(R_{ba_{1}a_{i}}^{e}v_{ca_{2}...e_{i...a_{p}}} + R_{ca_{1}a_{i}}^{e}v_{ba_{2}...e_{i...a_{p}}})$$

$$= -\{1/(m-p+2)\}\sum_{i=1}^{p}(-1)^{i-1}(g_{ca_{i}}\nabla_{b}(\delta v)_{a_{1}...a_{i}...a_{p}})$$

$$+ g_{ba_{i}}\nabla_{c}(\delta v)_{a_{1}...a_{i}...a_{p}}).$$

If we denote the covariant differentiation by ∇ , we have

Corollary 2. A projective Killing tensor v is affine if and only if $\nabla \delta v = 0$ holds.

Proof. The if part is obvious. Let v be affine. The left hand side of (1.2) vanishes. Transvecting this with g^{ba} , we have $\nabla_c(\partial v)_{a_2...a_p} = 0$. Q. E. D.

ON PROJECTIVE KILLING TENSOR

Lemma 3. In M^m , a projective Killing tensor v of degree p satisfies $(\partial dv)_{a_1...a_p} + \{(m-p)/(m-p+2)\}(d\partial v)_{a_1...a_p}$

$$(1.3) = \{(p+2)/2\} \left[R_{a_1}^{e} v_{ea_2...a_p} - \sum_{i=2}^{p} R^{d}_{a_1 a_i}^{e} v_{da_2...e}_{(i)...a_p} \right]$$

$$+ (1/2) \left[\sum_{i=1}^{p} R_{a_i}^{e} v_{a_1...e}_{(i)}_{(i)}^{e} - \sum_{1 \le i, j \le p} R^{d}_{a_i a_j}^{e} v_{a_1...e}_{(j)}_{(j)}_{(i)}^{e} \right].$$

In particular, if M^m is of constant curvature k, v satisfies

$$(1.4) k(m-p)(p+1)v = \delta dv + \{(m-p)/(m-p+2)\} d\delta v.$$

(If $k \neq 0$, then (1.4) gives us a decomposition of v.)

Proof. It holds that

$$(1.5) \qquad (\delta dv)_{a_1 \dots a_p} = -\nabla^b \nabla_b v_{a_1 \dots a_p} + \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla^b \nabla_{a_i} v_{a_1 \dots b \dots a_p}.$$

From (1.2), we have

$$(1.6) -\nabla^{b}\nabla_{b}v_{a_{1}...a_{p}} = R_{a_{1}}^{e}v_{ea_{2}...a_{p}} - \sum_{j=2}^{p} R^{a}_{a_{1}a_{j}}^{e}v_{da_{2}...e...a_{p}} \\ + \left\{ \frac{2}{(m-p+2)}\right\} (d\delta v)_{a_{1}...a_{p}}$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla^{b} \nabla_{a_{i}} v_{a_{1} \dots b \dots a_{p}}$$

$$= (p/2) \left[R_{a_{1}}^{e} v_{ea_{2} \dots a_{p}} - \sum_{i=2}^{p} R^{d}_{a_{1}a_{i}}^{e} v_{da_{2} \dots e \dots a_{p}} \right]$$

$$+ (1/2) \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} R_{a_{i}}^{e} v_{a_{1} \dots e \dots a_{p}} - \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq p} R^{d}_{a_{i}a_{j}}^{e} v_{a_{1} \dots e \dots d \dots a_{p}} \right]$$

$$- (d \hat{o} v)_{a_{1} \dots a_{p}}.$$

The desired result follows from (1.5), (1.6) and (1.7).

If M^m is of constant curvature k, (1.3) gives us (1.4). Q. E. D.

2. We deal with non-existence problem of v.

Theorem 4. In a Riemannian manifold of constant curvature $k \neq 0$, there exists no closed affine Killing tensor of degree $p \ll m$ other than the zero tensor.

Proof. Let v be closed and affine. From Corollary 2, we can regard v as a projective Killing tensor satisfying $\nabla \delta v = 0$. Substituting this and dv = 0 into (1.4), we have v = 0. Q. E. D.

Next, we treat the problem more generally. First, we see

$$(2.1) \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{p} R_{a_i}^{e} v_{a_1 \dots e_i \dots a_p} v^{a_1 \dots a_p} = p R_{de} v^{e}_{a_2 \dots a_p} v^{da_2 \dots a_p},$$

$$(2.2) \qquad \sum_{i=2}^{p} R^{a}_{a_{1}a_{i}} v_{da_{2}\dots e_{i}\dots a_{p}} v^{a_{1}\dots a_{p}} = -\{(p-1)/2\} R_{fgde} v^{fg}_{a_{3}\dots a_{p}} v^{dea_{3}\dots a_{p}},$$

and

(2.3)
$$\sum_{\substack{1 \le i,j \le p \\ i \ge i,j \le p}} R^{a}_{a_{i}a_{j}}^{e} v_{a_{1} \dots e \dots d \dots a_{p}} v^{a_{1} \dots a_{p}} = p \sum_{i=2}^{p} R^{a}_{a_{1}a_{i}}^{e} v_{aa_{2} \dots e \dots a_{p}} v^{a_{1} \dots a_{p}}.$$

If we transvect (1.3) with $v^{a_1 \cdots a_p}$ and use the identities (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3), we get

(2.4)
$$(\partial dv)_{a_1...a_p} v^{a_1...a_p} + \{(m-p)/(m-p+2)\} (\partial \partial v)_{a_1...a_p} v^{a_1...a_p}$$

$$= (p+1)F(v,v)$$

where we have put

(2.5)
$$F(v,v) = R_{fd}v^{f}_{a_{2}...a_{p}}v^{da_{2}...a_{p}} + \{(p-1)/2\}R_{fgde}v^{f_{g}}_{a_{3}...a_{p}}v^{dva}_{3}...a_{p}.$$

If M^m is compact and orientable, (2.4) gives us

$$(2.6) \quad p! (dv, dv) + p! \{(m-p)/(m-p+2)\} (\delta v, \delta v) = (p+1) \int_{M^m} F(v, v)^* 1$$

where (,) and *1 denote the global scalar product and the volume element respectively.

Theorem 5. In a compact orientable Riemannian manifold M^m , there exists no projective Killing tensor v of degree p (< m) which satisfies $F(v, v) \le 0$, other than the parallel tensor.

Especially, if F(v, v) is negative definite, then there exists no projective Killing tensor of degree p(< m) other than the zero tensor.

Proof. The latter part is obvious from (2.6). If $F(v, v) \leq 0$, we have from (2.6) that dv = 0 and $\partial v = 0$. From Corollary 2, we see v is an affine Killing tensor. As was shown in [2], an affine Killing tensor in a compact orientable Riemannian manifold is a Killing tensor. Thus, v satisfies

ON PROJECTIVE KILLING TENSOR

$$(dv)_{a_1...a_{p+1}} = (p+1)\nabla_{a_1}v_{a_2...a_{p+1}}.$$

From dv = 0, we have $\nabla v = 0$. Q. E. D.

Corollary 6. In a compact orientable Riemannian manifold M^m , there exists no Killing tensor of degree p(< m) which satisfies $F(v, v) \leq 0$, other than the parallel tensor.

Especially, if F(v, v) is negative definite, then there exists no Killing tensor of degree $p \ll m$ other than the zero tensor.

(In the case $p \le m/2$, this was proved by T. Kashiwada [1].)

We can rewrite the condition concerning F(v, v), variously. For instance, if we substitute Weyl projective curvature tensor

$$W_{dcb}^{a} = R_{acb}^{a} + \{1/(m-1)\}(R_{db}\delta_{c}^{a} - R_{cb}\delta_{d}^{a})$$

into (2.5), we have

$$(2.7) \quad F(v,v) = \left[\{ (p-1)/2 \} \ W_{f_0 de} + \{ (m-p)/(m-1) \} R_{fa} g_{gc} \right] v^{f_a}_{a_3 \dots a_p} v^{dea_3 \dots a_p}.$$

Now, assume that Ricci tensor is negative definite and let λ_0 be the least upper bound of the greatest eigen values on M^m of Ricci tensor. We then have

$$R_{fd}v^{f_{\alpha_{2}...\alpha_{p}}}v^{d\alpha_{2}...\alpha_{p}} \leq p! \lambda_{0} \langle v, v \rangle \qquad (\leq 0),$$

where \langle , \rangle denotes the local scalar product. If we put

$$2W = \sup\{|W_{fgdc}\xi^{fg}\xi^{dc}|/\langle \xi, \xi \rangle; \text{ for bivector } \xi\}$$

we then have

$$W_{fyde}v^{fga_3\cdots a_p}v^{dc}_{a_2\cdots a_n} \leq p \mid W \langle v, v \rangle.$$

Thus, from (2.7) we have

$$F(v,v) \leq \lceil \{(p-1)/2\} W + \{(m-p)/(m-1)\} \lambda_0 \rceil p! \langle v,v \rangle.$$

Consequently, we have

Theorem 7. In a compact orientable Riemannian manifold M^m with negative definite Ricci tensor, if

$$(2.8) \qquad \{(b-1)/2\} W + \{(m-b)/(m-1)\} \lambda_0 \le 0$$

for some $p \ll m$, then there exists no projective Killing tensor of degree

Produced by The Berkeley Electronic Press, 1979

5

p other than the parallel tensor.

Especially, if strict inequality holds in (2.8), there exists no projective Killing tensor of degree $p \ll m$ other than the zero tensor.

Corollary 8. (i) If M^m is a compact orientable locally flat Riemannian manifold, there exists no projective Killing tensor of degree $p \ll m$ other than the parallel tensor.

(ii) If M^m is a compact orientable Riemannian manifold of negative constant curvature, there exists no projective Killing tensor of degree $p \ll m$ other than the zero tensor.

Proof. (i) follows from Theorem 5. Since a projectively flat Riemannian manifold M^m (m > 1) is of constant curvature, (ii) follows from Theorem 7. Q. E. D.

In the case $p \le m/2$, this corollary (ii) was proved by S. Tachibana [2]. This corollary (ii) can be obtained from (1.4) directly.

3. We deal with an integrability condition for the system of the partial differential equations which defines an affine Killing tensor of degree $p \ll m$.

Theorem 9. A necessary and sufficient condition for a Riemannian manifold M^m (m > 2) to be a space of locally flat is that for any point Q and any constant $C_{a_1...a_p}$ (skew symmetric in all indices) and $C_{a_0a_1...a_p}$ (skew symmetric in $a_1, ..., a_{p-1}$ and a_p) there exists locally an affine Killing tensor $v_{a_1...a_p}$ of degree p (< m) satisfying $v_{a_1...a_p}$ $(Q) = C_{a_1...a_p}$ and $(\nabla_{a_0}v_{a_1...a_p})$ $(Q) = C_{a_0a_1...a_p}$.

Proof. To consider the equation, the left hand side of (1.1) equal to zero, is equivalent to consider the system of the partial differential equations with unknown functions $v_{a_1...a_p}$ and $v_{ba_1...a_p}$ as follows;

(3. 1)
$$\nabla_{b}v_{a_{1}...a_{p}} = v_{ba_{1}...a_{p}}$$

$$\nabla_{c}v_{ba_{1}...a_{p}} = -(1/2)\left[\sum_{i=1}^{p} R_{cba_{i}}{}^{e}\delta_{a_{1}^{b}}^{b_{1}} \dots \delta_{c}^{b_{i}} \dots \delta_{a_{p}^{b}}^{b_{p}} - (R_{ba_{1}c}{}^{e} + R_{ca_{1}b}{}^{e}) \delta_{c}^{b_{1}} \delta_{a_{2}^{c}}^{a_{2}^{c}} \dots \delta_{a_{p}^{b}}^{b_{p}} - \sum_{i=2}^{p} R_{ba_{1}a_{i}}{}^{e}\delta_{c}^{b_{1}} \delta_{a_{2}^{c}}^{a_{2}^{c}} \dots \delta_{c}^{b_{i}^{c}} \dots \delta_{a_{p}^{b}}^{a_{p}} - \sum_{i=2}^{p} R_{ca_{1}a_{i}}{}^{e}\delta_{c}^{b_{1}} \delta_{a_{2}^{c}}^{a_{2}^{c}} \dots \delta_{c}^{b_{i}^{c}} \dots \delta_{a_{p}^{b}}^{a_{p}}\right] v_{b_{1}...b_{p}}$$

and

$$(3.3) v_{a_1...a_i...a_j...a_p} = -v_{a_1...a_j...a_i...a_p} (1 \le i < j \le p).$$

The necessary part is obvious.

For the system to be completely integrable, it is necessary that

$$(3.4) \qquad \qquad \nabla_{c} v_{ba_{1}a_{2}a_{3}\dots a_{n}} + \nabla_{c} v_{ba_{2}a_{1}a_{3}\dots a_{n}} = 0$$

and

$$(3.5) \begin{array}{c} \nabla_{d}\nabla_{c}v_{ba_{1}...a_{p}} - \nabla_{c}\nabla_{d}v_{ba_{1}...a_{p}} \\ = -\left[\sum\limits_{i=1}^{p}R_{dca_{i}}{}^{c}\delta_{b^{0}}^{b}\delta_{a_{1}}^{b_{1}}...\delta_{e^{i}}^{b_{i}}...\delta_{a_{p}}^{b_{p}} + R_{dcb}{}^{c}\delta_{e^{0}}^{b}\delta_{a_{1}}^{b_{1}}...\delta_{a_{p}}^{b_{p}}\right]v_{b_{0}b_{1}...b_{p}}.$$

It follows from (3.4) and (3.2) that

$$[B_{cba_1a_2a_3...a_p}{}^{b_1...b_p} + B_{cba_2a_1a_3...a_p}{}^{b_1...b_p}] v_{b_1...b_p} = 0$$

where we have put

$$egin{aligned} B_{cba_1 \dots a_p}{}^b{}_1 \dots{}^b{}_p &= \sum\limits_{i=1}^p R_{cba_i}{}^e{}_i \delta^b_{a_1^i} \dots \delta^b_{c^i} \dots \delta^b_{a_p^p} \ &- (R_{ba_1c}{}^e{}^e{} + R_{ca_1b}{}^e{}_) \delta^b_{c^i} \delta^b_{a_2^i} \dots \delta^b_{a_p^p} \ &- \sum\limits_{i=2}^p R_{ba_1a_i}{}^e{}_i \delta^b_{c^i} \delta^b_{a_2^i} \dots \delta^b_{c^i} \dots \delta^b_{a_p^p} \ &- \sum\limits_{i=2}^p R_{ca_1a_i}{}^e{}_i \delta^b_b \delta^b_{a_2^i} \dots \delta^b_{c^i} \dots \delta^b_{a_p^p} . \end{aligned}$$

Since $v_{b_1...b_p}$ are arbitrary and (3.3) holds, it follows from (3.6) that

$$\sum_{\mathbf{r} \in \mathfrak{S}_p} \epsilon_{\mathbf{r}} B_{\mathbf{c} \mathbf{b} a_1 a_2 \dots a_p} {}^{\mathbf{r} (\mathbf{b}_1) \dots \mathbf{r} (\mathbf{b}_p)} + \sum_{\mathbf{r} \in \mathfrak{S}_p} \epsilon_{\mathbf{r}} B_{\mathbf{c} \mathbf{b} a_2 a_1 \dots a_p} {}^{\mathbf{r} (\mathbf{b}_1) \dots \mathbf{r} (\mathbf{b}_p)} = 0$$

where ε_r denotes the sign of the permutation $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_p$. If we put

$$\delta_{a_1,\ldots,a_p}^{b_1,\ldots b_p} = \sum_{\epsilon \in \mathfrak{S}_p} \varepsilon_{\epsilon} \delta_{a_1}^{\epsilon(b_1)} \ldots \delta_{a_p}^{\epsilon(b_p)} = \det(\delta_a^{b_j}),$$

we get from the above that

$$\begin{split} &(R_{ba_{1}{}^{c}}{}^{c}+R_{ca_{1}{}^{b}}{}^{c})\delta_{e}^{b_{1}}{}^{b_{2}}{}^{b_{2}}{}^{c}{}^{b}_{p}+(R_{ba_{2}{}^{c}}{}^{e}+R_{ca_{2}{}^{b}}{}^{c})\delta_{e}^{b_{1}}{}^{b_{2}}{}^{b_{3}}{}^{c}{}^{b}_{a_{1}}{}^{b}_{a_{3}}{}^{c}{}^{b}_{p}\\ &+(R_{ba_{1}a_{2}}{}^{e}+R_{ba_{2}a_{1}}{}^{e})\delta_{e}^{b_{1}}{}^{b_{2}}{}^{b_{3}}{}^{b}{}^{b}_{a_{p}}{}^{b}+\sum_{i=3}^{p}R_{ba_{1}a_{i}}{}^{e}\delta_{e}^{b_{1}}{}^{b_{2}}{}^{b}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{b}{}^{b}{}^{b_{2}}{}^{b}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{b}{}^{b}{}^{b}{}^{b}{}^{c}{}^{b}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{b}{}^{b}{}^{b}{}^{c}{}^{b}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{b}{}^{b}{}^{b}{}^{b}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{b}{}^{b}{}^{b}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{b}{}^{b}{}^{b}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{b}{}^{c}{}^{b}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}^{c}{}$$

Contracting this with respect to a_j and b_j $(2 \le j \le p)$, and taking account of the identity

$$\delta_{a_{1}...a_{k}^{b}b_{k+1}...b_{n}^{b}}^{b_{1}...b_{n}^{b}} = \{(m-k)!/(m-p)!\} \delta_{a_{1}...a_{k}^{b}}^{b_{1}...b_{n}^{b}}$$

we can get $W_{a_1bc}^{b_1} + W_{a_1c}^{b_1} = 0$. It follows from this that

$$(3.7) W_{dcb}{}^a=0.$$

On the other hand, from (3.5), (3.2) and (3.1) we have

$$\begin{split} & \left[B_{cba_{1}\ldots a_{p}}{}^{b_{1}\ldots b_{p}}\delta^{b_{0}}_{a^{0}} - B_{dba_{1}\ldots a_{p}}{}^{b_{1}\ldots b_{p}}\delta^{b_{0}}_{c^{0}} \right. \\ & \left. - 2\sum_{i=1}^{p}R_{dca_{i}}{}^{\epsilon}\delta^{b_{0}}_{b^{0}}\delta^{b_{1}}_{a^{1}_{1}}\ldots\delta^{b_{i}}_{c^{i}}\ldots\delta^{b_{p}}_{a^{p}_{p}} - 2R_{dcb}{}^{\epsilon}\delta^{b_{0}}_{c^{0}}\delta^{b_{1}}_{a^{1}_{1}}\ldots\delta^{b_{p}}_{a^{p}_{p}}\right]v_{b_{0}b_{1}\ldots b_{p}} \\ & \left. + \left[\nabla_{d}B_{cba_{1}\ldots a_{p}}{}^{b_{1}\ldots b_{p}} - \nabla_{c}B_{dba_{1}\ldots a_{p}}{}^{b_{1}\ldots b_{p}}\right]v_{b_{1}\ldots b_{p}} = 0. \end{split}$$

From this and the assumption of the theorem, we have

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{\cdot \in \mathfrak{S}_p} \varepsilon_{\cdot} B_{cba_1 \cdots a_p} {}^{\tau(b_1) \cdots \tau(b_p)} \delta^{b_0}_{d^0} - \sum_{\cdot \in \mathfrak{S}_p} \varepsilon_{\cdot} B_{dba_1 \cdots a_p} {}^{\tau(b_1) \cdots \tau(b_p)} \delta^{b_0}_{c^0} \\ &- 2 \sum_{t=1}^p R_{dca_t} {}^{\epsilon} \delta^{b_0}_{b^0} \delta^{b_1 \cdots b_t \cdots b_p}_{a_1 \cdots a_p} - 2 R_{dcb} {}^{b_0} \delta^{b_1 \cdots b_p}_{a_1 \cdots a_p} = 0 \,. \end{split}$$

If we contract this with respect to b_0 and d, b_1 and c, and b_j and a_j $(2 \le j \le p)$, we can get $R_{ba_1} = 0$. Substituting this into (3.7), we have $R_{dcb}{}^a = 0$. Q. E. D.

Last of all, we see the corresponding problem on projective Killing tensor of degree p. If in this case we consider the system (3.1), (3.3) and

$$\nabla_{c} v_{ba_{1} \dots a_{p}} = -(1/2) B_{cba_{1} \dots a_{p}}{}^{b_{1} \dots b_{p}} v_{b_{1} \dots b_{p}}$$

$$+ \{1/(m-p+2)\} \sum_{i=1}^{p} (-1)^{i-1} (g_{ca_{i}} \nabla_{b} v^{e}{}_{ea_{1} \dots \widehat{a}_{i} \dots a_{p}} + g_{ba_{i}} \nabla_{c} v^{e}{}_{ea_{1} \dots \widehat{a}_{i} \dots a_{p}}),$$

we have the following

Theorem 10. If there exists locally a projective Killing tensor $v_{a_1...a_p}$ of degree p satisfying $v_{a_1...a_p}(Q) = C_{a_1...a_p}$ for any point Q of $M^m(m \ge 3)$ and any skew symmetric constant $C_{a_1...a_p}$, then M^m is a space of projectively flat.

ON PROJECTIVE KILLING TENSOR

Proof. It follows from (3. 4) and (3. 8) by the same computation as in the proof of Theorem 9 that the equation (3. 6) holds. By the assumption, we then have (3. 7). Q. E. D.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. KASHIWADA: On conformal Killing tensor, Nat. Sci. Rep. Ochanomizu Univ. 19 (1968), 67—74.
- [2] S. TACHIBANA: On projective Killing tensor, Nat. Sci. Rep. Ochanomizu Univ. 21 (1970), 67—80.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY

(Received January 24, 1978)

Produced by The Berkeley Electronic Press, 1979