

# *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University*

---

*Volume 41, Issue 1*

1999

*Article 3*

JANUARY 1999

---

## Tensor Products and Quotient Rings which are Finite Commutative Principal Ideal Rings

Jilyana Cazaran\*

\*Louisiana State University

Copyright ©1999 by the authors. *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University* is produced by  
The Berkeley Electronic Press (bepress). <http://escholarship.lib.okayama-u.ac.jp/mjou>

# Tensor Products and Quotient Rings which are Finite Commutative Principal Ideal Rings

Jilyana Cazarán

## Abstract

We give structure theorems for tensor products  $R \oplus S$ , and quotient rings  $Q/I$  to be finite commutative principal ideal rings with identity, where  $Q$  is a polynomial ring and  $I$  is an ideal of  $Q$  generated by univariate polynomials. We also show when  $Q/I$  is a direct product of finite fields or Galois rings.

**KEYWORDS:** finite commutative rings, principal ideal rings, tensor products.

Math. J. Okayama Univ. **41** (1999), 1–14

## TENSOR PRODUCTS AND QUOTIENT RINGS WHICH ARE FINITE COMMUTATIVE PRINCIPAL IDEAL RINGS

JILYANA CAZARAN

ABSTRACT. We give structure theorems for tensor products  $R \otimes S$ , and quotient rings  $Q/I$  to be finite commutative principal ideal rings with identity, where  $Q$  is a polynomial ring and  $I$  is an ideal of  $Q$  generated by univariate polynomials. We also show when  $Q/I$  is a direct product of finite fields or Galois rings.

Finite commutative rings with identity are nice examples of Artinian rings, [5], and they have applications in combinatorics. A ring  $R$  is called a principal ideal ring (abbreviated PIR) if, for any ideal  $I$  of  $R$ , there exists  $x \in I$  such that  $I = Rx = xR$ , [6]. We consider when a finite commutative ring with identity is a PIR. These PIRs are useful to define as error-correcting codes, [2], [3] and [10].

We give structure theorems for tensor products and quotient rings, and all rings considered are commutative with identity. Theorem 1.11 gives a necessary condition for a tensor product  $R \otimes S$  to be a finite PIR, where  $R$  and  $S$  are not assumed to be PIRs. Let  $Q = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , where  $R$  is a finite principal ideal ring and  $I$  is an ideal of  $Q$  generated by univariate polynomials. Theorem 2.1 gives conditions for  $Q/I$  to be a finite principal ideal ring. Theorem 2.11 shows when  $Q/I$  is a direct product of finite fields or Galois rings.

This paper is a continuation of the results given in [3] and [4].

### 1. TENSOR PRODUCTS OF RINGS

The tensor product over  $\mathbb{Z}$  is written as  $\otimes$ . For any ring  $R$  and prime  $p$ , the  $p$ -component of  $R$  is defined by

$$R_p = \{r \in R \mid p^k r = 0 \text{ for some positive integer } k\}.$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 13F10; Secondary: 13F20, 13M10, 16P10.

*Key words and phrases*. finite commutative rings, principal ideal rings, tensor products.

If the ideals of a ring form a chain, then it is called a *chain ring* (see [8, p.184]). By Lemma 1.3, every finite local PIR and every field is a chain ring. The radical of a finite ring  $R$  is the largest nilpotent ideal  $\mathcal{N}(R)$ .

**Lemma 1.1** ([4, Lemma 3]). *A finite ring is a PIR if and only if its radical is a principal ideal.*

Let  $R$  be an arbitrary ring,  $p$  a prime, and let  $f \in R[x]$ . Denote by  $\bar{f}$  the image of  $f$  in  $R[x]/pR[x]$ . We say that  $f$  is *squarefree (irreducible) modulo  $p$*  if  $\bar{f}$  is squarefree (respectively, irreducible). A *Galois ring*  $GR(p^m, r)$  is a ring of the form  $(\mathbb{Z}/(p^m))[x]/(f(x))$ , where  $p$  is a prime,  $m$  an integer, and  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}/(p^m)[x]$  is a monic polynomial of degree  $r$  which is irreducible modulo  $p$ . If  $R = GR(p^m, r) = (\mathbb{Z}/(p^m))[y]/(g(y)) \neq 0$  is a Galois ring which is not a field, then  $m > 1$ , because  $(\mathbb{Z}/(p))[y]/(g(y))$  is a field, given that  $g(y)$  is irreducible modulo  $p$ .

The ring  $GR(p^n, r)$  is well defined independently of the monic polynomial of degree  $r$  (see [12, §16]).

Notice that  $GR(p^m, 1) \cong \mathbb{Z}/(p^m)$  and  $GR(p, r) \cong GF(p^r)$ , the finite field of order  $p^r$ . Lemma 1.2, first proved in [14], shows that a tensor product of Galois rings is a PIR.

**Lemma 1.2** ([12, Theorem 16.8]). *Let  $p$  be a prime,  $k_1, k_2, r_1, r_2$  positive integers, and let  $k = \min\{k_1, k_2\}$ ,  $d = \gcd(r_1, r_2)$ ,  $m = \text{lcm}(r_1, r_2)$ . Then*

$$GR(p^{k_1}, r_1) \otimes GR(p^{k_2}, r_2) \cong \prod_1^d GR(p^k, m).$$

*In particular,*

$$GF(p^{r_1}) \otimes GF(p^{r_2}) \cong \prod_1^d GF(p^m).$$

**Lemma 1.3** ([12, Theorem 17.5]). *Let  $R$  be a finite commutative ring which is not a field. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1.  $R$  is a chain ring;
2.  $R$  is a local PIR;
3. there exist a prime  $p$  and integers  $m, r, n, s, t$  such that

$$R \cong GR(p^m, r)[x]/(g(x), p^{m-1}x^t),$$

*where  $n$  is the index of nilpotency of the radical of  $R$ ,  $t = n - (m - 1)s > 0$ ,  $g(x) = x^s + ph(x)$ ,  $\deg(h) < s$ , and the constant term of  $h(x)$  is a unit in  $GR(p^m, r)$ .*

Let  $R$  be a chain ring as defined in Lemma 1.3(3). The characteristic of  $R$  is  $p^m$  and its residue field is  $R/\mathcal{N}(R) \cong GF(p^r)$ . The polynomial  $g(x)$  is called an *Eisenstein polynomial*. Since  $GR(p^m, r)/pGR(p^m, r) \cong GF(p^r)$ , we get  $R/pR \cong GF(p^r)[x]/(x^s)$ . By Lemma 1.4,  $R$  is a Galois ring if and only if  $s = 1$ .

**Lemma 1.4** ([12, Exercise 16.9]). *A chain ring of characteristic  $p^m$  is a Galois ring if and only if its radical is generated by  $p$ . A PIR of characteristic  $p^m$  is a direct product of Galois rings if and only if its radical is generated by  $p$ .*

**Lemma 1.5** ([4, Lemma 9]). *If  $R$  is a Galois ring, and  $S$  is a chain ring, then  $R \otimes S$  is a PIR.*

**Lemma 1.6** ([4, Lemma 10]). *Let  $R$  and  $S$  be chain rings which are not Galois rings, and let  $\text{char}(R) = p^m$ ,  $\text{char}(S) = p^n$ , for a prime  $p$  and positive integers  $m, n$ . Then  $R \otimes S$  is not a PIR.*

**Theorem 1.7** ([4, Theorem 1]). *A tensor product  $R \otimes S$  of two finite commutative PIRs is a PIR if and only if, for each prime  $p$ , at least one of the rings  $R_p$  or  $S_p$  is a direct product of Galois rings.*

For rings  $R_p$  and  $S_p$ , which are  $p$  components, it is false that  $R_p \otimes S_p \neq 0$  being a PIR implies that both  $R_p$  and  $S_p$  are PIRs. For example, let  $R_p = \mathbb{Z}/(p)$  and  $S_p = GR(p^m, r)[x]/(x^s)$  then by Lemma 1.2,

$$\begin{aligned} R_p \otimes S_p &= \mathbb{Z}/(p) \otimes (GR(p^m, r)[x]/(x^s)) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/(p) \otimes GR(p^m, r))[x]/(x^s) \\ &\cong GF(p^r)[x]/(x^s) \cong S_p/pS_p. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1.3,  $S_p$  cannot be a PIR when  $m \geq 2$  and  $s \geq 2$ , yet  $R_p \otimes S_p \cong GF(p^r)[x]/(x^s)$  is a PIR since  $GF(p^r)[x]$  is a PIR for all integers  $r, s \geq 1$ . This provides motivation to prove Lemma 1.9, which relies on Lemma 1.8.

**Lemma 1.8** ([12, Theorem 17.1, p.337-338]). *Let  $R$  be a finite local ring satisfying  $\text{char}(R) = p^m$  for a prime  $p$  and positive integer  $m$ . If  $\mathcal{N}(R)$  has a minimum of  $k$  generators then  $R \cong GR(p^m, q)[x_1, \dots, x_k]/J$  for some primary ideal  $J$ ,  $GR(p^m, q)$  is the largest Galois extension of  $\mathbb{Z}/(p^m)$  in  $R$ , and  $R/\mathcal{N}(R) \cong GF(p^q)$ .*

**Lemma 1.9.** *Let  $R$  and  $S$  be finite local rings satisfying  $\text{char}(R) = p^m$ ,  $\text{char}(S) = p^n$ , for a prime  $p$  and positive integers  $m, n \geq 1$ . If  $S/pS$  is not a PIR then  $R \otimes S$  is not a PIR.*

*Proof.* If  $\mathcal{N}(S)$  has a minimum of  $k$  generators then by Lemma 1.8,  $S \cong \mathbb{Z}/(p^n)[x_1, \dots, x_k]/J$  for some primary ideal  $J$ . Since  $S$  is not a PIR,  $k \geq 2$ . Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}/(p^m)$  and consider the following sequence of homomorphic images, with  $J' \cong J/pJ$ .  $(R \otimes S)/p(R \otimes S) \rightarrow (R/pR) \otimes (S/pS) =$

$\mathbb{Z}/(p) \otimes (\mathbb{Z}/(p)[x_1, \dots, x_k]/J') \cong \mathbb{Z}/(p)[x_1, \dots, x_k]/J' = S/pS$ . Since a homomorphic image of a PIR is a PIR and  $S/pS$  is not a PIR,  $\mathbb{Z}/(p^m) \otimes S$  is not a PIR. Now let  $\mathcal{N}(R)$  have a minimum of  $l$  generators. By Lemma 1.8,  $R \cong \mathbb{Z}/(p^m)[x_1, \dots, x_l]/I$  for some primary ideal  $I$  and  $l \geq 1$ . Let  $R \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/(p^m)$  be the canonical homomorphism. This induces the homomorphism  $R \otimes S \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/(p^m)) \otimes S$ . Since  $(\mathbb{Z}/(p^m)) \otimes S$  is not a PIR,  $R \otimes S$  is not a PIR.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.10.** *Let  $R$  and  $S$  be finite local rings which are not both PIRs, satisfying  $\text{char}(R) = p^m$ ,  $\text{char}(S) = q^n$ , for primes  $p, q$  and positive integers  $m, n$ . If  $R \otimes S$  is a PIR then 1. or 2. is satisfied.*

1.  $p \neq q$  or  $R = 0$  or  $S = 0$ , in which case  $R \otimes S = 0$ ;
2.  $p = q$ ,  $R \neq 0 \neq S$ ,  $R$  is a Galois ring and  $S/pS$  is a finite chain ring which is not a Galois ring, or  $R$  and  $S$  may be interchanged.

*Proof.* Condition (2). Let  $R \otimes S$  and  $R$  be PIRs and  $S$  be a ring which is not a PIR. By Lemma 1.9,  $S/pS$  is a PIR. By Lemma 1.3,  $S/pS \cong GF(p^r)[x]/(x^s)$  for some integers  $r, s \geq 1$ . Assume that  $S/pS$  is a Galois ring. Then  $S/pS \cong GF(p^r)$ . Since  $S$  is a local ring,  $(p) = \mathcal{N}(S)$  is a maximal ideal of  $S$ . However, by Lemma 1.4,  $S$  is a Galois ring, which is false since  $S$  is not a PIR. Therefore  $S/pS$  is a chain ring which is not a Galois ring.

Assume that  $R$  is not a Galois ring. It follows that both  $R$  and  $S/pS$  are chain rings which are not Galois rings. By Lemma 1.6,  $R \otimes (S/pS)$  is a not PIR. Since  $R \otimes (S/pS)$  is a homomorphic image of  $R \otimes S$ ,  $R \otimes S$  is not a PIR. Hence  $R$  is a Galois ring, so (2) is satisfied.  $\square$

The converse of Lemma 1.10 is false. For example, let  $R = \mathbb{Z}/(p^m)$  and  $S = GR(p^m, r)[x]/(x^s)$  where  $s \geq 2$ . Then  $R \otimes S = \mathbb{Z}/(p^m) \otimes GR(p^m, r)[x]/(x^s) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/(p^m) \otimes GR(p^m, r))[x]/(x^s) \cong GR(p^m, r)[x]/(x^s) = S$  is not a PIR by Lemma 1.3, yet  $S/pS \cong GF(p^r)[x]/(x^s)$  is a PIR which is not a Galois ring. Therefore as proved in Theorem 1.11, only the necessary condition of Theorem 1.7 is true when  $R$  and  $S$  are not both PIRs.

**Theorem 1.11.** *If a tensor product  $R \otimes S$  of two finite commutative rings is a PIR, then, for each prime  $p$ , at least one of the rings  $R_p$  or  $S_p$  is a direct product of Galois rings.*

*Proof.* If  $R$  and  $S$  are both PIRs, then the theorem follows from Theorem 1.7. Assume that  $R$  and  $S$  are not both PIRs. Since  $R \otimes S$  is a PIR, for each prime  $p$ ,  $R_p \otimes S_p$  is a PIR. Consider the case when  $R_p$  and  $S_p$  are local rings. If  $R_p$  and  $S_p$  are both PIRs, then by Lemmas 1.5 and 1.6,  $R_p$  or  $S_p$  must be a Galois ring. If  $R_p$  and  $S_p$  are not both PIRs, then by Lemma 1.10,  $R_p$  or  $S_p$  must be a Galois ring. Now consider the

case when  $R_p$  and  $S_p$  decompose into direct products of local rings. Since tensor product distributes over direct products, if both decompositions contain rings which are not Galois rings, then  $R_p \otimes S_p$  will contain a factor in its representation as a direct product, which is a tensor product of two rings, where neither ring is a Galois ring. Such a factor is not a PIR by Lemma 1.6. Thus at least one of the rings  $R_p$  or  $S_p$  is a direct product of Galois rings.  $\square$

Theorem 1.11 could only provide a necessary condition for  $R \otimes S$  to be a finite commutative PIR. We give necessary and sufficient conditions for this to be true in Lemmas 1.13 and 1.14 in the special case when  $R \otimes S$  is a direct product of either Galois rings or finite fields. Lemma 1.12 is required for Lemmas 1.13, 1.14 and Corollary 2.8. Lemma 1.5 follows from Lemma 1.12.

**Lemma 1.12.** *Let  $R$  be a direct product of Galois rings and  $S$  be a PIR. Then  $R \otimes S$  is a PIR. If  $\mathcal{N}(S) = gS$  for some generator  $g \in S$ , then  $\mathcal{N}(R \otimes S) = g(R \otimes S)$ , the ideal generated by  $g$  in  $R \otimes S$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\text{char}(R) = p^m$ ,  $\text{char}(S) = q^n$ , for primes  $p, q$  and positive integers  $m, n$ . If  $p \neq q$ , then  $R \otimes S = 0$  is a PIR.

Suppose that  $p = q$ . Let  $(g) = g(R \otimes S)$ . Since  $(g)$  is nilpotent,  $(g) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(R \otimes S)$ . If  $R$  is not a finite field, it follows from Lemma 7 of [4] that  $p \in gS$ , and so  $p \in (g)$ . Since  $S/gS$  and  $R/pR$  are direct products of finite fields, by Lemma 1.2, so is  $(R \otimes S)/(g)$ . Therefore  $(g) = \mathcal{N}(R \otimes S)$ . By Lemma 1.1,  $R \otimes S$  is a PIR.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.13.** *Let  $R$  and  $S$  be finite rings satisfying  $\text{char}(R) = p^m$ ,  $\text{char}(S) = p^n$ , for a prime  $p$  and positive integers  $m, n \geq 1$ . The ring  $R \otimes S$  is a direct product of Galois rings if and only if so too are  $R$  and  $S$ .*

*Proof.* The ‘if’ part. This is immediate by Lemma 1.2, since tensor product distributes over direct products.

The ‘only if’ part. Since  $R \otimes S$  is a PIR, by Theorem 1.11, either  $R$  or  $S$  is a direct product of Galois rings. Assume that  $R$  is a direct product of Galois rings. If  $S/pS$  is not a PIR, then by Lemma 1.9, neither is  $R \otimes S$ , so  $S/pS$  must be a PIR.

Assume that  $S$  is a PIR. Since  $R \otimes S$  is a direct product of Galois rings, by Lemma 1.4,  $\mathcal{N}(R \otimes S) = p(R \otimes S)$  and  $\mathcal{N}(R) = pR$ . If  $\mathcal{N}(S) = gS$  for some generator  $g \in S$  then by Lemma 1.12,  $\mathcal{N}(R \otimes S) = g(R \otimes S)$ . By Lemma 1.4,  $g = p$ , so  $S$  must be a direct product of Galois rings.

Now assume that  $S$  is not a PIR. By Lemma 1.10,  $S/pS$  is a PIR such that, as a direct product of local rings, no factor of  $S/pS$  is a Galois ring. By Lemma 1.3, each factor of  $S/pS$  is of the form  $GF(p^r)[x]/(x^{s_i})$

for some integers  $r \geq 1, s_i \geq 2$ . Since  $R/pR$  is a direct product of finite fields,  $(R/pR) \otimes (S/pS)$  must contain a factor of the form  $T = GF(p^t) \otimes (GF(p^r)[x]/(x^{s_1})) \cong GF(p^{lcm(t,r)})[x]/(x^{s_1})$  by Lemma 1.2.

The class of finite direct products of Galois rings is closed for homomorphic images by Lemma 1.4. The same is true for a finite direct product of finite fields such as  $(R \otimes S)/p(R \otimes S)$ . Therefore since  $(R/pR) \otimes (S/pS)$  is a homomorphic image of  $(R \otimes S)/p(R \otimes S)$ , it must be a finite direct product of finite fields. Since  $T$  is not a direct product of finite fields this contradiction implies that  $S$  must be a PIR. Therefore  $S$  must be a direct product of Galois rings.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.14.** *Let  $R$  and  $S$  be finite rings satisfying  $\text{char}(R) = p^m$ ,  $\text{char}(S) = p^n$ , for a prime  $p$  and positive integers  $m, n \geq 1$ . The ring  $R \otimes S$  is a direct product of finite fields if and only if so too are  $R$  and  $S$ .*

*Proof.* The ‘if’ part. This is immediate by Lemma 1.2, since tensor product distributes over direct products.

The ‘only if’ part. By Lemma 1.13,  $R$  and  $S$  are direct products of Galois rings. By Lemma 1.12,  $\mathcal{N}(R \otimes S) = g(R \otimes S)$ , and  $\mathcal{N}(S) = gS$  for some generator  $g \in S$ . Since  $R \otimes S$  is a direct product of finite fields,  $\mathcal{N}(R \otimes S) = 0 = \mathcal{N}(S)$ , so  $S$  is a direct product of finite fields. If  $R$  is a direct product of Galois rings which are not all finite fields, then so too must be  $R \otimes S$ , by Lemma 1.2. This contradiction implies that  $R$  is a direct product of finite fields.  $\square$

We now give a more general version of Lemma 1.1 for a local ring.

**Lemma 1.15.** *If  $R$  is a local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ , which is not necessarily Noetherian but satisfies  $\bigcap_n \mathfrak{m}^n = 0$ , then the following conditions on  $R$  are equivalent:*

1.  $\mathfrak{m}$  is principal;
2.  $R$  is a PIR;
3.  $R$  is a chain ring, hence  $R$  is Noetherian.

*Proof.* (3) $\implies$ (2) Let  $\pi \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{m}^2$ . Since  $R$  is a chain ring,  $\pi \notin \mathfrak{m}^e$  for  $e > 1$ . So  $(\pi) \neq \mathfrak{m}^e$  for  $e > 1$ , and  $(\pi) = \mathfrak{m}$ . Now since all ideals are of the form  $\mathfrak{m}^e = (\pi^e)$ ,  $R$  is a PIR.

(2) $\implies$ (1) is immediate.

(1) $\implies$ (3) This is similar to the proof of Theorem 31.5 in [13]. Let  $\mathfrak{m} = (\pi)$ . Then  $\mathfrak{m}^e = (\pi^e)$  for all  $e \geq 1$ . Since  $\bigcap_n \mathfrak{m}^n = 0$  and every ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  satisfies  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ , for some  $e \geq 1$ ,  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^e$  and  $\mathfrak{a} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{e+1}$ . For ideals  $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}$  of a ring  $R$ ,  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{c} \iff \mathfrak{a} : \mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{c} : \mathfrak{b}$ ,  $\mathfrak{a} \not\subseteq (\pi^{e+1})$  implies  $\mathfrak{a} : (\pi^e) \not\subseteq (\pi^{e+1}) : (\pi^e) = (\pi)$ , hence  $\mathfrak{a} : (\pi^e) = R$ . Since  $(\mathfrak{a} : \mathfrak{b}) = R$  implies  $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ , we see  $(\pi^e) \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ , and

hence  $\mathfrak{a} = (\pi^e) = \mathfrak{m}^e$ . As every ideal of  $R$  is a power of  $\mathfrak{m}$ ,  $R$  is a chain ring.  $\square$

## 2. QUOTIENT RINGS OF POLYNOMIAL RINGS

For a finite commutative ring  $R$ ,  $Q = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is a polynomial ring over  $R$ . The following theorem describes rings of the form

$$R[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f_1(x_1), \dots, f_n(x_n))$$

which are finite PIRs. This gives a generalization of the main result of [9]. Theorem 1.7 is used in the proof of Theorem 2.1. Ideals of the form  $(f_1(x_1), \dots, f_n(x_n))$  are called *elementary ideals* (see [11, Definition 1.14]). Some definitions are needed before we can state these results.

When  $\mathbb{F}$  is a field, and  $f = g_1^{m_1} \cdots g_k^{m_k}$ , where  $f \in \mathbb{F}[x]$  and  $g_1, \dots, g_k$  are irreducible polynomials over  $\mathbb{F}$ , by  $\text{SP}(f)$  we denote the squarefree part  $g_1 \cdots g_k$  of  $f$ . We assume that  $\text{SP}(0) = 0$ .

Let  $R = GR(p^m, r) = (\mathbb{Z}/(p^m))[y]/(g(y)) \neq 0$  be a Galois ring which is not a field ( $m \geq 2$ ). We say that a polynomial  $f(x) \in R[x]$  is *basic* if all nonzero coefficients of  $f(x)$  belong to the subset

$$\mathcal{B} = \{ay^b \mid \text{where } 0 < a < p \text{ and } 0 \leq b < r\}$$

of the Galois ring  $R$ , where  $r$  is the degree of  $g(y)$ . Clearly, for every  $f \in R[x]$ , there exist unique basic polynomials

$$f', f'' \in \mathcal{B}[x] \subseteq R[x] \text{ such that } f - f' - pf'' \in p^2R[x].$$

Recall the definition of  $\bar{f}$  which follows Lemma 1.1. For any  $f \in R[x]$ , there exists a unique basic polynomial  $\text{SP}(f) \in R[x]$  such that  $\overline{\text{SP}(f)} = \text{SP}(\bar{f})$ . Therefore there exists a unique basic polynomial  $\text{UP}(f) \in R[x]$  such that  $\bar{f} = \overline{\text{SP}(f) \text{UP}(f)}$  or, equivalently,  $f' - \text{SP}(f) \text{UP}(f) \in pR[x]$ . Since  $f'$  is basic,  $(f')'' = 0$  for any  $f$ , and so  $(f' - \text{SP}(f) \text{UP}(f))'' = -(\text{SP}(f) \text{UP}(f))''$ . So we introduce the following notation

$$\hat{f} = \overline{f'' + (f' - \text{SP}(f) \text{UP}(f))''} = \overline{f'' - (\text{SP}(f) \text{UP}(f))''}.$$

For any  $f, g \in GR(p^n, r)[x]$ , it is clear that  $\bar{f} = \bar{g}$  if and only if  $f' = g'$ .

Let  $R$  be a finite commutative local ring. A polynomial  $f(x) \in R[x]$  is *regular* if it is not a zero divisor. By [12, Theorem 13.6], if  $f(x)$  is regular, then there exists a unit  $u \in R$  and monic polynomial  $e(x) \in R[x]$  such that  $f = ue$ . All our theorems hold for regular polynomials  $f(x)$ . However, for simplicity, we assume that these polynomials are monic.

A finite direct product of rings is a PIR if and only if all its components are PIRs (see [15, Theorem 33]). Every finite PIR is a direct product

of chain rings (see [12, §6]). The main case of describing all polynomial rings

$$Q = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f_1(x_1), \dots, f_n(x_n))$$

which are finite PIRs is the case where  $R$  is a finite chain ring. From [12, Theorem 13.2(c)],  $Q$  is finite if and only if all the  $f_i(x_i)$  are regular. Theorem 2.1 gives necessary and sufficient conditions for  $Q$  to be a PIR. The sufficient conditions were proved in [4, Theorem 2].

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $R$  be a finite commutative chain ring, and let  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  be univariate monic polynomials over  $R$ . Then*

$$Q = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f_1(x_1), \dots, f_n(x_n))$$

*is a PIR and all rings  $R_i = R[x_i]/(f_i(x_i))$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  are PIRs, if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

1.  $R$  is a field and the number of polynomials  $f_i$  which are not squarefree does not exceed one;
2.  $R$  is a Galois ring of characteristic  $p^m$ , for a prime  $p$  and a positive integer  $m \geq 2$ , the number of polynomials  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  which are not squarefree modulo  $p$  does not exceed one, and, if  $f = f_i$  is not squarefree modulo  $p$ , then  $\widehat{f}$  is coprime with  $\overline{\text{UP}(f)}$ ;
3.  $R$  is a chain ring, which is not a Galois ring,  $R$  has characteristic  $p^m$  for a prime  $p$ ,  $n = 1$ , and  $f_1$  is squarefree modulo  $p$ .

**Lemma 2.2** ([4, Lemma 11]). *Let  $R$  be a Galois ring of characteristic  $p^m$ ,  $f(x)$  a monic polynomial over  $R$ , and let  $Q = R[x]/(f(x))$ . Then  $Q$  is a direct product of Galois rings if and only if  $f(x)$  is squarefree modulo  $p$ .*

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $R = GR(p^m, r)$  be a Galois ring, where  $m \geq 2$ , let  $f(x) \in R[x]$  be a monic polynomial which is not squarefree modulo  $p$ , and let  $Q = R[x]/(f(x))$ . Then  $Q$  is a PIR if and only if  $\overline{\text{UP}(f)}$  is coprime with  $\widehat{f}$ .*

*Proof.* When  $\overline{f}$  is not squarefree, we get  $\text{UP}(f) \neq 0$  and  $\text{SP}(f) \neq 0$ .

Suppose that  $\widehat{f}$  is coprime with  $\overline{\text{UP}(f)}$ . Denote by  $h$  a basic polynomial in  $R[x]$  such that  $\overline{h}$  is the product of all irreducible divisors of  $\overline{f}$  which do not divide  $\widehat{f}$ . Let  $g = \text{SP}(f) + ph \in R[x]$ . It is proved in [4, Lemma 12] that the radical  $\mathcal{N}(Q)$  is equal to the ideal  $I$  generated in  $Q$  by  $g$ .

Conversely, suppose that the radical is a principal ideal generated by some polynomial  $g \in R[x]$ .

Since  $(\overline{g}) = (\text{SP}(\overline{f})) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}/(q)[x]/(\overline{f}))$ , we get  $\overline{g} = \overline{t} \text{SP}(\overline{f}) + \overline{e} \overline{f}$  for some  $t = t' \in R$  and  $e(x) \in R[x]$ . There exists an integer  $s = s' \in R$  such that  $ts \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . Since  $\overline{s(g - ef)} = \overline{st \text{SP}(f)} = \overline{\text{SP}(f)} = \text{SP}(\overline{f})$  and

$(\bar{g}) = (\overline{\text{SP}(f)})$ ,  $g$  generates the same ideal as  $s(g - ef)$  in  $Q = R[x]/(f)$ , so we can replace  $g$  by  $s(g - ef)$ . To simplify the notation, we assume that  $\bar{g} = \overline{\text{SP}(f)}$ , and so  $g' = \text{SP}(f)$ .

Given  $p \in \mathcal{N}(Q)$ , we get  $p = vf + wg$  for some  $v, w \in R[x]$ . Since  $(vf + wg)' = (v'f' + w'g')' = 0$ , it follows that  $\overline{v'f'} + \overline{w'g'} = 0$ . Therefore  $\overline{w'} = -\overline{v' \text{UP}(f)}$ , whence  $w' = -v' \text{UP}(f) + pz$  for some  $z = z' \in R[x]$ .

Further,  $p = (v' + pv'')(f' + pf'') + (w' + pw'')(g' + pg'') + p^2u$ , for some  $u \in R[x]$ . Notice that  $f' = (\text{UP}(f)g')'$ , as  $\overline{f'} = \overline{f} = \overline{\text{UP}(f)g'}$ . Since  $\text{UP}(f)$  and  $g'$  are basic,  $\text{UP}(f)g' = (\text{UP}(f)g')' + p(\text{UP}(f)g'') = f' + p(\text{UP}(f)g'')$ . It follows that  $f' - \text{UP}(f)g' = -p(\text{UP}(f)g'')$ . Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned} p^{m-1} &= p^{m-2}[(v' + pv'')(f' + pf'') + (-v' \text{UP}(f) + pz + pw'')(g' + pg'')] \\ &= p^{m-2}[v'(f' - \text{UP}(f)g' + pf'') - v' \text{UP}(f)pg'' + pv''f' + pg'(z + w'')] \\ &= p^{m-1}[v'(-(\text{UP}(f)g'') + f'') - \text{UP}(f)v'g'' + v''(\text{UP}(f)g')' + g'(z + w'')]. \end{aligned}$$

When  $p^m = 0$ ,  $p^{m-1}A = p^{m-1}B$  if and only if  $\overline{A} = \overline{B}$  where  $A, B \in R[x]$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{1} &= \overline{v'(-(\text{UP}(f)g'') + f'')} - \overline{\text{UP}(f)(v'g'')} + \overline{v''(\text{UP}(f)g')'} + \overline{g'(z + w'')} \\ &= \overline{v'f} - \overline{\text{UP}(f)(v'g'')} + \overline{v'' \text{UP}(f)g'} + \overline{g'(z + w'')}. \end{aligned}$$

Since all irreducible factors of  $\overline{\text{UP}(f)}$  divide  $\overline{g'} = \overline{\text{SP}(f)}$ , they also divide the polynomial  $\overline{\text{UP}(f)(v'g'')} + \overline{v'' \text{UP}(f)g'} + \overline{g'(z + w'')}$ . So we see that  $\overline{\text{UP}(f)}$  must be coprime with  $\widehat{f}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Example 2.4.** We demonstrate Lemma 2.3 in the case  $Q$  is a finite local ring. Let  $R = GR(p^m, r)$ . Then  $R/(\mathcal{N}(R)) \cong GF(p^r)$ . For  $c \in GF(p^r)[x]$ , define  $c_b \in R[x]$  as the unique basic polynomial satisfying  $\overline{c_b} = c$ . Then  $c_b$  and  $c$  have the same coefficients identified under the canonical injective mapping of sets  $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow GF(p^r)$ . Notice that  $\mathcal{B}$  is not the isomorphic copy of  $GF(p^r)$  contained in  $R$ . For example, if  $R = \mathbb{Z}/(3^2)$ , then  $\mathcal{B} = \{0, 1, 2\} \subset \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 8\} = R$ ,  $R/(\mathcal{N}(R)) \cong GF(3) = \{0, 1, 2\}$ , yet  $F = \{0, 3, 6\}$  is the isomorphic copy of  $GF(3)$  contained in  $R$ .

Let  $R = GR(p^m, r)$  and let  $e \in R[x]$  be a monic irreducible polynomial ([12, p.254]). Let  $f = e^n$  for some integer  $n \geq 1$  and  $Q = R[x]/(f)$ . By [12, Theorem 13.7(b)],  $\overline{e} = c^\ell$  for some monic irreducible  $c \in GF(p^r)[x]$  and an integer  $\ell \geq 1$ . Therefore  $\overline{\text{SP}(f)} = \overline{\text{SP}(f)} = c$  and  $\text{SP}(f) = c_b$ . Now as  $c^{\ell n} = \overline{f} = \overline{\text{SP}(f) \text{UP}(f)} = c \overline{\text{UP}(f)}$ ,  $\overline{\text{UP}(f)} = c^{\ell n - 1}$  and  $\text{UP}(f) = (c^{\ell n - 1})_b$ . Evidently  $\widehat{f} = (e^n)'' - (c_b(c^{\ell n - 1})_b)''$ . It follows from Lemma 2.6 that  $\mathcal{N}(Q) = (p, c_b)$ . Since  $(\overline{f}) = (c^{\ell n}) \subseteq (d) \subset F_{p^r}[x]$ ,  $Q/\mathcal{N}(Q) = (GR(p^m, r)[x]/(f))/(p, c_b) \cong GF(p^r)[x]/(c) \cong GF(p^{\text{degree}(c)})$ . Hence  $Q$  is a finite local ring. Therefore, by the Chinese Remainder Theorem for

ideals ( [7, Exercise 2.6, p.80] ), for an arbitrary monic polynomial  $f$ , the ring  $R[x]/(f)$  is a finite local ring if and only if  $f = e^n$  where  $e$  is a monic irreducible polynomial and  $n \geq 1$ . By [12, Theorem 13.6] , this is also true when  $f$  and hence  $e$  are regular but not monic. We see that, for such a local ring  $Q$  which is not a Galois ring, it is a PIR if and only if  $c$  does not divide  $\widehat{f}$ .

**Lemma 2.5** ([4, Lemma 13]). *Let  $R$  be a chain ring of characteristic  $p^m$  which is not a Galois ring, let  $f(x)$  be a monic polynomial over  $R$ , and let  $Q = R[x]/(f(x))$ . Then  $Q$  is a PIR if and only if  $f$  is squarefree modulo  $p$ .*

**Lemma 2.6** ([4, Lemma 4]). *Let  $F$  be a finite field,  $P = F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , and let  $I$  be the ideal generated by  $f_1(x_1), \dots, f_n(x_n)$  in  $P$ . Then the radical of  $P/I$  is equal to the ideal generated by the squarefree parts of all polynomials  $f_1, \dots, f_n$ .*

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* The ring  $Q$  is isomorphic to the tensor product of the rings  $R_i = R[x_i]/(f_i(x_i))$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Since  $\text{char}(R) = p^m$  where  $m = 1$ , if  $R$  is a field,  $R_i = (R_i)_p$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $Q = Q_p$ .

(1): Suppose that  $R$  is a field of characteristic  $p$ . Then all the  $R_i$  are PIRs. Theorem 1.7 tells us that  $Q$  is a PIR if and only if at least  $n - 1$  of the rings  $R_i$  are direct products of Galois rings. By Lemma 2.2, this is equivalent to the fact that at most one of the polynomials  $f_i(x_i)$  is not squarefree.

(2): Suppose that  $R$  is a Galois ring. By Lemma 2.3, all  $R_i$  are PIRs if and only if, for each polynomial  $f_i(x_i)$  which is not squarefree modulo  $p$ ,  $\overline{\text{UP}(f_i)}$  is coprime with  $\widehat{f}_i$ . Further, suppose that this condition is satisfied. As in case (1), we see that  $Q$  is a PIR if and only if at most one of the polynomials  $f_i(x_i)$  is not squarefree modulo  $p$ .

(3): Suppose that  $R$  is a chain ring which is not a Galois ring. Since the class of finite direct products of Galois rings is closed for homomorphic images by Lemma 1.4, we see that each  $R_i$  is not a direct product of Galois rings. Theorem 1.7 shows that  $n = 1$ . By Lemma 2.5,  $Q$  is a PIR if and only if  $f_1(x_1)$  is squarefree modulo  $p$ . □

Our Theorem 2.1 immediately gives the following Theorem 1 of [9] for finite rings.

**Corollary 2.7** ([9, Theorem 1]). *Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic  $p > 0$ ,  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  nonnegative integers,  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  positive integers, and let*

$$R = F[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(x_1^{a_1}(1 - x_1^{b_1}), \dots, x_n^{a_n}(1 - x_n^{b_n})).$$

*Then  $R$  is a PIR if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

1.  $a_1, \dots, a_n \leq 1$  and  $p$  divides at most one number among  $b_1, \dots, b_n$ ;
2. exactly one of  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ , say  $a_1$ , is greater than 1 and  $p$  does not divide each of  $b_2, \dots, b_n$ .

*Proof.* Consider the polynomial  $f = x^a(1 - x^b)$ . By [1, Lemma 2.85], a polynomial is squarefree if and only if it is coprime with its derivative. Since  $\text{char}(F) = p > 0$ , then  $f$  is squarefree if and only if  $a = 1$  and  $p$  does not divide  $b$ . Thus Theorem 2.1 completes the proof.  $\square$

In our second Corollary to Theorem 2.1, we give an explicit generator  $g$  for the radical of  $Q$  when  $Q$  is a PIR.

**Corollary 2.8.** *Let  $R = GR(p^m, r)$  be a Galois ring, where  $m \geq 1$ , let  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  be univariate monic polynomials over  $R$  with  $f_1(x_1)$  not squarefree modulo  $p$  and let*

$$Q = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f_1(x_1), \dots, f_n(x_n))$$

*be a PIR. Let  $\mathcal{N}(S_1) = gS_1$  where  $S_1 = R[x_1]/(f_1(x_1))$ . Then  $\mathcal{N}(Q) = gQ$  where  $gQ$  is the ideal generated by  $g = g(x_1)$  in  $Q$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.1,  $Q$  is a PIR and  $f_1(x_1)$  is not squarefree modulo  $p$ , so the rings  $R_i = R[x_i]/(f_i)$  for  $2 \leq i \leq n$  are Galois rings. By Lemma 1.12,  $S_2 \cong R_2 \otimes S_1$  is a PIR and  $\mathcal{N}(S_2) = gS_2$ . Repeating this argument with  $S_{i+1} \cong R_{i+1} \otimes S_i$  for  $2 \leq i \leq n - 1$ , we get  $\mathcal{N}(Q) = gQ$ .  $\square$

Let  $Q$  be the PIR defined in Corollary 2.8. Let  $R$  be a Galois ring which is not a finite field. From the proof of Lemma 2.3, using the ring  $S_1 = R[x_1]/(f(x_1))$ , one may choose  $g = \text{SP}(f(x_1)) + ph(x_1)$ . Also, if  $f_i(x_i)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  are squarefree modulo  $p$ , then either by Lemma 1.2 and Lemma 1.4, or by the same proof as Corollary 2.8,  $\mathcal{N}(Q) = pQ$ . If  $R$  is a finite field, then  $g = \text{sp}(f_1)$ , the squarefree part of  $f_1$ , generates  $\mathcal{N}(Q)$ .

Theorem 2.1 provides conditions for the ring  $Q$  to be a PIR. Theorem 2.11 provides similar conditions for  $Q$  to be a special type of PIR. To prove it, Lemmas 1.13, 1.14 and the following two lemmas are required.

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let us assume that  $S = R[x]/(f(x))$  is a direct product of Galois rings, where  $R$  is a chain ring and  $f$  is monic. Then  $R$  is a Galois ring and  $f$  is squarefree modulo  $p$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.5,  $f$  is squarefree modulo  $p$ . Assume that  $R$  is not a Galois ring. By Lemma 1.3,  $R \cong GR(p^m, r)[y]/(y^s + ph(y), p^{m-1}y^t)$  for suitable  $h(y)$  and integers  $m, r, t$  where  $s \geq 2$ . It follows that  $S/pS \cong GF(p^r)[x, y]/(\overline{f(x)}, y^s) \cong GF(p^r)[x]/(\overline{f(x)}) \otimes GF(p^r)[y]/(y^s)$ . Since  $s \geq 2$ ,  $GF(p^r)[y]/(y^s)$  is a finite chain ring which is not a finite field,

yet  $GF(p^r)[x]/(\overline{f(x)})$  is a direct product of finite fields since  $\overline{f(x)}$  is square-free. Consider the following ring. For some integer  $q \geq 2$ , by Lemma 1.2,  $GF(p^q) \otimes (GF(p^r)[y]/(y^s)) \cong \prod_1^d (GF(p^l)[y]/(y^s))$ , where  $d = \gcd(q, r)$  and  $l = \text{lcm}(q, r)$ . Since this ring is not a direct product of finite fields, neither is  $(GF(p^r)[x]/(\overline{f(x)}) \otimes GF(p^r)[y]/(y^s)) = S/pS$ . This is a contradiction, by Lemma 1.4, since  $S$  is a direct product of Galois rings. Therefore  $R$  must be a Galois ring.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.10.** *Let us assume that  $S = R[x]/(f(x))$  is a direct product of finite fields, where  $R$  is a chain ring and  $f$  is monic. Then  $R$  is a finite field and  $f$  is squarefree.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.9,  $f$  is squarefree modulo  $p$ , and  $R \cong GR(p^m, r)$  where  $m, r \geq 1$  are integers. Assume that  $R$  is not a finite field ( $m \geq 2$ ). Since  $f$  is squarefree modulo  $p$ ,  $S = R[x]/(f(x))$  is a direct product of Galois rings of characteristic  $p^m > p$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore  $m = 1$ . So  $R$  is a finite field and  $f$  is squarefree.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.11.** *Let  $R$  be a finite commutative chain ring satisfying  $\text{char}(R) = p^m$ , and  $Q = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f_1(x_1), \dots, f_n(x_n))$  where  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  are monic polynomials. Then*

1.  $Q$  is a direct product of finite fields if and only if  $R$  is a finite field and all the  $f_i$  are squarefree;
2.  $Q$  is a direct product of Galois rings if and only if  $R$  is a Galois ring and all the  $f_i$  are squarefree modulo  $p$ .

*Proof.* Define  $R_i = R[x_i]/(f_i(x_i))$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then  $Q \cong \otimes_{i=1}^n R_i$ . Since  $R = R_p$ ,  $Q = Q_p$ , where  $R_p$  is the  $p$ -component of  $R$ .

(1) The ‘if’ part. If  $R$  is a finite field and  $f$  is squarefree, then by the chinese remainder theorem for ideals ([7, Exercise.2.6, p.80]),  $R[x]/(f(x))$  is a direct product of finite fields. By Lemma 1.2, a tensor product of finite fields is a direct product of finite fields, so tensor product distributes over direct products. Then  $Q$  is a direct product of finite fields.

The ‘only if’ part. By Lemma 1.14, if  $R_1 \otimes R_2$  is a direct product of finite fields, then so too are  $R_1$  and  $R_2$ . By iterating this argument, if  $Q \cong \otimes_{i=1}^n R_i$  is a direct product of finite fields, then so is each  $R_i$ . By Lemma 2.10,  $R$  is a finite field and all the  $f_i$  are squarefree.

(2) The ‘if’ part. If  $R$  is a Galois ring and  $f$  is squarefree modulo  $p$ , then by Lemma 2.2,  $R[x]/(f(x))$  is a direct product of Galois rings. The proof is now identical to (1) replacing ‘finite field’ by ‘Galois ring’, ‘squarefree’ by ‘squarefree modulo  $p$ ’ and using Lemmas 1.13 and 2.9.  $\square$

Finally, let us consider the case when the ideal  $I \triangleleft R[x]$  contains several univariate polynomials  $I = (f_1(x), \dots, f_r(x))$ . Let  $R$  be a finite local ring.

We say that  $g \in R[x]$  is *primary* if  $(g)$  is a primary ideal in  $R[x]$  (see [12, p.254]). Lemma 2.12 follows from [12], Theorem 13.11.

**Lemma 2.12.** *Let  $R$  be a finite local ring. Let  $f \in R[x]$  be a monic polynomial, then  $f = \prod_{i=1}^s g_i$ , where the  $g_i$  are monic primary coprime polynomials, for some integer  $s \geq 1$ . This factorization of  $f$  is unique up to associates. That is, if  $f = \prod_{i=1}^t h_i$ , then  $s = t$  and after renumbering,  $(g_i) = (h_i) \triangleleft R[x]$ .*

For a finite local ring  $R$ , we may now define a *greatest common divisor* of two monic polynomials  $f_1, f_2 \in R[x]$ . For  $j = 1, 2$ , let  $f_j = \prod_{i=1}^{s(j)} g_i^{(j)}$ , where the  $g_i^{(j)}$  are monic primary coprime polynomials. Define  $\gcd(f_1, f_2) = \prod_{i=1}^s g_i^{(j)}$ , where  $g_i^{(j)}$  divides both  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ , for some integer  $s \geq 1$ . Then by Lemma 2.12,  $\gcd(f_1, f_2)$  is well-defined and is unique up to associates. Similarly  $\gcd(f_1, \dots, f_r)$  is defined for  $f_1, \dots, f_r \in R[x]$ . Then we see that  $(\gcd(f_1, \dots, f_r)) = (f_1, \dots, f_r)$ . Therefore, the theorems in this paper which are stated for rings of the form  $Q = R[x]/(f_1(x))$  hold for rings of the form  $Q = R[x]/(f_1(x), \dots, f_r(x))$ , where the  $f_i$  are monic, or more generally, are regular polynomials.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] T. BECKER and V. WEISPFENNING, "Gröbner Bases. A Computational Approach to Commutative Algebra", Graduate Texts in Mathematics **141**, Springer-Verlag, 1993.
- [2] J. CAZARAN and A.V. KELAREV, *Polynomial codes and principal ideal rings*, Proceedings of the '1997 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory', Ulm, Germany, 29 June - 4 July 1997, 502–502.
- [3] J. CAZARAN and A.V. KELAREV, *Generators and weights of polynomial codes*, Arch. Math. **69** no.6 (1997), 479–486.
- [4] J. CAZARAN and A.V. KELAREV, *On finite principal ideal rings*, Acta Mathematica Universitatis Comenianae **68** no.1 (1999), 77–84.
- [5] J. CAZARAN and A.V. KELAREV, *Semisimple Artinian graded rings*, Comm. Algebra **27** no.8 (1999) 3863–3874.
- [6] J. CAZARAN, *Radical semisimple classes of finite rings and classes of finite principal ideal rings*, submitted in 1999.
- [7] D. EISENBUD "Commutative Algebra. With a view toward algebraic geometry.", Graduate Texts in Mathematics **150**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [8] R. GILMER, "Multiplicative Ideal Theory", Pure and Applied Mathematics **12**, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1972.
- [9] B. GLASTAD and G. HOPKINS, *Commutative semigroup rings which are principal ideal rings*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **21** (1980), no.2, 371–377.
- [10] A.R. HAMMONS JR., P.V. KUMAR, A.R. CALDERBANK, N.J.A. SLOANE and P. SOLÉ, *The  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linearity of Kerdock, Preparata, Goethals, and related codes*, IEEE Trans. Information Theory **40** (1994), no.2, 301–319.
- [11] V.L. KURAKIN, A.S. KUZMIN, A.V. MIKHALEV and A.A. NECHAEV, *Linear recurring sequences over rings and modules*, J. of Math. Sci. **76** (1995), no.6, 2793–2915.

- [12] B.R. McDONALD “Finite Rings with Identity”, Pure and Applied Mathematics **28**, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1974.
- [13] M. NAGATA “Local Rings”, Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics **13**, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1962.
- [14] R.S. WILSON, *On the structure of finite rings*, Compositio Math. **26** (1973), no.1, 79–93.
- [15] O. ZARISKI and P. SAMUEL, “Commutative Algebra v.I”, Van Nostrand, Princeton, New Jersey, 1958. *reprinted in* Graduate Texts in Mathematics **28**, Springer-Verlag, 1975.

JILYANA CAZARAN  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA, 70803-4918, USA  
*e-mail address:* cazaran@math.lsu.edu

*(Received March 18, 1999)*

*(Revised January 24, 2000)*