

Mathematical Journal of Okayama University

Volume 7, Issue 1

1957

Article 3

JULY 1957

On strictly Galois extensions of degree p^e over a division ring of characteristic p

Takesi Onodera*

Hisao Tominaga[†]

*Hokkaido University

[†]Okayama University

Copyright ©1957 by the authors. *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University* is produced by
The Berkeley Electronic Press (bepress). <http://escholarship.lib.okayama-u.ac.jp/mjou>

ON STRICTLY GALOIS EXTENSIONS OF DEGREE p^e OVER A DIVISION RING OF CHARACTERISTIC p

TAKESI ONODERA and HISAO TOMINAGA

Let K be a field and \mathfrak{G} be an automorphism group of finite order $n > 1$ with D as the fixed subring. Recently C. C. Faith announced the equivalence of the following two propositions [2]:¹⁾

(1) If $T_{\mathfrak{G}}(k) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}} k^{\sigma}$ is non-zero then $\{k^{\sigma} \mid \sigma \in \mathfrak{G}\}$ is a basis of K/D .

(2) D has prime characteristic p and $n = p^e$.

On the other hand, in [1], A. S. Amitsur considered cyclic division ring extensions,²⁾ and proved in this case that (2) implies (1) [1, Theorem 1]. In this note, we shall prove that Amitsur's result can be extended to the case that D has prime characteristic p and $n = p^e$. More precisely: if K/D is strictly Galois with respect to \mathfrak{G} of order $n > 1$, then (1) and (2) are equivalent to each other.³⁾

Of course, our result contains Faith's completely. And we suppose that the essential tools in our proof are similar to those in [2], nevertheless the details of Faith's discussion do not appear so far.

1. Group ring defined by \mathfrak{G} and D

Let D be a division ring, and \mathfrak{G} be a finite group. A ring R containing D (with the common identity) is called a *group ring* defined by \mathfrak{G} and D if there exist regular elements u_{σ} ($\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}$) such that $u_{\sigma}u_{\tau} = u_{\sigma\tau}$, $du_{\sigma} = u_{\sigma}d$ ($d \in D$) and $R = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}} u_{\sigma}D$. In what follows, for the sake of brevity, we shall write $\mathfrak{G}D$ and $\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}} \sigma d_{\sigma}$ instead of $\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}} u_{\sigma}D$ and $\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}} u_{\sigma}d_{\sigma}$ respectively. Needless to say, given \mathfrak{G} and D , we can construct a group ring defined by \mathfrak{G} and D in an obvious way.

Lemma 1. *Let $\mathfrak{G}D = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}} \sigma D$ be a group ring defined by a group*

1) Numbers in brackets refer to the references cited at the end of this paper.

2) He called K a *cyclic extension* of D if K possesses a cyclic group \mathfrak{G} of n automorphisms with D as the fixed subring, and K has a right (and so left) D -dimension n . The last requirement is superfluous for outer automorphism groups, but it is essential in our present consideration as well as in [1].

3) For the terminology "strictly Galois", see the definition given in § 2.

\mathfrak{G} of order $n > 1$ and a division ring D . If $\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}} d_{\sigma} \neq 0$ ($d_{\sigma} \in D$) implies that the set $\{(\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}} \sigma d_{\sigma})\tau \mid \tau \in \mathfrak{G}\}$ is linearly independent over D , then $\chi(D)$ (the characteristic of D) is a prime p and $n = p^e$.

Proof. If $\chi(D) = 0$ then, setting all $d_{\sigma} = 1$, $\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}} d_{\sigma} = n \neq 0$ but evidently the set $\{(\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}} \sigma d_{\sigma})\tau \mid \tau \in \mathfrak{G}\}$ is linearly dependent, being contradictory to the assumption. Thus $\chi(D) = p \neq 0$. Now we set $n = p^e n'$, where $(p, n') = 1$. If $n' > 1$ then, for any prime factor q of n' , there exists a q -Sylow group \mathfrak{H} of \mathfrak{G} . We set here $d_{\sigma} = 1$ and 0 according as σ is in \mathfrak{H} or not. Then $\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}} d_{\sigma}$ is a power of q and so it is not zero. On the other hand, as one will readily see, $\{(\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}} \sigma d_{\sigma})\tau \mid \tau \in \mathfrak{G}\}$ is linearly dependent. This contradiction proves $n' = 1$.

Lemma 2. Let $\mathfrak{G}D$ be a group ring defined by \mathfrak{G} of order p and D of characteristic $p \neq 0$. Then $\mathfrak{G}D$ is completely primary, that is, all the non-regular elements form an ideal.

Proof. Let σ be a generating element of \mathfrak{G} . Evidently $1 - \sigma$ is a central nilpotent element of $\mathfrak{G}D$ of nilpotency index p , accordingly $A_i = \{x \in \mathfrak{G}D \mid x(1 - \sigma)^i = 0\}$ is an ideal and there holds $A_0 \subset A_1 \subset \cdots \subset A_{p-1} \subset A_p = \mathfrak{G}D$. Recalling the well-known formula $\binom{p-1}{r} \equiv (-1)^r \pmod{p}$, we obtain $(1 - \sigma)^{p-1} = \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} (-1)^r \binom{p-1}{r} \sigma^r = \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} \sigma^r$. We shall prove that $N = \{\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sigma^i d_i \mid \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} d_i = 0\}$ is the ideal consisting of all the non-regular elements. If $\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} d_i \neq 0$ then $(\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sigma^i d_i) \cdot (1 - \sigma)^{p-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} d_i \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \sigma^j \neq 0$, whence $\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sigma^i d_i$ is not in A_{p-1} . Moreover this fact implies that $(\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sigma^i d_i) \cdot (1 - \sigma)^j$ is contained in A_{p-j} but not in A_{p-j-1} ($j = 0, \dots, p-1$). Hence $\{(\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sigma^i d_i)(1 - \sigma)^j \mid j = 0, \dots, p-1\}$ forms an independent D -basis of $\mathfrak{G}D$, that is, $\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sigma^i d_i$ is a regular element. Conversely, if $\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sigma^i d_i$ is regular in $\mathfrak{G}D$ then $(\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sigma^i d_i) \cdot (1 + \sigma + \cdots + \sigma^{p-1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} d_i \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \sigma^j$ is non-zero, whence $\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} d_i \neq 0$. As evidently N is an ideal, our proof is complete.

The above lemma is still valid for \mathfrak{G} of order p^e , but moreover we shall prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. A group ring $\mathfrak{G}D$ defined by \mathfrak{G} of order $n > 1$ and

D is completely primary if and only if $\chi(D)$ is a prime p and $n=p^e$. And if $\mathbb{U}D$ is completely primary then the totality of non-regular elements is $N = \{\sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{U}} \sigma d_\sigma \mid \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{U}} d_\sigma = 0\} = \sum_{1 \neq \sigma \in \mathbb{U}} (1 - \sigma) D$.

Proof. In any completely primary ring, all the non-regular elements form a unique maximal one-sided ideal, which coincides with the (Jacobson) radical by [3, Theorem 1. 6. 1]. And, as is well-known, the radical of a ring with minimum condition is nilpotent. These remarks will be required in the sequel.

Necessity. To be easily verified, $\psi^*(\sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{U}} \sigma d_\sigma) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{U}} d_\sigma$ defines a ring homomorphism ψ^* of $\mathbb{U}D$ onto D with N as the kernel. Accordingly the maximal ideal N coincides with the totality of non-regular elements. Noting that $\sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{U}} \sigma d_\sigma$ is regular if and only if the set $\{(\sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{U}} \sigma d_\sigma)\tau \mid \tau \in \mathbb{U}\}$ is linearly independent over D , our assertion is clear from Lemma 1.

Sufficiency. In case $e = 1$, our assertion is Lemma 2 itself. Now we suppose $e > 1$, and that our assertion is true for $e - 1$. To prove our assertion, it suffices to show that N is a nil-ideal. As \mathbb{U} is a p -group, we can find a normal subgroup \mathfrak{H} of order p . Let S^* be a (fixed) complete representative system of $\mathbb{U} = \mathbb{U}/\mathfrak{H}$, and $\bar{\sigma}$ be the residue class of $\sigma \in \mathbb{U}$ modulo \mathfrak{H} . Then $\psi(\sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{U}} \sigma d_\sigma) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{U}} \bar{\sigma} d_\sigma$ defines a ring homomorphism ψ of $\mathbb{U}D$ onto $\bar{\mathbb{U}}D$ with the kernel $M = \{\sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{U}} \sigma d_\sigma \mid \sum_{\eta \in \mathfrak{H}} d_{\sigma^* \eta} = 0 \text{ for all } \sigma^* \in S^*\}$. At first we shall prove that M is a nil-ideal. To this end, consider an arbitrary finite set $\{\sigma_i \sum_{\eta \in \mathfrak{H}} \eta d_\eta^{(i)} \mid i = 1, \dots, m\}$ with $\sum_{\eta \in \mathfrak{H}} d_\eta^{(i)} = 0$ where σ_i 's are in \mathbb{U} . As is easily verified, then there holds the following:

$$(*) \quad \sigma_1 \sum_{\eta \in \mathfrak{H}} \eta d_\eta^{(1)} \cdots \sigma_m \sum_{\eta \in \mathfrak{H}} \eta d_\eta^{(m)} = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_m \sum_{\eta \in \mathfrak{H}} \eta^{(1)} d_\eta^{(1)} \cdots \sum_{\eta \in \mathfrak{H}} \eta^{(m)} d_\eta^{(m)},$$

where $\eta \rightarrow \eta^{(i)}$ is a suitable permutation in \mathfrak{H} ($i = 1, \dots, m$). Since each $\sum_{\eta \in \mathfrak{H}} \eta^{(i)} d_\eta^{(i)}$ is contained in the radical of $\mathfrak{H}D$ by Lemma 2, the product $(*)$ is zero if m exceeds the nilpotency index of the radical of $\mathfrak{H}D$. Making use of this fact, we can readily see that each element in M is nilpotent. Now let $\sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{U}} d_\sigma = 0$. Then $\psi(\sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{U}} \sigma d_\sigma) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{U}} \bar{\sigma} d_\sigma$ is contained in the radical of $\bar{\mathbb{U}}D$ by our induction hypothesis, whence $(\sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{U}} \sigma d_\sigma)^t$ is in M for some positive integer t . We obtain therefore, by the last remark, $(\sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{U}} \sigma d_\sigma)^t$ is nilpotent, accordingly so is $\sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{U}} \sigma d_\sigma$.

2. Principal theorem

Throughout this section, let K be a division ring, and \mathfrak{G} be a finite group of automorphisms in K with D as the fixed subring. In general, as is well-known, $[K:D]_r = [K:D]_l = [K:D]$ is bounded by the order of \mathfrak{G} (see, for example, [5]). If in particular $[K:D]$ coincides with the order of \mathfrak{G} then we say that K/D is *strictly Galois* with respect to \mathfrak{G} . For any $k \in K$, we set $T_{\mathfrak{G}}(k) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}} k^{\sigma}$ (\mathfrak{G} -trace of k). In case $\{k^{\sigma} \mid \sigma \in \mathfrak{G}\}$ is an independent right D -basis of K , k is called a \mathfrak{G} -normal basis element (abbreviated, \mathfrak{G} -n. b. e.).

The next lemma is essential in our present consideration, and enables us to reduce our problem to a structure theorem of group rings, Theorem 1.

Lemma 3. *If K/D is strictly Galois with respect to $\mathfrak{G} = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n\}$ then K is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{G}D_R$ as a right \mathfrak{S} -module, where D_R means the totality of right multiplications by elements of D .⁴⁾*

Proof. Let \mathfrak{E} be the $K_R \cdot K_R$ -module of all linear transformations of the left D -module K . Since $n = [K:D] = [\mathfrak{E}:K_R]_r$, we have $\mathfrak{E} = \mathfrak{G}K_R = \sum_{i=1}^n \oplus \sigma_i K_R = \sum_{i=1}^n \oplus K_R \sigma_i$ by [5, Satz] (or [3, pp. 159 — 161]). Evidently $\mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{G}D_R = \sum_{i=1}^n \oplus D_R \sigma_i$ is a ring with minimum condition. Now let $\{k_1, \dots, k_n\}$ be an independent right D -basis of K . Then it is clear that $\mathfrak{E} = \sum_{i=1}^n \oplus k_i \mathfrak{S}$, and so \mathfrak{S} is a right scalar ring of \mathfrak{E} in Kasch's sense [4, p. 453]. Hence, by [4, Satz 4], K is \mathfrak{S} -isomorphic to \mathfrak{S} .

If K/D is strictly Galois with respect to \mathfrak{G} then, as $\mathfrak{G}D_R = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}} \oplus \sigma D_R$, $\mathfrak{S}D_R$ is canonically isomorphic to a group ring $\mathfrak{G}D$, and so K may be considered as a right $\mathfrak{S}D$ -module by defining $k \cdot (\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}} \sigma d_{\sigma}) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}} k^{\sigma} d_{\sigma}$. Hence, by Lemm 3, K is $\mathfrak{G}D$ -isomorphic to $\mathfrak{G}D$ by an isomorphism φ . Under this situation, there holds the following:

Corollary 1. *Let K/D be strictly Galois with respect to \mathfrak{G} . If $\varphi(k) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}} \sigma d_{\sigma}$ ($k \in K$) then $T_{\mathfrak{G}}(k) \neq 0$ is equivalent with $\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}} d_{\sigma} \neq 0$, and the fact that k is \mathfrak{G} -n. b. e. is nothing but to say that the set $\{(\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}} \sigma d_{\sigma}) \tau \mid \tau \in \mathfrak{G}\}$ is linearly independent over D , or what is the same, that $\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}} \sigma d_{\sigma}$ is a regular element.*

4) Similarly, for any $k \in K$, k_R means the right multiplication by k .

Proof. Since $\varphi(k^*) = (\sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{G}} \sigma d_\sigma) \tau$, we have $\varphi(T_{\mathfrak{G}}(k)) = \sum_{\sigma, \tau \in \mathfrak{G}} \sigma \tau d_\sigma = \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{G}} \tau \cdot \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}} d_\sigma$. Accordingly $T_{\mathfrak{G}}(k) \neq 0$ is equivalent to $\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}} d_\sigma \neq 0$. The rest of the proof is almost trivial.

We are now at the position to state our principal theorem.

Theorem 2. *If K/D is strictly Galois with respect to \mathfrak{G} of order $n > 1$ then (1) and (2) are equivalent to each other :*

- (1) *$k \in K$ is a \mathfrak{G} -n.b.e. if and only if the \mathfrak{G} -trace of k is non-zero.*
- (2) *$\chi(D)$ is a prime p and n is a power of p .*

Proof. By Corollary 1, our assertion is an easy consequence of Theorem 1.

REFERENCES

- [1] A.S. AMITSUR, Non-commutative cyclic fields, *Duke Math. J.*, 21 (1954) 87—105.
- [2] C.C. FAITH, Normal extensions in which every element with nonzero trace is a normal basis element, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 63 (1957) 95—96.
- [3] N. JACOBSON, Structure of rings, *Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publ.*, vol. 37 (1956).
- [4] F. KASCH, Über den Endomorphismenring eines Vektorraumes und den Satz von Normalbasis, *Math. Ann.*, 126 (1953) 447—463.
- [5] F. KASCH, Bemerkung zum Hauptsatz der Galoisschen Theorie für Schiefkörper, *Archiv der Math.*, 6 (1955) 420—422.

DEPARTMENTS OF MATHEMATICS,
HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY
OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY

(Received July 10, 1957)