

Mathematical Journal of Okayama University

Volume 18, Issue 1

1975

Article 2

DECEMBER 1975

Uniform distribution of sequences of algebraic integers

H. Niederreiter*

Siu Kwong Lo[†]

*University Of California

[†]Benedict College

Copyright ©1975 by the authors. *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University* is produced by
The Berkeley Electronic Press (bepress). <http://escholarship.lib.okayama-u.ac.jp/mjou>

UNIFORM DISTRIBUTION OF SEQUENCES OF ALGEBRAIC INTEGERS

H. NIEDERREITER^{*)} and SIU KWONG LO

1. Introduction and summary. The definition of the uniform distribution of sequences of algebraic integers in a fixed algebraic number field K was first introduced by Kuipers, Niederreiter, and Shiue [4]. The concept contains as special cases the notion of uniform distribution of sequences of Gaussian integers studied in [4] and the notion of uniform distribution of sequences of rational integers introduced by Niven [10]. In the present paper, we shall establish some important general facts concerning uniformly distributed sequences of algebraic integers in K . The measure-theoretic and density-theoretic aspects of this notion of uniform distribution were studied in [9].

In Section 2, we prove various forms of the Weyl criterion for uniform distribution of sequences of algebraic integers in K , based either on an ideal-theoretic or on a module-theoretic viewpoint. In Section 3, we discuss the connection between the uniform distribution of sequences of algebraic integers in K and of sequences of integers in the various localizations of K . A certain subring of the adèle ring of K is constructed as a suitable compactification of the additive group of algebraic integers in K and is used to establish a number of important properties of uniformly distributed sequences of algebraic integers in K . In Section 4, interesting results about the relation between the uniform distribution of sequences of algebraic integers and of sequences of rational integers are obtained.

2. Weyl criterion. Let K be a given algebraic number field of degree k over the field \mathbb{Q} of rationals, and let O be the ring of algebraic integers in K . Let I be a nontrivial integral ideal in O with counting norm $\mathcal{N}I$. If $\mathcal{A} = (\alpha_n)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, is a sequence of elements in O , then we use $A(N, \alpha + I, \mathcal{A})$ to denote the number of n , $1 \leq n \leq N$, such that $\alpha_n \equiv \alpha \pmod{I}$. The following two definitions can be found in [4].

Definition 2.1. Let $I \subset O$ be a nontrivial integral ideal. Then the sequence \mathcal{A} is uniformly distributed modulo I (u. d. mod I) if

^{*)} The research of the first author was supported by NSF Grant MPS 72—05055 A02.

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(N, \alpha + I, \mathcal{A})}{N} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}I}$$

for every coset $\alpha + I$ of I .

Definition 2.2. The sequence \mathcal{A} is uniformly distributed in O (u. d. in O) if \mathcal{A} is u. d. mod I for every nontrivial integral ideal $I \subset O$.

Remark. Uniformly distributed sequences in O have been constructed in [9].

Let $W = \{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k\}$ be an integral basis for K over \mathbf{Q} . Then every $\alpha \in O$ can be uniquely expressed in the form $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^k x_i \omega_i$, where each x_i is in \mathbf{Z} , the ring of rational integers. If one identifies α with the lattice point $x = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$ in \mathbf{Z}^k , the set of all k -dimensional lattice points, then O can be identified with \mathbf{Z}^k . It turns out that, at least as far as the additive structure is concerned, the discussion of uniform distribution of sequences in O is equivalent to the discussion of uniform distribution of sequences in \mathbf{Z}^k (see [9, Section 2]). For the latter theory, see [6] and [7]. Because of this equivalence, the definition of uniform distribution of sequences in O can be viewed as a special case of a definition of Rubel [11].

We shall write $\exp(a) = e^{2\pi i a}$ for any real number a . The general criterion for uniform distribution of sequences in \mathbf{Z}^k is known to be the Weyl criterion [7, Theorem 2.2] which, when translated into a criterion for uniform distribution in O , reads as follows.

Theorem 2.3. (Weyl criterion). *Let $W = \{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k\}$ be an integral basis for K over \mathbf{Q} . Then the sequence $\mathcal{A} = (\alpha_n)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, with $\alpha_n = x_{n1}\omega_1 + \dots + x_{nk}\omega_k$ for $n \geq 1$, where $x_{nj} \in \mathbf{Z}$ for $n \geq 1$ and $j = 1, \dots, k$, is u. d. in O if and only if*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \exp(a_1 x_{n1} + \dots + a_k x_{nk}) = 0$$

for all k -tuples (a_1, \dots, a_k) of rationals, not all a_i being rational integers.

It is desirable to have criteria for the uniform distribution modulo a single integral ideal I . The following theorem ([2], see also [3, p. 227]) establishes a foundation for the subsequent discussion in this section.

Theorem 2.4. (Eckmann). *Let H be a compact abelian group and \widehat{H} its character group. A sequence (h_n) , $n=1, 2, \dots$, is u.d. in H if and only if*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \chi(h_n) = 0$$

for each nontrivial $\chi \in \widehat{H}$.

For each nontrivial integral ideal I , we will view O/I as a compact additive group in the discrete topology. We shall be searching for explicit forms of the characters of O/I .

Let J be a fractional ideal in K . Then J^* is defined by

$$J^* = \{\alpha \in K : \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(\alpha J) \subseteq \mathbf{Z}\},$$

where $\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbf{Q}} : K \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$ is the trace function from K to \mathbf{Q} . J^* is called the complementary set of J . We note that $J^* = O^* J^{-1}$, $(J^*)^{-1}$ is called the different of J , and $(O^*)^{-1}$ is the different of the field K (see [12, p. 155]).

\mathcal{P} will denote a prime ideal in O , P its corresponding prime divisor, and ν_P will be the normalized exponential valuation belonging to P . As a matter of convenience, we shall often define a character of O/I as a mapping on O . Of course, we have to verify that the mapping on O depends on the residue classes mod I only.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $I \subset O$ be a nontrivial integral ideal. Then the characters of O/I are given by*

$$\chi_\beta(\alpha) = \exp(\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(\alpha_i \beta)) \text{ for } \alpha \in O,$$

where β runs through a complete system of representatives of I^*/O^* .

Proof. It is evident that χ_β is a homomorphism from O to the circle group. Let α be in I . Since $\beta \in I^*$, we have $\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(\alpha_i \beta) \in \mathbf{Z}$, which implies that $\chi_\beta(\alpha) = 1$, i. e., χ_β is trivial on I . Thus, χ_β can be viewed as a character of O/I .

We claim that if $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in I^*$ with $\beta_1 - \beta_2 \notin O^*$, then $\chi_{\beta_1} \neq \chi_{\beta_2}$. Indeed, there is an $\alpha \in O$ such that $\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbf{Q}}((\beta_1 - \beta_2)\alpha) \notin \mathbf{Z}$. So, $\chi_{\beta_1}(\alpha) \neq \chi_{\beta_2}(\alpha)$.

Since distinct representatives of I^*/O^* give distinct characters and the group of characters of O/I is isomorphic to O/I , the proof will be complete once we show that the cardinality of I^*/O^* is $\mathcal{N}I$. Let $\{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{\mathcal{N}I}\}$ be a complete system of representatives of O/I . Let

$$I = \prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{P}_i^{t_i} \quad \text{and} \quad O^* = \prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{P}_i^{a_i}.$$

Then

$$I^*/O^* = \prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{P}_i^{a_i-t_i} / \prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{P}_i^{a_i}.$$

By the Strong Approximation Theorem [12, p.123], there is a $r \in K$ such that $\nu_{P_i}(r) = a_i - t_i$ for $i=1, \dots, m$, and $\nu_P(r) \geq 0$ for $P \neq P_1, \dots, P_m$. Now one checks in a straightforward way that $\{r\delta_1, \dots, r\delta_{\mathcal{N}^1}\}$ forms a complete system of representatives for I^*/O^* , and so we are done.

Corollary 2.6. *The sequence $\mathcal{A} = (\alpha_n)$, $n=1, 2, \dots$, in O is u. d. mod I if and only if*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \exp(\text{Tr}_{K/O}(\alpha_n \beta)) = 0$$

for all $\beta \in I^*$ with $\beta \notin O^*$.

If $W = \{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k\}$ is an integral basis for K over \mathbf{Q} , then every nontrivial integral ideal I possesses a canonical basis $\{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k\}$ of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_1 &= h_{11}\omega_1 + \dots + h_{1k}\omega_k \\ \nu_2 &= h_{21}\omega_1 + \dots + h_{2k}\omega_k \\ &\vdots \\ \nu_k &= \phantom{h_{k1}\omega_1} h_{kk}\omega_k \end{aligned}$$

such that $\prod_{i=1}^k h_{ii} = \mathcal{N}I$ and I is a \mathbf{Z} -module with basis $\{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k\}$ (see [12, p.163]).

If $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$ and $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_k)$ are two vectors of the Euclidean space \mathbf{R}^k , then $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i b_i$ denotes their standard inner product. In the following, we give an alternative formula for the characters of O/I .

Theorem 2.7. *Suppose I is an integral ideal with canonical basis $\{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k\}$, $\nu_i = \sum_{j=1}^k h_{ij}\omega_j$ for $i=1, \dots, k$, and m is a positive rational integer such that $mO \subseteq I$. Then the characters of O/I are given by*

$$\chi^{(j)}(\alpha) = \exp\left(\left(\frac{j_1}{m}, \dots, \frac{j_k}{m}\right) \cdot (x_1, \dots, x_k)\right),$$

where $\alpha = x_1\omega_1 + \dots + x_k\omega_k \in O$ and the k -tuple $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_k)$ of rational integers satisfies the following conditions:

(1) (j_1, \dots, j_k) is a solution of the system

$$\begin{aligned} j_1 h_{11} + j_2 h_{12} + \dots + j_k h_{1k} &\equiv 0 \pmod{m} \\ j_2 h_{22} + \dots + j_k h_{2k} &\equiv 0 \pmod{m} \\ &\vdots \\ j_k h_{kk} &\equiv 0 \pmod{m} \end{aligned}$$

(2) $0 \leq j_i < m$ for $i=1, \dots, k$.

Proof. From character theory, we know that the characters of O/mO are given by

$$\chi^{(j)}(\alpha) = \exp\left(\left(\frac{j_1}{m}, \dots, \frac{j_k}{m}\right) \cdot (x_1, \dots, x_k)\right),$$

where $j=(j_1, \dots, j_k)$ with $0 \leq j_i < m$ for $i=1, \dots, k$, and that the characters of O/I are those $\chi^{(j)}$ which are trivial on I/mO .

It is evident that a character of O/mO is trivial on I/mO if and only if it is trivial on $\nu_i + mO$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Thus, in order to find all characters of O/I , one needs to find all $\chi^{(j)}$ such that $\chi^{(j)}(\nu_i) = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ simultaneously. Equivalently, one needs to find all k -tuples $j=(j_1, \dots, j_k)$, $0 \leq j_i < m$ for $i=1, \dots, k$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} j_1 h_{11} + j_2 h_{12} + \dots + j_k h_{1k} &\equiv 0 \pmod{m} \\ j_2 h_{22} + \dots + j_k h_{2k} &\equiv 0 \pmod{m} \\ &\vdots \\ j_k h_{kk} &\equiv 0 \pmod{m} \end{aligned}$$

Remark. It is suggested to use $\mathcal{N}I$ for m in the preceding theorem, in view of the fact that the coset identity $(\mathcal{N}I)(1+I) = I$ implies $\mathcal{N}I \in I$.

In certain special cases, other types of character formulas can be given.

Definition 2.8. Let K be an algebraic number field with integral basis $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k\}$. Then for every element $\alpha = x_1 \omega_1 + \dots + x_k \omega_k$ in K , we define the projection map L_i , $i=1, \dots, k$, by $L_i(\alpha) = x_i$.

Theorem 2.9. If $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ with integral basis $\{1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{k-1}\}$ and $\theta \neq 0$ is an algebraic integer in K , then the characters of the additive group $O/\theta O$ are given by

$$\chi_\beta(\delta) = \exp(L_k(j\delta/\theta)),$$

where β and δ are algebraic integers in K .

Proof. It is obvious that χ_β is a group homomorphism from O to the circle group. We shall show that $\chi_\beta(\delta)$ depends only on the residue class of $\delta \pmod{\theta O}$. If $\delta_1 \equiv \delta_2 \pmod{\theta O}$, then $\beta(\delta_1 - \delta_2)/\theta = x_1 + x_2\alpha + \dots + x_k\alpha^{k-1}$ with $x_i \in \mathbf{Z}$, $i=1, \dots, k$. So, $L_k(\beta(\delta_1 - \delta_2)/\theta) = x_k$. Hence,

$$\frac{\chi_\beta(\delta_1)}{\chi_\beta(\delta_2)} = \exp(x_k) = 1.$$

We claim that if $\beta_1 \not\equiv \beta_2 \pmod{\theta O}$, then $\chi_{\beta_1} \neq \chi_{\beta_2}$. Indeed, $(\beta_1 - \beta_2)/\theta = a_1 + a_2\alpha + \dots + a_k\alpha^{k-1}$ and at least one of the $a_i \in \mathbf{Q} \setminus \mathbf{Z}$. Let m be the largest index such that $a_m \in \mathbf{Q} \setminus \mathbf{Z}$. Then $a_j \in \mathbf{Z}$ for $m < j \leq k$. Consider

$$(*) \quad \frac{\beta_1 - \beta_2}{\theta} \alpha^{k-m} = a_1\alpha^{k-m} + a_2\alpha^{k-m+1} + \dots + a_m\alpha^{k-1} + a_{m+1}\alpha^k + \dots + a_k\alpha^{2k-m-1}.$$

Since $a_{m+1}\alpha^k + \dots + a_k\alpha^{2k-m-1}$ is an algebraic integer, the total coefficient b of α^{k-1} in (*) is in $\mathbf{Q} \setminus \mathbf{Z}$, i. e.,

$$b = L_k\left(\frac{\beta_1 - \beta_2}{\theta} \alpha^{k-m}\right) \in \mathbf{Q} \setminus \mathbf{Z}.$$

Thus, $\chi_{\beta_1}(\alpha^{k-m}) \neq \chi_{\beta_2}(\alpha^{k-m})$. Therefore, we have found all characters, since there are as many as $\mathcal{N}(\theta O)$ which are all distinct.

Remark. Theorem 2.9 provides a convenient method to find the characters of $O/\theta O$. This theorem applies to many algebraic number fields, for instance, the quadratic fields. For necessary and sufficient conditions for $\mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$ to possess the integral basis $\{1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{k-1}\}$, the reader is referred to [12, p.164].

If O/I is cyclic, then O/I is generated by $1+I$ (see Theorem 4.2). Let $\varphi: O \rightarrow O/I$ be the natural homomorphism and $\psi_r: O/I \rightarrow \{0, \dots, \mathcal{N}I-1\}$ such that $\psi_r(r+I) = r$ for $r=0, \dots, \mathcal{N}I-1$. The characters of O/I are given by

$$\chi_j(\alpha) = \exp\left(\frac{j(\psi_r \circ \varphi)(\alpha)}{\mathcal{N}I}\right) \text{ for } \alpha \in O,$$

where $j=0, \dots, \mathcal{N}I-1$. The reader is referred to Theorem 4.4 for the characterization of O/I to be cyclic.

Based on the character formula for finite fields [5, p.90], the following assertion is evident. Let \mathcal{P} be a prime ideal of O with $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{P} = p^f$ and let φ be the natural homomorphism from O to O/\mathcal{P} . We write $\varphi(\alpha) = \bar{\alpha}$. Then the characters of O/\mathcal{P} are given by

$$\chi_{\bar{\beta}}(\bar{\alpha}) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=0}^{f-1} (\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta})^{p^i}\right) \text{ for } \bar{\beta} \in O/\mathcal{P},$$

where $\bar{\alpha} \in O/\mathcal{I}$.

We shall give a simple application of the results established so far. The following theorem was shown in [13].

Theorem 2.10. (Zame). *Let G be a locally compact abelian group with countable base. Also, let $\mathcal{S} \neq \emptyset$, \mathcal{T} be countable collections of closed subgroups of G such that :*

- (i) *finite intersections of elements of $\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{T}$ are of compact index;*
- (ii) *for each $S \in \mathcal{S}$ and $T \in \mathcal{T}$, we have $S \not\subseteq T$;*
- (iii) *for each $T \in \mathcal{T}$, there exists a character χ_T of G such that χ_T is trivial on T but is nontrivial on each $S \in \mathcal{S}$.*

Then there is a sequence (g_n) , $n=1, 2, \dots$, in G such that (g_n) is u.d. mod S for all $S \in \mathcal{S}$, but not u.d. mod T for $T \in \mathcal{T}$.

Theorem 2.11. *Let $\{I_m\}$ and $\{J_n\}$ be countable collections of nontrivial ideals in O such that $I_m \not\subseteq J_n$ for $m, n=1, 2, \dots$ and J_n^* is principal for $n=1, 2, \dots$. Then there exists a sequence in O which is u.d. mod I_m for $m=1, 2, \dots$, but which, for $n=1, 2, \dots$, is not u.d. mod J_n .*

Proof. We put $\mathcal{S} = \{I_m\}$, $m=1, 2, \dots$, and $\mathcal{T} = \{J_n\}$, $n=1, 2, \dots$, in Theorem 2.10. It suffices to check condition (iii) of that theorem. Take a fixed J_n . Since J_n^* is principal, we have $J_n^* = \gamma O$ for some $\gamma \in J_n^*$. Then the character $\chi_\gamma(\alpha) = \exp(\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha\bar{\gamma}))$, $\alpha \in O$, is trivial on J_n . Suppose χ_γ were trivial on some I_m . It follows that $\gamma \in I_m^*$. Thus, $J_n^* = \gamma O \subseteq I_m^*$, which implies $I_m \subseteq J_n$, a contradiction.

Corollary 2.12. *If $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ has the integral basis $\{1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{k-1}\}$ and $\{I_m\}$, $\{\theta_n O\}$ are countable collections of nontrivial integral ideals with $I_m \not\subseteq \theta_n O$ for $m, n=1, 2, \dots$, then there is a sequence in O that is u.d. mod I_m for $m=1, 2, \dots$, but which, for $n=1, 2, \dots$, is not u.d. mod $\theta_n O$.*

Proof. Let f be the minimal polynomial of α over \mathbb{Q} . Then $O^* = (f'(\alpha))^{-1}O$ (see [12, p.164]). Thus, $(\theta_n O)^* = (\theta_n f'(\alpha))^{-1}O$, which is principal for $n=1, 2, \dots$. The rest follows from Theorem 2.11.

Theorem 2.13. *There exists a sequence in O that is u.d. modulo all powers of all prime ideals, but not u.d. in O .*

Proof. In Theorem 2.11, we take $\{I_m\}$ to be an enumeration of all powers of all prime ideals. Let $O^* = \prod_{i=1}^s \mathcal{P}_i^{-r_i}$ with $r_i > 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$

($s=0$ if $k=1$). Let h be the class number of K , and let $\mathcal{O}_1 \neq \mathcal{O}_2$ be two prime ideals that are both distinct from $\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_s$. Put

$$J = \mathcal{O}_1^h \mathcal{O}_2^h \prod_{i=1}^s \mathcal{P}_i^{(h-1)r_i}.$$

We note that $I_m \subseteq J$ for $m=1, 2, \dots$ since J is not a power of a prime ideal, and that $J^* = J^{-1}O^* = (\mathcal{O}_1^{-1} \mathcal{O}_2^{-1} O^*)^h$, which is principal as the h -th power of a fractional ideal. Let $\{J\}$ play the role of the second collection of ideals in Theorem 2. 11, and the proof is complete.

3. Global and local uniform distribution. We shall use K_P to denote the local completion of an algebraic number field K at the non-trivial discrete prime divisor P . Let O_P be the ring of integers in K_P , and $\tau \in O$ such that $\nu_P(\tau)=1$. The fundamental neighborhoods of zero in K_P are given by $\tau^t O_P$ with $t \in \mathbf{Z}$. They are simultaneously closed and open. K_P is a second countable locally compact group with respect to addition and O_P is a compact subgroup of K_P . Every $\delta \in K_P$ has a unique expansion $\delta = \sum_{i=r}^{\infty} \alpha_i \tau^i$, $r \in \mathbf{Z}$, with $\alpha_i \neq 0$ for $\delta \neq 0$ and $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{P}$ for $i \geq r$, where \mathcal{P} is a fixed complete system of representatives of O/\mathcal{P} (see [12, p. 35]).

Since O_P is a compact group, the definition of uniform distribution is conventionally given with respect to the Haar measure (see [3, Chapter 4]). However, we find that the following equivalent definition is more convenient. The proof of the equivalence is essentially the same as the proof of Lemma 3. 6.

Definition 3.1. Let $\Delta = (\delta_n)$, $n=1, 2, \dots$, be a sequence of elements of O_P . Then Δ is u. d. in O_P if Δ is u. d. mod $\tau^t O_P$ (in the obvious sense) for all positive integers t .

Theorem 3.2. Let $\mathcal{A} = (\alpha_n)$, $n=1, 2, \dots$, be a sequence of algebraic integers in O . Then for every $t \geq 1$, \mathcal{A} is u. d. mod \mathcal{P}^t if and only if \mathcal{A} is u. d. mod $\tau^t O_P$.

Proof. For $\alpha, \beta \in O$, we have $\alpha \equiv \beta \pmod{\tau^t O_P}$ if and only if $\nu_P(\alpha - \beta) \geq t$ if and only if $\alpha \equiv \beta \pmod{\mathcal{P}^t}$. Hence, $A(N, \beta + \mathcal{P}^t, \mathcal{A}) = A(N, \beta + \tau^t O_P, \mathcal{A})$. So,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(N, \beta + \mathcal{P}^t, \mathcal{A})}{N} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(N, \beta + \tau^t O_P, \mathcal{A})}{N}$$

whenever one of the two limits exists; thus, \mathcal{A} is u. d. mod \mathcal{P}^t if and only if \mathcal{A} is u. d. mod $\tau^t O_P$.

The following two corollaries are immediate consequences.

Corollary 3.3. *If $\mathcal{A} = (\alpha_n)$, $n=1, 2, \dots$, is a sequence of algebraic integers in O , then \mathcal{A} is u.d. in O_P if and only if \mathcal{A} is u.d. mod \mathcal{P}^t for all $t \geq 1$.*

Corollary 3.4. *If $\mathcal{A} = (\alpha_n)$, $n=1, 2, \dots$, is a u.d. sequence in O , then \mathcal{A} is u.d. in O_P for all nontrivial discrete prime divisors P .*

Let $\delta = \sum_{i=r}^{\infty} \alpha_i \tau^i$ be in O_P . We set $S_m(\delta) = \sum_{i=r}^m \alpha_i \tau^i$ for $m \geq r$ and $S_m(\delta) = 0$ for $m < r$.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\Delta = (\delta_n)$, $n=1, 2, \dots$, be a sequence of elements of O_P . Then Δ is u.d. in O_P if and only if for each $m=0, 1, \dots$, the sequence $(S_m(\delta_n))$, $n=1, 2, \dots$, is u.d. mod \mathcal{P}^{m+1} in O .*

Proof. Let $\delta_n = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{ni} \tau^i$ for $n=1, 2, \dots$. Since $\delta_n - S_m(\delta_n) = \sum_{i=m+1}^{\infty} \alpha_{ni} \tau^i \in \tau^{m+1} O_P$, the sequence Δ is u.d. mod $\tau^{m+1} O_P$ if and only if $(S_m(\delta_n))$, $n=1, 2, \dots$, is u.d. mod \mathcal{P}^{m+1} in O . Consequently, Δ is u.d. in O_P if and only if $(S_m(\delta_n))$, $n=1, 2, \dots$, is u.d. mod \mathcal{P}^{m+1} in O for $m=0, 1, \dots$.

Let \mathcal{O} denote the Cartesian product $\mathcal{O} = \prod_P O_P$, where P runs through the set of all nontrivial discrete prime divisors of K . Let \mathcal{O} be furnished with the product topology. Then \mathcal{O} is a second countable compact group with respect to coordinatewise addition. \mathcal{O} can also be viewed as a subring of the adèle ring of K . Let μ be the Haar measure on \mathcal{O} . Then a μ -u.d. sequence in \mathcal{O} is simply said to be u.d. in \mathcal{O} (see [3, Chapter 4]).

For the remainder of this section, we shall assume, unless otherwise specified, that all the prime ideals in O have been enumerated in some fixed way, say $\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \dots$. For $j \geq 1$, let $\tau_j \in O$ such that $\nu_{P_j}(\tau_j) = 1$. By a fundamental neighborhood in \mathcal{O} , we mean a set $V \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ of the form $V = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} V_j$, where $V_j = O_{P_j}$ for all but finitely many j and V_j is a coset of $\tau_j^t O_{P_j}$, $t_j \geq 1$, for those $V_j \neq O_{P_j}$.

Lemma 3.6. *A sequence $\Gamma = (\gamma_n)$, $n=1, 2, \dots$, is u.d. in \mathcal{O} if and only if*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(N, V, \Gamma)}{N} = \mu(V)$$

holds for every fundamental neighborhood V in \mathcal{O} , where $A(N, V, \Gamma)$ is the number of n , $1 \leq n \leq N$, with $\gamma_n \in V$.

Proof. Evidently, a fundamental neighborhood V in \mathcal{O} is simultaneously closed and open. Thus, V is a μ -continuity set and the necessity of the condition follows from [3, Chapter 3, Theorem 1.2].

To prove sufficiency, let \mathcal{M} be the collection of all fundamental neighborhoods in \mathcal{O} , together with the empty set. Let $E \neq \emptyset$ be an open set in \mathcal{O} . By the regularity of μ , for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a closed set $C \subseteq E$ with $\mu(E \setminus C) < \varepsilon$. Let $\{V_i\}$, $V_i \subseteq E$, be an open cover for C consisting of fundamental neighborhoods. By the compactness of C , there exists a finite subcover $\{V_1, \dots, V_r\}$. Then $\mu(E \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^r V_j) < \varepsilon$. By [3, Chapter 3, Exercise 1.15], the collection of characteristic functions of elements in \mathcal{M} forms a convergence-determining class [3, p.172] with respect to μ . So, the sufficiency is proved.

Let $i_p: O \rightarrow O_p$ be the canonical embedding. Then $i = \times_p i_p: O \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ is an injective homomorphism which maps O into the "diagonal" of \mathcal{O} . For the purpose of simplicity, when $\alpha \in O$, we shall use the symbol α to denote α , $i_p(\alpha)$, and $i(\alpha)$. The meaning will be clear from the context.

We note that every nonzero ideal in O can be expressed in the form $I = \prod_{j=1}^r \mathcal{P}_j^{s_j}$ with $s_j \geq 0$ for $j=1, \dots, r$.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $\alpha \in O$ and let $\beta + I$ be a coset of the nonzero integral ideal $I = \prod_{j=1}^r \mathcal{P}_j^{s_j}$. Then $\alpha \in \beta + I$ if and only if α is in the fundamental neighborhood $V = \times_{j=1}^r V_j$ in \mathcal{O} with $V_j = \beta_j + \tau_j^{s_j} O_{P_j}$ for $j=1, \dots, r$ and $V_j = O_{P_j}$ for $j > r$, where $\beta_j \in O$ and $\beta_j \equiv \beta \pmod{\mathcal{P}_j^{s_j}}$ for $j=1, \dots, r$.*

Proof. $\alpha \in \beta + I$ is equivalent to $\alpha - \beta \in \mathcal{P}_j^{s_j}$ for $j=1, \dots, r$, which, in turn, is equivalent to $\alpha - \beta_j \in \mathcal{P}_j^{s_j}$ for $j=1, \dots, r$. The latter condition holds if and only if $\alpha - \beta_j \in \tau_j^{s_j} O_{P_j}$ for $j=1, \dots, r$, and this is satisfied precisely if $\alpha \in V$.

Theorem 3.8. *Let $\mathcal{A} = (\alpha_n)$, $n=1, 2, \dots$, be a sequence of elements of O . Then \mathcal{A} is u. d. in O if and only if \mathcal{A} is u. d. in \mathcal{O} .*

Proof. Suppose \mathcal{A} is u. d. in \mathcal{O} . Let $\beta + I$ be a coset of the nontrivial integral ideal I and V be the fundamental neighborhood in \mathcal{O} constructed in Lemma 3.7. Then, $A(N, \beta + I, \mathcal{A}) = A(N, V, \mathcal{A})$, and so

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(N, \beta + I, \mathcal{A})}{N} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(N, V, \mathcal{A})}{N} = \mu(V) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}I}$$

by Lemma 3.6. Thus, \mathcal{A} is u. d. in O .

Conversely, suppose \mathcal{A} is u. d. in O . Let V be a fundamental neighborhood in \mathcal{O} , say $V = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} V_j$ with $V_j = \beta_j + \tau_j^j O_{P_j}$ for $j = 1, \dots, r$ and $V_j = O_{P_j}$ for $j > r$, where $\beta_j \in O$ for $j = 1, \dots, r$. By the Chinese Remainder Theorem, there exists a $\beta \in O$ with $\beta_j \equiv \beta \pmod{\mathcal{P}_j^{t_j}}$ for $j = 1, \dots, r$. Then, with $I = \prod_{j=1}^r \mathcal{P}_j^{t_j}$, we have $A(N, V, \mathcal{A}) = A(N, \beta + I, \mathcal{A})$ according to Lemma 3.7. It follows that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(N, V, \mathcal{A})}{N} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(N, \beta + I, \mathcal{A})}{N} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}I} = \mu(V),$$

and so \mathcal{A} is u. d. in \mathcal{O} by Lemma 3.6.

Remark. According to a terminology introduced by Berg, Rajagopalan, and Rubel [1], one may call \mathcal{O} the D -compactification of O .

Let \mathcal{B} be the algebra generated by the empty set and the cosets of nonzero ideals of O . A finitely additive measure ν called the Banach-Buck measure (see [9, Section 4]) can be defined on \mathcal{B} . Let ν^* be the outer measure which extends ν . In [9, Theorem 4.5] it was proved that a set $A \subseteq O$ satisfies $\nu^*(A) = 1$ if and only if A intersects every coset of every nonzero integral ideal.

Theorem 3.9. *Let $A \subseteq O$. Then the elements of A can be arranged into a u. d. sequence in O if and only if $\nu^*(A) = 1$.*

Proof. If the elements of A can be arranged into a u. d. sequence in O , then $\nu^*(A) = 1$ by [9, Theorem 4.8].

Conversely, suppose $\nu^*(A) = 1$. Then, by the remark preceding Theorem 3.9, A intersects every coset of every nonzero integral ideal. By Lemma 3.7 and the Chinese Remainder Theorem, A is dense in \mathcal{O} . By [3, Chapter 3, Theorem 2.5] (see also [8] for more general results), the elements of A can be arranged into a u. d. sequence in \mathcal{O} . An application of Theorem 3.8 completes the proof.

Corollary 3.10. *The set C of all composite algebraic integers in O can be arranged into a u. d. sequence in O .*

Proof. In [9, Example 4.6] it was shown that $\nu^*(C) = 1$. Thus, the corollary follows from Theorem 3.9.

Remark. For $O = \mathbb{Z}$, the result of the above corollary was shown by Niven [10].

Based on the methods of this section, we give an alternative proof of Theorem 2.13 for the case when $[K:\mathbf{Q}] \geq 2$. The case $K=\mathbf{Q}$ was proved by Niven [10]. We shall construct a normed regular Borel measure μ_1 on \mathcal{O} which is different from the Haar measure μ but has the same projections as μ has on each coordinate space O_p . Since \mathcal{O} is dense in \mathcal{O} , it can be arranged into a μ_1 -u. d. sequence \mathcal{A} . However, μ_1 is different from μ , and so \mathcal{A} is not u. d. in \mathcal{O} . Since μ and μ_1 have the same projection on each O_p , \mathcal{A} is u. d. in each O_p . By Corollary 3.3, this means that \mathcal{A} is u. d. modulo all powers of all prime ideals in \mathcal{O} .

For the sake of brevity, we only sketch the construction of μ_1 . By choosing two prime ideals in \mathcal{O} that lie over a rational prime splitting completely in K , we obtain prime ideals \mathcal{P}_1 and \mathcal{P}_2 with $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{P}_1 = \mathcal{N}\mathcal{P}_2 = q$, say. By a square of degree r in $O_{P_1} \times O_{P_2}$ we mean a Cartesian product of cosets of the form $(\alpha + \tau_1^r O_{P_1}) \times (\beta + \tau_2^r O_{P_2})$, $\alpha \in O_{P_1}$, $\beta \in O_{P_2}$, r a positive rational integer. We label the distinct cosets of $\tau_1 O_{P_1}$ by $\alpha_{11} + \tau_1 O_{P_1}, \dots, \alpha_{q1} + \tau_1 O_{P_1}$ and the distinct cosets of $\tau_2 O_{P_2}$ by $\beta_{11} + \tau_2 O_{P_2}, \dots, \beta_{q1} + \tau_2 O_{P_2}$. Then $(\alpha_{i1} + \tau_1 O_{P_1}) \times (\beta_{j1} + \tau_2 O_{P_2})$ is called a diagonal square of degree 1 if $i=j$. Each one of the q diagonal squares of degree 1 contains q diagonal squares of degree 2 obtained in an analogous fashion. Similarly, we can construct the diagonal squares of degree m which are inside the diagonal squares of degree $m-1$. Let \mathcal{E} be the algebra generated by all the squares in $O_{P_1} \times O_{P_2}$. Define a set function φ'_1 from the generators of \mathcal{E} to the nonnegative reals by

$$\varphi'_1((\alpha + \tau_1^n O_{P_1}) \times (\beta + \tau_2^n O_{P_2})) = q^{-n}$$

if $(\alpha + \tau_1^n O_{P_1}) \times (\beta + \tau_2^n O_{P_2})$ is a diagonal square of degree n and

$$\varphi'_1((\alpha + \tau_1^n O_{P_1}) \times (\beta + \tau_2^n O_{P_2})) = 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

It can be proved that φ'_1 can be extended uniquely to a normed regular Borel measure φ_1 on $O_{P_1} \times O_{P_2}$. Evidently, φ_1 is distinct from the Haar measure on $O_{P_1} \times O_{P_2}$, but has the same projections on O_{P_i} for $i=1, 2$ as the Haar measure. We let φ_2 be the Haar measure on $\prod_{i=3}^{\infty} O_{P_i}$ and set $\mu_1 = \varphi_1 \times \varphi_2$. Then μ_1 is the desired measure.

4. Uniform distribution of algebraic integers and of rational integers. Since the uniform distribution in \mathbf{Z}^k and in \mathcal{O} are equivalent (see [9, Section 2]), these two concepts will be used interchangeably in this section. The following theorem was first proved in [7, Theorem 2.3] for \mathbf{Z}^k .

Theorem 4.1. (Niederreiter). *Let K be an algebraic number field with integral basis $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k\}$ over \mathbf{Q} and let $\mathcal{A}=(\alpha_n)$, $n=1, 2, \dots$, with $\alpha_n=x_{n1}\omega_1+\dots+x_{nk}\omega_k$ for $n\geq 1$, be a sequence in O . The sequence \mathcal{A} is u. d. in O if and only if for all k -tuples (s_1, \dots, s_k) of rational integers with g. c. d. $(s_1, \dots, s_k)=1$, the sequences (σ_n) , $n=1, 2, \dots$, with $\sigma_n=s_1x_{n1}+\dots+s_kx_{nk}$ for $n\geq 1$, are u. d. in \mathbf{Z} .*

In the discussion to follow later on, one will find that the uniform distribution of a sequence in O modulo a single ideal I is equivalent to the uniform distribution mod $\mathcal{A}I$ of a certain sequence in \mathbf{Z} whenever O/I is cyclic. Here we give the characterization of O/I to be cyclic.

Theorem 4.2. *Let K be an algebraic number field with integral basis $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k\}$ over \mathbf{Q} , let I be a nontrivial integral ideal, and let m be the smallest positive rational integer in I . The following statements are equivalent :*

- (1) O/I is cyclic;
- (2) there is a sequence $X=(x_n)$, $n=1, 2, \dots$, of rational integers and an $\alpha\in O$ such that $(x_n\alpha)$, $n=1, 2, \dots$, is u. d. mod I ;
- (3) $m=\mathcal{A}I$;
- (4) there is a sequence $Y=(y_n)$, $n=1, 2, \dots$, of rational integers such that Y is u. d. mod I ;
- (5) $\omega_i\equiv d_i \pmod{I}$ for some $d_i\in\mathbf{Z}$, for $i=1, \dots, k$.

Proof. Assume (1). Then O/I is generated by $\alpha+I$ for some $\alpha\in O$. Choose $X=(n\alpha)$, $n=1, 2, \dots$. Then (2) follows.

Assume (2). Then $\alpha+I$ is a generator of O/I . Since $m\in I$, we have $m\alpha\equiv 0 \pmod{I}$, and so $\mathcal{A}I$ divides m . On the other hand, $m\leq\mathcal{A}I$, and (3) follows.

Assume (3). Then $1+I$ is a generator of O/I . Choose $Y=(n)$, $n=1, 2, \dots$, then (4) is true.

Assume (4). Then each residue class mod I contains a rational integer, and (5) follows.

Assume (5). Then each coset of I is of the form $d+I$ for some $d\in\mathbf{Z}$, and (1) follows.

Theorem 4.3. *Let \mathcal{P} be a prime ideal in O with ramification index e and residue class degree f over \mathbf{Q} .*

- (1) *When $e=1$, O/\mathcal{P}^t is cyclic if and only if $f=1$. In this case, t can be an arbitrary positive rational integer.*
- (2) *When $e>1$, O/\mathcal{P}^t is cyclic if and only if $f=t=1$.*

Proof. If a is a real number, we use $\langle a \rangle$ to denote the smallest rational integer $\geq a$. Suppose \mathcal{P} lies over the rational prime p . Let n be a positive integer such that $n \in \mathcal{P}'$. This is equivalent to $\nu_p(n) \geq t/e$. Thus the smallest positive rational integer m in \mathcal{P}' is $m = p^{\langle t/e \rangle}$. By Theorem 4.2, O/\mathcal{P}' is cyclic if and only if $tf = \langle t/e \rangle$ (since $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{P}' = p^{t'}$). We consider the equation $tf = \langle t/e \rangle$ with the unknown t being a positive rational integer.

Case 1: when $e=1$, the equation has a solution if and only if $f=1$. In this case, t is arbitrary.

Case 2: $e > 1$. If $t \leq e$, then $tf = \langle t/e \rangle$ has a solution if and only if $f = t = 1$. If $t > e$, then $tf = \langle t/e \rangle$ has no solution since $\langle t/e \rangle < t/e + 1 < t \leq tf$.

Theorem 4.4. Suppose $I = \prod_{i=1}^r \mathcal{P}_i^{t_i}$, where the \mathcal{P}_i are distinct prime ideals with ramification indices e_i , $1 \leq i \leq r$, and residue class degrees f_i , $1 \leq i \leq r$, and where $t_i \geq 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Then O/I is cyclic if and only if g. c. d. $(\mathcal{N}\mathcal{P}_i, \mathcal{N}\mathcal{P}_j) = 1$ for $i \neq j$, $f_i = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$, and $t_i = 1$ whenever $e_i > 1$.

Proof. By the Chinese Remainder Theorem, we have $O/I \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^r (O/\mathcal{P}_i^{t_i})$. Thus, the sufficiency is a direct consequence of Theorem 4.3 and of g. c. d. $(\mathcal{N}\mathcal{P}_i, \mathcal{N}\mathcal{P}_j) = 1$ for $i \neq j$.

As for the necessity, one notices first that $O/\mathcal{P}_i^{t_i}$ is cyclic for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Thus, by Theorem 4.3, $f_i = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $t_i = 1$ whenever $e_i > 1$. Without loss of generality, suppose $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{P}_1 = p^{f_1}$ and $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{P}_2 = p^{f_2}$. Since $O/I \cong (O/\mathcal{P}_1^{t_1} \mathcal{P}_2^{t_2}) \oplus (O/\prod_{i=3}^r \mathcal{P}_i^{t_i})$, it suffices to show that $O/\mathcal{P}_1^{t_1} \mathcal{P}_2^{t_2}$ is not cyclic to arrive at a contradiction. For a real number a , let $\langle a \rangle$ be the smallest rational integer $\geq a$. A positive rational integer n is in $\mathcal{P}_1^{t_1} \mathcal{P}_2^{t_2}$ if and only if $\nu_p(n) \geq t_1/e_1$ and $\nu_p(n) \geq t_2/e_2$. Hence, the smallest positive rational integer m in $\mathcal{P}_1^{t_1} \mathcal{P}_2^{t_2}$ is $m = \max_{i=1,2} p^{\langle t_i/e_i \rangle}$. By Theorem 4.2, $O/\mathcal{P}_1^{t_1} \mathcal{P}_2^{t_2}$ is cyclic if and only if $\max_{i=1,2} \langle t_i/e_i \rangle = f_1 t_1 + f_2 t_2$. However, it is obvious that $\max_{i=1,2} \langle t_i/e_i \rangle < f_1 t_1 + f_2 t_2$, and this yields the desired contradiction.

Theorem 4.5. Let K be an algebraic number field with integral basis $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k\}$ over \mathbf{Q} , and let I be a nontrivial integral ideal. Suppose $\omega_i \equiv d_i \pmod{I}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$, where $d_i \in \mathbf{Z}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then a sequence $\mathcal{A} = (\alpha_n)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, in O with $\alpha_n = x_{n1}\omega_1 + \dots + x_{nk}\omega_k$ for $n \geq 1$ is u. d. mod I if and only if the sequence (σ_n) , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, with $\sigma_n = x_{n1}d_1 + \dots + x_{nk}d_k$ for $n \geq 1$, is u. d. mod $\mathcal{N}I$ in \mathbf{Z} .

Proof. Since $\sigma_n \equiv \alpha_n \pmod{I}$, the sequence \mathcal{A} can be replaced mod I by the sequence (σ_n) , $n=1, 2, \dots$. According to Theorem 4.2, $\{0, 1, \dots, \mathcal{N}I-1\}$ constitutes a complete system of representatives of O/I , and if $d \in \mathbf{Z}$, we have

$$A(N, d + I, (\sigma_n)) = A(N, d + (\mathcal{N}I)\mathbf{Z}, (\sigma_n)),$$

since $a \equiv b \pmod{I}$ is equivalent to $a \equiv b \pmod{\mathcal{N}I}$ for $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$. Thus, the theorem follows.

Corollary 4.6. *Suppose $K = \mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$ with integral basis $\{1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{k-1}\}$ over \mathbf{Q} and I is a nontrivial integral ideal with $\alpha \equiv d \pmod{I}$ for some $d \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then a sequence $\mathcal{A} = (\alpha_n)$, $n=1, 2, \dots$, in O with $\alpha_n = x_{n,0} + x_{n,1}\alpha + \dots + x_{n,k-1}\alpha^{k-1}$ for $n \geq 1$ is u. d. mod I if and only if the sequence (σ_n) , $n=1, 2, \dots$, where $\sigma_n = x_{n,0} + x_{n,1}d + \dots + x_{n,k-1}d^{k-1}$ for $n \geq 1$, is u. d. mod $\mathcal{N}I$ in \mathbf{Z} .*

Theorem 4.7. *Suppose $\mathcal{A} = (\alpha_n)$, $n=1, 2, \dots$, is u. d. in O . Then there exists a natural number m , independent of \mathcal{A} , such that the sequence $(\frac{1}{m} \text{Tr}_{K/O}(\alpha_n))$, $n=1, 2, \dots$, is u. d. in \mathbf{Z} .*

Proof. It is obvious that $\text{Tr}_{K/O} : O \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ is an additive group homomorphism. Thus, there is a natural number m such that $\text{Tr}_{K/O}(O) = m\mathbf{Z}$. Since the topologies on O and \mathbf{Z} are discrete, $\frac{1}{m} \text{Tr}_{K/O}$ is an open, onto, continuous homomorphism. By [3, Chapter 4, Theorem 5.1], we know that $(\frac{1}{m} \text{Tr}_{K/O}(\alpha_n))$, $n=1, 2, \dots$, is u. d. in \mathbf{Z} .

Theorem 4.8. *Let $(m_1, \dots, m_k) \in \mathbf{Z}^k$ with $m_i \geq 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$, and let $X = (x_n)$, $n=1, 2, \dots$, with $x_n = (x_{n1}, \dots, x_{nk})$ for $n \geq 1$, be a sequence of lattice points. Then X is u. d. mod (m_1, \dots, m_k) in \mathbf{Z}^k if and only if the sequences (σ_n) , $n=1, 2, \dots$, with*

$$\sigma_n = \frac{1}{m} (j_1 m_2 \cdots m_k x_{n1} + \cdots + j_k m_1 \cdots m_{k-1} x_{nk}) \text{ for } n \geq 1,$$

are u. d. mod $(\frac{1}{m} \prod_{i=1}^k m_i)$ in \mathbf{Z} for any k -tuple $(j_1, \dots, j_k) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$ in \mathbf{Z}^k with $0 \leq j_i < m_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $m = \text{g. c. d.} (\prod_{i=1}^k m_i, j_1 m_2 \cdots m_k, \dots, j_k m_1 \cdots m_{k-1})$.

Proof. To prove necessity, let $t \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $1 \leq t < \frac{1}{m} \prod_{i=1}^k m_i$ and set

$$\frac{t}{\prod_{i=1}^k m_i} = \frac{p}{q}, \text{ where g. c. d. } (p, q) = 1 \text{ and } q \geq 1.$$

Obviously, $q > 1$. Put

$$s_1 = \frac{j_1 m_2 \cdots m_k}{m}, \dots, s_k = \frac{j_k m_1 \cdots m_{k-1}}{m}.$$

We claim that at least one of the $\frac{p}{q} s_i$ is not an integer. For otherwise, $q | s_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $q | \frac{1}{m} \prod_{i=1}^k m_i$, which implies that qm is a common divisor of $\prod_{i=1}^k m_i, j_1 m_2 \cdots m_k, \dots, j_k m_1 \cdots m_{k-1}$. But $qm > m$, yielding a contradiction. Thus, by [7, Theorem 2.1], one has

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \exp\left(\frac{t}{\prod_{i=1}^k m_i} (s_1 x_{n1} + \cdots + s_k x_{nk})\right) \\ = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \exp\left(\frac{t j_1}{m_1} x_{n1} + \cdots + \frac{t j_k}{m_k} x_{nk}\right) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and the desired conclusion follows from [3, Chapter 5, Theorem 1.2].

To prove sufficiency, let (j_1, \dots, j_k) be as in the theorem. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \exp\left(\frac{j_1}{m_1} x_{n1} + \cdots + \frac{j_k}{m_k} x_{nk}\right) \\ = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \exp\left(\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^k m_i} (s_1 x_{n1} + \cdots + s_k x_{nk})\right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

by [3, Chapter 5, Theorem 1.2], and the desired conclusion follows from [7, Theorem 2.1].

As an immediate consequence of the above theorem, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 4.9. *Let K be an algebraic number field with integral basis $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k\}$ over \mathbf{Q} . If $I = m_1 \omega_1 \mathbf{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus m_k \omega_k \mathbf{Z}$, $m_i \in \mathbf{Z}$, $m_i \geq 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$, is a nontrivial integral ideal and $\mathcal{A} = (\alpha_n)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, with $\alpha_n = x_{n1} \omega_1 + \cdots + x_{nk} \omega_k$ for $n \geq 1$, is a sequence of algebraic integers, then \mathcal{A} is u. d. mod I if and only if the sequences (σ_n) , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, with*

$$\sigma_n = \frac{1}{m} (j_1 m_2 \cdots m_k x_{n1} + \cdots + j_k m_1 \cdots m_{k-1} x_{nk}) \text{ for } n \geq 1,$$

are u. d. mod $\left(\frac{\mathcal{A} \wedge I}{m}\right)$ in \mathbf{Z} for every k -tuple $(j_1, \dots, j_k) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$ in \mathbf{Z}^k with $0 \leq j_i < m_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $m = \text{g. c. d.}(\mathcal{A} \wedge I, j_1 m_2 \cdots m_k, \dots, j_k m_1 \cdots m_{k-1})$.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. D. BERG, M. RAJAGOPALAN, and L. A. RUBEL: Uniform distribution in locally compact abelian groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **133** (1968), 435—446.
- [2] B. ECKMANN: Über monothetische Gruppen, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **16** (1943/44), 249—263.
- [3] L. KUIPERS and H. NIEDERREITER: *Uniform Distribution of Sequences*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1974.
- [4] L. KUIPERS, H. NIEDERREITER, and J.-S. SHIUE: Uniform distribution of sequences in the ring of Gaussian integers, *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica.* to appear.
- [5] S. LANG: *Algebraic Number Theory*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1970.
- [6] H. NIEDERREITER: Uniform distribution of lattice points, *Proc. Number Theory Cnfr.* (Boulder, Colo., 1972), pp. 162—166.
- [7] _____: On a class of sequences of lattice points, *J. Number Th.* **4** (1972), 477—502.
- [8] _____: Rearrangement theorems for sequences, *Journées Arithmétiques de Bordeaux 1974*, Collection Astérisque, Vol. **24—25**, pp. 243—261, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1975.
- [9] H. NIEDERREITER and S. K. LO: Banach-Buck measure, density, and uniform distribution in rings of algebraic integers, *Pacific J. Math.*, to appear.
- [10] I. NIVEN: Uniform distribution of sequences of integers, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **98** (1961), 52—61.
- [11] L. A. RUBEL: Uniform distribution in locally compact groups, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **39** (1965), 253—258.
- [12] E. WEISS: *Algebraic Number Theory*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1933.
- [13] A. ZAME: On a problem of Narkiewicz concerning uniform distributions of sequences of integers, *Colloq. Math.* **24** (1972), 271—273.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
LOS ANGELES, CA 90024
U. S. A.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
BENEDICT COLLEGE
COLUMBIA, S. C. 29204
U. S. A.

(Received December 4, 1974)