

Mathematical Journal of Okayama University

Volume 47, Issue 1

2005

Article 14

JANUARY 2005

A Note on Quotients of Orthogonal Groups

Akihiro Ohsita*

*Osaka University of Economics

Copyright ©2005 by the authors. *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University* is produced by
The Berkeley Electronic Press (bepress). <http://escholarship.lib.okayama-u.ac.jp/mjou>

A Note on Quotients of Orthogonal Groups

Akihiro Ohsita

Abstract

We discuss the mod 2 cohomology of the quotient of a compact classical Lie group by its maximal 2-torus. In particular, the case of the orthogonal group is treated. The case of the spinor group is not included.

KEYWORDS: Lie group, cohomology, 2-torsion, 2-root.

Math. J. Okayama Univ. **47** (2005), 159–162

A NOTE ON QUOTIENTS OF ORTHOGONAL GROUPS

AKIHIRO OHSITA

ABSTRACT. We discuss the mod 2 cohomology of the quotient of a compact classical Lie group by its maximal 2-torus. In particular, the case of the orthogonal group is treated. The case of the spinor group is not included.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Let G be a compact simple Lie group. It is well known that for classical G , the cohomology modulo 2 of BG does not have higher 2-torsion ([7]).

According to Adams [1], a subgroup of G is called a 2-torus when it is isomorphic to an elementary abelian 2-group. Let V be a 2-torus of the maximal rank. The rank of V is called 2-rank of G . These two notions are used to study the cohomology ring of a compact Lie group (for instance, [2], [3], [4], [6], [11]). G/V is, for example, connected with calculation of 2-roots, *i.e.* the eigenvalues as functions associated with the restriction of the adjoint representation to V . When $G = SU(n), U(n)$ or $Sp(n)$, it is known by some topologists that G/V does not have higher 2-torsion. But the case of $O(n)$ does not seem so obvious. The purpose of this paper is to show the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. $H^*(O(n)/V; \mathbf{Z})$ has no higher 2-torsion.

The corresponding result also holds when one replace $O(n)$ with $SO(n)$. The other classical cases above are also verified similarly to our proof for $O(n)$. The case of $Spin(n)$ seems much complicated. In this paper we will make use of the method of [3], [8] and [5]. We denote the mod 2 cohomology of a space X simply by H^*X .

2. Sq^1 -COHOMOLOGY AND THE PROOF.

As is well known, the Serre spectral sequence for the fibration

$$O(n)/V \rightarrow BV \rightarrow BO(n)$$

collapses with respect to the mod 2 cohomology, and the image of $H^*(BO(n))$ is generated by the elementary symmetric polynomials, *i.e.* the Stiefel-Whitney classes. Thus let $H^*(BV) = \mathbf{F}_2[t_1, \dots, t_n]$, and then $H^*(O(n)/V) = \mathbf{F}_2[t_1, \dots, t_n] / (w_1, \dots, w_n)$, where we abuse the same

Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 00-01; Secondary 68-01.

Key words and phrases. Lie group, cohomology, 2-torsion, 2-root.

symbol t_i for its image. The method of [5] is applicable for computing the Sq^1 -cohomology.

Let A_0 be $\mathbf{F}_2[t_1, \dots, t_n]/(w_1)$, which also admits the Sq^1 -action as a differential. We sketch the program here. We consider the Sq^1 -cohomology of successive quotients of A_0 in a slightly different order so as to regard the multiplication by w_i as a monomorphic Sq^1 -cochain map. The multiplication by w_3 on A_0 commutes with the Sq^1 -action, since $Sq^1(w_{2i-1}x) = w_{2i-1}Sq^1x$. And since $Sq^1(w_{2i}x) = w_{2i+1}x + w_{2i}Sq^1x$ in A_0 , the multiplication by w_2 is a cochain map on $A_1 = A_0/(w_3)$ with respect to Sq^1 . Note that if one consider $A_0/(w_2)$ instead of A_1 above, Sq^1 cannot act on it since $Sq^1w_2 = w_3$ in A_0 , that is, the ideal in A_0 is not closed under the Sq^1 -action. Thus we define elements of A_0 as follows: $g_1 = w_3$, $g_2 = w_2$, $g_3 = w_5$, $g_4 = w_4$ and so on. If n is odd, this definition goes well for all g_k . If n is even, let $g_{n-1} = w_n$. Let A_k be $A_0/(g_1, \dots, g_k)$, on which the multiplication by g_{k+1} acts as a cochain map. A_{n-1} is isomorphic to $H^*(O(n)/V)$.

Now we begin to calculate $H^*(A_k)$. First, it is immediate to see that $H^*(A_0) = \mathbf{F}_2$ and $H^*(A_1) = \bigwedge(w_2)$. Define α_{4i-1} by $\sum_{j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_{2i}} t_{j_1} t_{j_2}^2 \dots t_{j_{2i}}^2$ in A_0 . This element satisfies $Sq^1\alpha_{4i-1} = w_{2i}^2$. We assert

Lemma 2.1.

$$H^*(A_k) = \begin{cases} \bigwedge(\alpha_3, \alpha_7, \dots, \alpha_{4m-1}) & (k = 2m) \\ \bigwedge(\alpha_3, \alpha_7, \dots, \alpha_{4m-1}, g_{k+1}) & (k = 2m + 1) \end{cases}$$

except for the case n is even and $k = n - 1$.

Proof. Note that $g_{k+1} = w_{k+1}$ in the above. We proceed by induction. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A_{k-1} \xrightarrow{\cdot g_k} A_{k-1} \longrightarrow A_k \longrightarrow 0$$

and hence the resulting long exact sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow H^*(A_{k-1}) \xrightarrow{\cdot [g_k]} H^*(A_{k-1}) \longrightarrow H^*(A_k) \longrightarrow H^*(A_{k-1}) \longrightarrow \dots$$

If k is odd, $g_k = w_{k+2}$ is 0 in the cohomology because $w_{k+2} = Sq^1w_{k+1}$ in A_{k-1} . Thus the long exact sequence splits into short ones

$$0 \longrightarrow H^*(A_{k-1}) \longrightarrow H^*(A_k) \longrightarrow H^*(A_{k-1}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

It is easy to check that $H^*(A_k) = H^*(A_{k-1}) \otimes \bigwedge(w_{k+1})$, and the inductive step is proved in this case.

If k is even, $H^*(A_{k-1}) = H^*(A_{k-2}) \otimes \bigwedge(g_k)$ and whence the following sequence is exact.

$$0 \longrightarrow H^*(A_{k-2}) \longrightarrow H^*(A_k) \longrightarrow g_k \cdot H^*(A_{k-2}) \longrightarrow 0$$

Then diagram chasing shows $H^*(A_k) = H^*(A_{k-2}) \otimes \bigwedge(\alpha_{4m-1})$. Therefore the lemma is proved. $\square$

Finally we deal with the case n is even and $k = n - 1$. In this case $g_k (= w_n)$ is a trivial cocycle. To see this, we note $w_i = w'_i + t_n w'_{i-1}$, where w'_i is the i -th elementary symmetric polynomial in $t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}$. Thus in A_0 , $w_n = w'_{n-1} w'_1 = Sq^1 w'_{n-1}$. Moreover, it is easy to see $w'_i = (w'_1)^i = t_n^i$ and hence $w'_{n-1} = t_n^{n-1}$ in A_{n-2} . We can reason similarly if we take t_i instead of t_n for any i .

Since the multiplication by w_n induces a null homomorphism on cohomology, we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^*(A_{n-2}) \longrightarrow H^*(A_{n-1}) \longrightarrow H^*(A_{n-2}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $H^*(A_{n-2}) = \bigwedge(\alpha_3, \alpha_7, \dots, \alpha_{4m-5})$. Again by diagram chasing, we obtain $H^*(A_{n-1}) = H^*(A_{n-2}) \otimes \bigwedge(t_n^{n-1})$. Summing up all, we have obtained

Proposition 2.2.

$$H^*(H^*(O(n)/V); Sq^1) = \begin{cases} \bigwedge(\alpha_3, \alpha_7, \dots, \alpha_{4m-1}) & (n = 2m + 1) \\ \bigwedge(\alpha_3, \alpha_7, \dots, \alpha_{4m-5}, \beta) & (n = 2m), \end{cases}$$

where β is represented by t_i^{n-1} for arbitrary i .

Here in $H^*(BV)$, $Sq^1(\alpha_{4i-1}) = w_{2i}^2$ and $Sq^1(\beta) = t_i^n = w_n$ when n is even, both of which has the image null in $H^*(O(n)/V)$.

In $H^*(H^*(O(n)/V); Sq^1)$ the degree of the generators are as follows: $\deg \alpha_{4i-1} = 4i - 1$ and $\deg \beta = 2k - 1 (= n - 1)$. On the other hand, the rational cohomology of $O(n)/V$ is of the same form. Therefore the Bockstein spectral sequence collapses and $O(n)/V$ does not have higher torsion. It is immediate to see the similar result holds for $SO(n)$.

As in [7], we describe $H^*(O(n)/V; \mathbf{Z})$ as a graded module as follows. Put

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1 - t^i}{1 - t}, \\ g^+(t) &= (1 + t^{n-1}) \prod_{i=1}^k (1 + t^{4i-1}) = \sum_i g_i^+ t^i \quad (g_i^+ \in \mathbf{Z}), \\ g^-(t) &= \prod_{i=1}^k (1 + t^{4i-1}) = \sum_i g_i^- t^i \quad (g_i^- \in \mathbf{Z}), \end{aligned}$$

where $k = \max \left\{ i \in \mathbf{Z} \mid i \leq \frac{n-1}{2} \right\}$. Proposition 3 deduces that these three are the Poincaré polynomials of $H^*(O(n)/V; \mathbf{F}_2)$, $H^*(O(n)/V; \mathbf{Q})$ for

even n , and $H^*(O(n)/V; \mathbf{Q})$ for odd n , respectively. There then exist polynomials $r^+(t) = \sum_i r_i^+ t^i$ ($r_i^+ \in \mathbf{Z}$) and $r^-(t) = \sum_i r_i^- t^i$ ($r_i^- \in \mathbf{Z}$) such that $f(t) - g^+(t) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{t}\right) r^+(t)$ for even n and $f(t) - g^-(t) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{t}\right) r^-(t)$ for odd n . (Note that a factorization into monic polynomials in rational coefficients can be realized already in integral coefficients since $\mathbf{Z}$ is integrally closed.) Thus we obtain the next corollary.

Corollary 2.3.

$$H^i(O(n)/V; \mathbf{Z}) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{Z}^{g_i^+} \oplus (\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^{r_i^+} & (n : \text{even}), \\ \mathbf{Z}^{g_i^-} \oplus (\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^{r_i^-} & (n : \text{odd}). \end{cases}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] J. F. Adams, *2-tori in E_8* , Math. Ann. **278** (1987) 29–39.
- [2] A. Borel and F. Hirzebruch, *Characteristic classes and homogenous spaces I*, Amer. J. Math. **80** (1958) 458–538.
- [3] A. Borel and F. Hirzebruch, *Characteristic classes and homogenous spaces II*, Amer. J. Math. **81** (1959) 315–382.
- [4] A. Borel and J.-P. Serre, *Sur certain sous-groupes des groupes de Lie compacts*, Comm. Math. Helv. **27** (1953) 128–139.
- [5] D. Kishimoto, A. Kono and A. Ohsita, *KO-theory of flag manifolds*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **44** (2004) 217–228.
- [6] A. Kono, *On the 2-rank of compact connected Lie groups*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **17** (1977) 1–18.
- [7] A. Kono, *On the integral cohomology of $BSpin(n)$* , J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **26** (1986) 333–337.
- [8] A. Kono and S. Hara, *KO-theory of complex Grassmannians*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **31** (1991) 827–833.
- [9] D. Quillen, *The spectrum of an equivariant cohomology ring I*, Ann. of Math. **94** (1971) 549–572.
- [10] D. Quillen, *The spectrum of an equivariant cohomology ring II*, Ann. of Math. **94** (1971) 573–602.
- [11] D. Quillen, *Cohomology of groups*, Actes congrès inter. math. (1972) Tome 2 47–51.

AKIHIRO OHSITA

FACULTY OF HUMAN SCIENCES

OSAKA UNIVERSITY OF ECONOMICS

2-2-8, OSUMI, HIGASHI-YODOGAWA WARD

OSAKA 533-8533 JAPAN

e-mail address: ohsita@osaka-ue.ac.jp

(Received July 13, 2004)

(Revised December 16, 2004)