

Mathematical Journal of Okayama University

Volume 12, Issue 2

1963

Article 8

OCTOBER 1966

Supplements to the previous paper
“Quasi-Galois extensions of simple rings”

Takasi Nagahara*

*Okayama University

Copyright ©1963 by the authors. *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University* is produced by
The Berkeley Electronic Press (bepress). <http://escholarship.lib.okayama-u.ac.jp/mjou>

SUPPLEMENTS TO THE PREVIOUS PAPER "QUASI-GALOIS EXTENSIONS OF SIMPLE RINGS"

TAKASI NAGAHARA

This paper is about quasi-Galois conditions (abr. q -Galois conditions) of simple rings. In [3], we have presented some q -Galois conditions which are useful in the study of q -Galois extensions. In this paper, we shall present more explicit one of q -Galois conditions. Moreover, as appendices, we shall make a remark on two-sided linear homomorphism rings of q -Galois extensions which is connected with Elliger's quasi-Galois extensions [1].

Throughout the present paper, $R = \sum D e_{ij}$ will be a simple ring, where e_{ij} 's are matrix units and D is the centralizer of $\{e_{ij}\}$ in R which is a division ring. Moreover, we shall be concerned with a fixed subring S of R which is a simple ring containing the identity element 1 of R . For these rings R, S , we set $V = V_R(S)$, $H = V_R^2(S)$, and let $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}_{i,j}$, etc. be as in [3]. In addition, we denote by C, Z, C_0 the centers of R, S, V respectively. As to notations and terminologies used in this note, we follow the previous paper [3].

In the discussion of q -Galois conditions as in [2], it may well be presented as fundamental theme that $\text{Hom}_{S_i}(S', R) = \mathfrak{G}(S'/S, R)R_r$ for every $S' \in \mathcal{R}_{i,j}$ (i. e., R is q -Galois over S if in addition $S \in \mathcal{R}$) if and only if $J(\mathfrak{G}(S'/S, R), S') = S$ for every $S' \in \mathcal{R}_{i,j}$. This equivalence will be concerned in the main theme of our study (Principal Theorem). In [4], H. Tominaga has proved this equivalence for the case that R is a division ring and R is left locally finite over S ([4, Remark 2]).

First, we shall prove the following lemma whose proof is partially similar to that of [4, Remark 2].

Lemma 1. *Let R be left locally finite over S , and $S \subset C$. If $J(\mathfrak{G}(T/S, R), T) = S$ for every $T \in \mathcal{R}_{i,j}^0$ then R is q -Galois over S .*

Proof. By [3, Theorem 3], it will suffice to prove that $\text{Hom}_{S_i}(T, R) = \mathfrak{G}(T/S, R)R_r$ for every $T \in \mathcal{R}_{i,j}^0$. Let $T \in \mathcal{R}_{i,j}^0$. First, we shall show that for elements a, b of T such that $\{a, b\}$ is linearly independent over S and a is regular, there exists a $\rho \in \mathfrak{G}(T/S, R)R_r$ such that

$$a\rho = 0, \quad b\rho = b.$$

Since $ba^{-1} \in T \setminus S$ and $J(\mathfrak{G}(T/S, R), T) = S$, there exists some $\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}(T/S, R)$

with $(ba^{-1})\sigma \neq ba^{-1}$. Then, for $\tau = 1 - \sigma(a\sigma)^{-1}ra_r$ we see that $a_\tau = 0$, $b_\tau \neq 0$. Since R is $\langle R \rangle R_r$ -irreducible, it follows that $(b_\tau)\langle R \rangle R_r = R$, and so $b\rho = b$ for some $\rho \in \tau\langle R \rangle R_r \subset \mathfrak{G}(T/S, R)R_r$ (note $R = V$). Moreover we have $a\rho \in (a_\tau)\langle R \rangle R_r = \{0\}$. As is well-known, T is generated by the set of regular elements of T . Hence, to prove our assertion, it will suffice to show that for regular elements x_1, \dots, x_n of T such that $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is linearly independent over S , there exists a $\rho \in \mathfrak{G}(T/S, R)R_r$ such that

$$x_i\rho = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1; \quad x_n\rho = x_n.$$

For $n=2$, our assertion holds by the above remark. Assume that we can find $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{n-1} \in \mathfrak{G}(T/S, R)R_r$ such that $x_i\rho_j = \delta_{ij}x_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, where $\delta_{ii} = 1$, $\delta_{ij} = 0$ for $i \neq j$. There holds then $x_i(\sum \rho_j - 1) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. If $x_n(\sum \rho_j - 1) \neq 0$ then $(x_n(\sum \rho_j - 1))\langle R \rangle R_r = R$, and so $x_n\rho = x_n$ for some $\rho \in (\sum \rho_j - 1)\langle R \rangle R_r \subset \mathfrak{G}(T/S, R)R_r$. Moreover we have $x_i\rho = 0$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. If otherwise $x_n(\sum \rho_j - 1) = 0$ then $\sum_j x_n\rho_j = x_n$. Since $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is linearly independent over S , some $\{x_i, x_n\rho_i\}$ is linearly independent over S . As $\rho_i \in \mathfrak{G}(T/S, R)R_r$, we write $\rho_i = \sum_{k=1}^m \sigma_k a_{kr}$, where $\sigma_k \in \mathfrak{G}(T/S, R)$, $a_k \in R$, $k = 1, \dots, m < \infty$. Then, for $T' \in \mathcal{R}_{i,j}^0 / \{T, T\rho_i, T\sigma_1, \dots, T\sigma_m, a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ there exists a $\rho' \in \mathfrak{G}(T'/S, R)R_r$ such that $x_i\rho' = 0$, $x_n\rho_i\rho' = x_n\rho_i$ (by the first remark in the proof). From $(x_n\rho_i\rho')\langle R \rangle R_r = R$ we have $x_n\rho_i\rho'' = x_n$ for some $\rho'' \in \rho'\langle R \rangle R_r \subset \mathfrak{G}(T'/S, R)R_r$. Clearly $x_i\rho'' = 0$. If we set $\rho = \rho_i\rho''$ then $\rho \in \mathfrak{G}(T/S, R)R_r$, $x_n\rho = x_n$, $x_j\rho = x_j\rho_i\rho'' = 0$ for $j \neq i$, and $x_i\rho = x_i\rho_i\rho'' = x_i\rho'' = 0$. This completes the proof.

Now, as in [3, Lemma 11], for each $T \in \mathcal{R}_{i,j}^0$, we set $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}(T/S, R) = \bigcap_{T'} \mathfrak{G}(T'/S, R) | T$, where T' runs over all the elements of $\mathcal{R}_{i,j}^0 / T$. Then, we have the following

Corollary 1. *Let R be left locally finite over S , and $S \in \mathcal{R}$. If $J(\mathfrak{G}(T/S, R), T) = S$ for every $T \in \mathcal{R}_{i,j}^0$ then V is q -Galois and locally finite over Z , and $(C_0 \cap T)\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}(T/S, R) \subset C_0$ for every $T \in \mathcal{R}_{i,j}^0$.*

Proof. Since $[S, V] = S \times_z V$ (direct product) and $(T \cap V)\mathfrak{G}(T/S, R) \subset V$ for every $T \in \mathcal{R}_{i,j}^0$, it follows that V is locally finite over Z , and $J\mathfrak{G}(V^*/Z, V), V^* = Z$ for every regular subring V^* of V/Z with $[V^* : Z] < \infty$ and $[V^* | V^*] = [V | V]$. Hence, by Lemma 1, V is q -Galois over Z . Now, let $T \in \mathcal{R}_{i,j}^0$, and let $\{f_{ij}\}$ be a system of matrix units of V such that $V_r(\{f_{ij}\})$ is a division ring. Then, for $T' \in \mathcal{R}_{i,j}^0 / T \cup \{f_{ij}\}$, $V \cap T'$ is a regular subring of V/Z with $[V \cap T' : Z] < \infty$ and $[V \cap T' | V \cap T'] = [V | V]$. Hence, we see that $\mathfrak{G}(T'/S, R) | V \cap T' \subset \mathfrak{G}(V \cap T' / Z, V)$. From this and $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}(T'/S, R) | T = \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}(T/S, R)$ ([3, Lemma 12]), it follows that $(C_0 \cap T)\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}(T/S, R) = (C_0 \cap T)(\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}(T'/S, R) | T) = (C_0 \cap T)(\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}(T'/S, R) | V \cap T') \subset$

$(C_0 \cap T) \mathfrak{G}(V \cap T'/Z, V)$. Since V is q -Galois over Z , we have $(C_0 \cap T) \cdot \mathfrak{G}(V \cap T'/Z, V) \subset C_0$ by [3, Theorem 3]. This implies our last assertion.

Remark 1. If R is left locally finite over S , and $S \in \mathcal{R}$ then $[S, V]$ is a simple ring. Indeed, for every intermediate simple ring V_i of V/Z with $[V_i : Z] < \infty$, $[S, V_i]$ is a simple ring with minimum condition. Since $[[S, V_i] | [S, V_i]] \leq [R | R]$, $[S, V]$ is also a simple ring with minimum condition (cf. [3, Remark 11]). Moreover, for an arbitrary non-zero element a of $[S, C_0]$, $SaS \cap C_0$ contains non-zero (regular) elements. Hence, every intermediate ring of $[S, C_0]/S$ is simple. In particular, $[S, C_0]$ is a simple ring.

Lemma 2. *Let R be left locally finite over S , and $S \in \mathcal{R}$. Then R is left locally finite over $[S, C_0]$, and $[H \cap [T, V] : [S, C_0]]_i < \infty$ for every $T \in \mathcal{R}_{i,f}^0$.*

Proof. For $T \in \mathcal{R}_{i,f}^0$, we set $V' = V_R(T)$. Then, by [3, Lemma 1(iv)], we have $[V : V']_i \leq [T : S]_i < \infty$, and we set $V = \sum_{i=1}^p V' d_i$ ($p < \infty$). Moreover, we set $[T, d_1, \dots, d_p] = \sum_{j=1}^q T f_j$ ($q < \infty$). Then, for all integer s , we have that $(V \cdot T)^s \subset V' \cdot [T, d_1, \dots, d_p] = V' \cdot (\sum_{j=1}^q T f_j) \subset \sum_{j=1}^q [V', T] f_j$. Hence $[V, T] = \sum_{j=1}^q [V', T] f_j$, and so $[[V, T] : [V', T]]_i < \infty$. Let C^* be the center of V' , and set $H^* = H \cap [V, T] (= V_{[V', T]}(V))$. Then $[V', T] = V' \times_{V' \cap T} T$ (direct product) $= V' \times_{C^*} [C^*, T] \subset V' \times_{C^*} [C^*, T, H^*] \subset [V, T]$. Hence we see that

$$(1) \quad [[C^*, T, H^*] : [C^*, T]]_i < \infty.$$

Now, we set $C_0^* = C_0 \cap C^*$. Then one will easily see that C_0^* is the center of $[V, T]$. Hence, by [3, Lemma 1(iv)], we have $[V_{[V', T]}([V', T]) : C_0^*] \leq [[V, T] : [V', T]]_i < \infty$. Since $V_{[V', T]}([V', T]) = C^*$, we obtain $[C^* : C_0^*] < \infty$. Therefore, it follows that

$$(2) \quad [[C^*, T] : [C_0^*, T]]_i < \infty.$$

Further, $[C_0^*, S]$ is a simple ring by Remark 1, and we have

$$(3) \quad [[C_0^*, T] : [C_0^*, S]]_i < \infty.$$

Combining (1), (2), and (3), it follows that

$$[[C^*, T, H^*] : [C_0^*, S]]_i < \infty.$$

Noting that $H^* \supset [C_0, S] \supset [C_0^*, S]$, we obtain the following

$$\begin{aligned} [H^* : [C_0, S]]_i &< \infty, \\ [[C_0, T] : [C_0, S]]_i &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

The last form implies that R is left locally finite over $[C_0, S]$.

Lemma 3. *Let R be left locally finite over S . Let $T, T_1 \in \mathcal{R}_{i,f}^0$ and*

$\sigma_1 \in \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}(T_1/S, R)$. Then there exists some $T_2 \in \mathfrak{R}_{i,j}^0/T \cup T_1$ and some $\sigma_2 \in \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}(T_2/S, R)$ such that $\sigma_2|T_1 = \sigma_1$, and if $\tau \in \mathfrak{G}(T/S, R)$ then $\tau'\sigma_2 \sim \tau$ for some $\tau' \in \mathfrak{G}(T/S, R)$, and in particular $\tau''\sigma_2 \sim 1$ for some $\tau'' \in \mathfrak{G}(T/S, R)^0$.

Proof. By [3, Corollary 2 (i)], we have $(\mathfrak{G}(T/S, R) : \langle V \rangle) < \infty$. Hence we can write $\mathfrak{G}(T/S, R) = \bigcup_{i=1}^m \tau_i \langle V \rangle$, $m < \infty$, and $\mathfrak{G}(T/S, R)R_r = \sum_{i,j} \tau_i \langle v_{ij} \rangle R_r$. Let $R = \sum_{i=1}^n e_{ij} D$ as in the introduction. Then for $f \in \text{Hom}_{S_i}(T, R)$, $f e_{ii} R_r$ is 0 or R_r -irreducible, i. e., $[f e_{ii} R_r | R_r] \leq 1$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Since $[\text{Hom}_{S_i}(T, R) | R_r] = [T : S]_i [R | R] < \infty$, it follows that

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{S_i}(T, R) &= \mathfrak{G}(T/S, R)R_r \oplus \sum_{k=1}^s f_k a_k R_r \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \tau_i \langle v_{ij} \rangle R_r \oplus \sum_{k=1}^s f_k a_k R_r, \end{aligned}$$

where $f_1, \dots, f_s \in \text{Hom}_{S_i}(T, R)$, $a_1, \dots, a_s \in \{e_{11}, \dots, e_{nn}\}$, and \oplus means a direct sum. Now let $\{d_1, \dots, d_t\}$ ($t = [T : S]_i$) be a left S -basis of T . Then there exists some $w_1, \dots, w_t \in \text{Hom}_{S_i}(T, R)$ such that $d_p w_p = 1$ and $d_p w_q = 0$ for $p \neq q$, and then we have

$$(2) \quad \text{Hom}_{S_i}(T, R) = \sum_{p=1}^t w_p R_r.$$

From (1) and (2), we can write $w_p = \sum_{i,j} \tau_i \langle v_{ij} \rangle x_{ij}^{(p)} + \sum_{k=1}^s f_k a_k y_k^{(p)}$, where $x_{ij}^{(p)}, y_k^{(p)} \in R$, $p = 1, \dots, t$. Now, we choose a $T_2 \in \mathfrak{R}_{i,j}^0 / \{T_1, T_{\tau_i} \langle v_{ij} \rangle x_{ij}^{(p)}, T f_k a_k y_k^{(p)}, T_{\tau_i} \langle v_{ij} \rangle, T f_k a_k, T_{\tau_i}, T f_k, T, v_{ij}, x_{ij}^{(p)}, a_k, y_k^{(p)}, T w_p; i = 1, \dots, m, j = 1, \dots, k = 1, \dots, s, p = 1, \dots, t\}$. Then there exists some $\sigma_2 \in \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}(T_2/S, R)$ such that $\sigma_2|T_1 = \sigma_1$ (cf. [3, Lemma 12]). Since $d_p(w_p \sigma_2) = 1$ and $d_p(w_q \sigma_2) = 0$ for $p \neq q$, we have $\text{Hom}_{S_i}(T, R) = \sum_{p=1}^t (w_p \sigma_2) R_r$. Then, from $w_p \sigma_2 = \sum_{i,j} \tau_i \sigma_2 \langle v_{ij} \rangle (x_{ij}^{(p)} \sigma_2)_r + \sum_{k=1}^s (f_k \sigma_2) (a_k \sigma_2) (y_k^{(p)} \sigma_2)_r$, we obtain

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{S_i}(T, R) &= \sum_{p=1}^t (w_p \sigma_2) R_r \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \tau_i \sigma_2 \langle V \rangle R_r + \sum_{k=1}^s (f_k \sigma_2) (a_k \sigma_2) R_r. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly $[\sum_{k=1}^s (f_k \sigma_2) (a_k \sigma_2) R_r | R_r] \leq [\sum_{k=1}^s f_k a_k R_r | R_r]$. Hence, by (1) and (3), we have $[\mathfrak{G}(T/S, R)R_r | R_r] \leq [\sum_{i=1}^m \tau_i \sigma_2 \langle V \rangle R_r | R_r]$. Since $\mathfrak{G}(T/S, R) \supset \bigcup_{i=1}^m \tau_i \sigma_2 \langle V \rangle$, it follows that $[\mathfrak{G}(T/S, R)R_r | R_r] = [\sum_{i=1}^m \tau_i \sigma_2 \langle V \rangle R_r | R_r]$, which implies that $\mathfrak{G}(T/S, R)R_r = \sum_{i=1}^m \tau_i \sigma_2 \langle V \rangle R_r$. Then, by [3, Theorem 1], we obtain $\mathfrak{G}(T/S, R) = \bigcup_{i=1}^m \tau_i \sigma_2 \langle V \rangle$. From this, our assertion follows immediately.

Lemma 4. Let R be left locally finite over S , and $S \in \mathfrak{P}$. If $J(\mathfrak{G}(T/S, R), T) = S$ for every $T \in \mathfrak{R}_{i,j}^0$ then $(H \cap T) \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}(T/S, R) \subset H$ for every $T \in \mathfrak{R}_{i,j}^0$.

Proof. For $T \in \mathfrak{R}_{i,j}^0$, we have $(\mathfrak{G}(T/S, R) : \langle V \rangle) < \infty$ by [3, Corollary 2 (i)], and we write $\mathfrak{G}(T/S, R) = \bigcup_{i=1}^m \tau_i \langle V \rangle$, where $m < \infty$, $\tau_i \in \mathfrak{G}(T/S, R)$, $\tau_i = 1$. We set here $R^* = [T_{\tau_1}, \dots, T_{\tau_m}, V]$ and $H^* = R^* \cap H$. Then $[T_{\tau_1}, \dots, T_{\tau_m}] \in \mathfrak{R}_{i,j}^0$. Hence we have $[H^* : [S, C_0]]_i < \infty$ by Lemma 2, and we write $H^* = \sum_{\oplus j=1}^n [S, C_0] d_j$. Since $d_1, \dots, d_n \in R^*$, there exists a finite

1) See [3, Remark 1]

subset E of V such that $d, \dots, d_n \in [T_{\tau_1}, \dots, T_{\tau_m}, E]$. We set $T_1 = [T_{\tau_1}, \dots, T_{\tau_m}, E]$. Then $T_1 \in \mathcal{R}_{i,j}^0$, $T_1 \subset R^*$, and $T_1 \mathfrak{G}(T_1/S, R) \subset R^*$. Now, let $\sigma \in \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}(T/S, R)$. Then, there exists some $\sigma_1 \in \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}(T_1/S, R)$ with $\sigma_1|T = \sigma$, and then, by Lemma 3, there exists some $T_2 \in \mathcal{R}_{i,j}^0/T_1$, $\tau \in \mathfrak{G}(T_1/S, R)$, $\sigma_2 \in \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}(T_2/S, R)$ such that $\tau\sigma_2 \sim 1$, $\sigma_2|T_1 = \sigma_1$. From $\tau\sigma_2 \sim 1$, we have $\tau\sigma_2|H \cap T_1 = 1$ (cf. [3, Remark 1]). First, we shall prove that

$$(1) \quad (H \cap T_1)_\tau \subset H^*.$$

Since $T_1 \mathfrak{G}(T_1/S, R) \subset R^*$, we have $(H \cap T_1)_\tau \subset R^*$. If $h_\tau \notin H^*$ for some $h \in H \cap T_1$ then $h_\tau \notin H$. Hence there exists an element $u \in V$ such that $(h\tau)u \neq u(h\tau)$. For $T_2' \in \mathcal{R}_{i,j}^0/[T_2, u]$, we can find some $\sigma_2' \in \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}(T_2'/S, R)$ with $\sigma_2'|T_2 = \sigma_2$. Then, we have that $((h_\tau)u)\sigma_2' \neq (u(h_\tau))\sigma_2'$, and so $h(u\sigma_2') \neq (u\sigma_2')h$. Hence $u\sigma_2' \notin V$. This is a contradiction. Now, we set $d_{j\tau} = f_j$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. Then $f_j \in H^*$, $f_j\sigma_2 = d_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$ in virtue of (1). Next, we shall prove that

$$(2) \quad H^* = \sum_{j=1}^n [S, C_0] f_j.$$

For an arbitrary finite subset F of C_0 , and for $T_2^* \in \mathcal{R}_{i,j}^0/[T_2, F]$, there exists a $\sigma_2^* \in \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}(T_2^*/S, R)$ such that $\sigma_2^*|T_2 = \sigma_2$. Then we have $F\sigma_2^* \subset C_0$ by Corollary 1. Hence $(\sum_{j=1}^n [S, F] f_j)\sigma_2^* = \sum_{j=1}^n [S, F\sigma_2^*](f_j\sigma_2^*) = \sum_{j=1}^n [S, F\sigma_2^*] d_j = \sum_{\mathfrak{G}^j=1} [S, F\sigma_2^*] d_j$. This implies that $\sum_{j=1}^n [S, F] f_j = \sum_{\mathfrak{G}^j=1} [S, F] f_j$, and hence $\sum_{j=1}^n [S, C_0] f_j = \sum_{\mathfrak{G}^j=1} [S, C_0] f_j$. Therefore it follows that $\sum_{j=1}^n [S, C_0] f_j = H^*$. Now, from (2) and $H \cap T \subset H^*$, we can find a finite subset F' of C_0 such that $H \cap T \subset \sum_{j=1}^n [S, F'] f_j$. For $T_3 \in \mathcal{R}_{i,j}^0/[T_2, F']$, there exists a $\sigma_3 \in \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}(T_3/S, R)$ such that $\sigma_3|T_2 = \sigma_2$. Then we have $(H \cap T)\sigma_3 \subset (\sum_{j=1}^n [S, F'] f_j)\sigma_3 \subset H^*$. Noting that $\sigma_3|H \cap T = \sigma|H \cap T$, we obtain $(H \cap T)\sigma \subset H^* \subset H$. This completes the proof.

If R is $S \cdot V \cdot R$ -irreducible or $R \cdot S \cdot V$ -irreducible then $S \in \mathcal{R}$ by [3, Lemma 1]. If $S \in \mathcal{R}$ and R is left locally finite over S , and if $J(\mathfrak{G}(T/S, R), T) = S$ for every $T \in \mathcal{R}_{i,j}^0$, then $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}} = \{\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}(T/S, R); T \in \mathcal{R}_{i,j}^0\}$ is a left q -system by Lemma 4 and [3, Lemma 14]. Hence [3, Theorem 5] takes the place of the next

Principal Theorem. *The following conditions (A_i)—(C_r) are all equivalent. Moreover, R is q -Galois and locally finite over S if and only if one of these conditions is satisfied.*

- (A_i): (i) $J(\mathfrak{G}(T/S, R), T) = S$ for every $T \in \mathcal{R}_{i,j}^0$, and R is left locally finite over S , and
- (ii) R is $S \cdot V \cdot R$ -irreducible.
- (B_i): (A_i: i) plus the condition that $R \cdot S \cdot V$ -irreducible.
- (C_i): (A_i: i) plus the condition that $S, H \in \mathcal{R}$ and $[V_R^2(T): H]_i = [V :$

$V_R(T)]_r$ for every $T \in \mathcal{R}_{i,j}^0$.

(A_r). (B_r). (C_r). (These are symmetric to (A_i)—(C_i)),

As a direct consequence of our theorem, we obtain the following

Corollary 2. *Let R be left locally finite over S . Then, R is q -Galois over S if and only if $J(\mathcal{G}(S'/S, R), S') = S$ for every $S' \in \mathcal{R}_{i,j}$, and R is $S \cdot V$ - R -irreducible.*

Remark 2. Our theorem is a result that corresponds to [2, Theorem 1]. Let (α) , (β) , (γ) , and (δ) be as in the definition of left q -systems given in [3]. If R is left locally finite over S and $S \in \mathcal{R}$ then the last condition (δ) is a result of conditions (α) , (β) , and (γ) , which can be proved by making use of the same method as in the proof of Lemma 4. Hence, in this case, a left q -system is defined as a system with (α) , (β) , and (γ) .

Appendices.

In the rest of this note, we shall make a study of the S_r - S_r -homomorphism ring of R to see that our q -Galois extensions are also Elliger's quasi-Galois extensions [1].

Lemma 5. *Let R be q -Galois and locally finite over S . If $T \in \mathcal{R}_{i,j}^0$ and M is a T_r - T_r -submodule of R which is finite over T , then*

$$\text{Hom}_{S_i \cdot S_r}(M, R) = (\mathcal{G}(T'/S, R) | M) V_r = (\mathcal{G}(T'/S, R) | M) V_i$$

for every $T' \in \mathcal{R}_{i,j}^0 / M \cup T$.

Proof. We write $T = \sum_{i,j=1}^n A^* a_{ij}$, where a_{ij} 's are matrix units of T , and $A^* = V_r(\{a_{ij}\})$ which is a division ring. Then $V_R(\{a_{ij}\}) = A$ is a division ring and $R = \sum_{i,j=1}^n A a_{ij}$. Now we set $M^* = \{\sum_{i=1}^n a_{i1} x a_{i1}; x \in M\}$. Then $M^* = M \cap A$ and M^* is a finite A^* -module. If we write $M^* = \sum_{k=1}^s A^* d_k$ then we see that $M = \sum_{k=1}^s T d_k$. Hence M has a left S -basis, and so we have $\text{Hom}_{S_i}(M, R) = \text{Hom}_{S_i}(T', R) | M$ for every $T' \in \mathcal{R}_{i,j}^0 / M \cup T$. Therefore we obtain $\text{Hom}_{S_i}(M, R) = \mathcal{G}(T'/S, R) R_r | M = (\mathcal{G}(T'/S, R) | M) R_r = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{G}} (\sigma_i | M) R_r$, where $\sigma_i \in \mathcal{G}(T'/S, R)$, and $(\sigma_i | M) R_r \cong R$ (as modules). From this it follows that $\text{Hom}_{S_i \cdot S_r}(M, R) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{G}} (\sigma_i | M) V_r = (\mathcal{G}(T'/S, R) | M) V_r$. Similarly, we have $\text{Hom}_{S_i \cdot S_r}(M, R) = (\mathcal{G}(T'/S, R) | M) V_i$.

Now we denote by \mathfrak{X} the ring $\text{Hom}_{S_i \cdot S_r}(R, R)$. Then we have the following

Proposition. *Let R be q -Galois and locally finite over S . Then*

$$\mathfrak{X} | T = \text{Hom}_{S_i \cdot S_r}(T, R) = \mathcal{G}(T/S, R) V_i = \mathcal{G}(T/S, R) V_r$$

for every $T \in \mathcal{R}_{i,j}^0$.

Proof. By Lemma 5, we have $\text{Hom}_{S_i \cdot S_r}(T, R) = \mathfrak{G}(T/S, R)V_i = \mathfrak{G}(T/S, R)V_r$ ($T \in \mathcal{R}_{i,r}^0$). Since $\mathfrak{X} | T \subset \text{Hom}_{S_i \cdot S_r}(T, R)$ and \mathfrak{X} is a $V_i \cdot V_r$ -module, it will suffice to show that $\mathfrak{G}(T/S, R) \subset \mathfrak{X} | T$. Let $\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}(T/S, R)$, and consider the set ψ of all pairs (M, f) consisting of a $T_i \cdot T_r$ -submodule M of R containing T , and an $S_i \cdot S_r$ -homomorphism f of M into R whose restriction to T is σ . Then, the set can be inductively ordered by prescribing $(M, f) \leq (M', f')$ if $M \subset M'$ and $f' | M = f$. Hence we can apply Zorn's Lemma to find a maximal element (M_0, f_0) of ψ . Now, we assume that $M_0 \neq R$. Then we can find some $T' \in \mathcal{R}_{i,r}^0/T$ such that $T' \not\subset M_0$. Clearly, $M_0 \cap T'$ is a $T_i \cdot T_r$ -module which is finite over T . Hence, by Lemma 5, we have that $f_0 | M_0 \cap T' \in \text{Hom}_{S_i \cdot S_r}(M_0 \cap T', R) = (\mathfrak{G}(T'/S, R) | M_0 \cap T')V_r$, and so $f_0 | M_0 \cap T' = g | M_0 \cap T'$ for some $g \in \mathfrak{G}(T'/S, R)V_r = \text{Hom}_{S_i \cdot S_r}(T', R)$. We denote the map $h: M_0 + T' \rightarrow R$ by the following; for $a + b \in M_0 + T'$ ($a \in M_0, b \in T'$),

$$(a + b)h = af_0 + bg.$$

For a division subring F of S , we have

$$M_0 + T' = \sum_{\oplus} Fx_i \oplus \sum_{\oplus} Fy_j \oplus \sum_{\oplus} Fz_k,$$

where $M_0 = \sum_{\oplus} Fx_i \oplus \sum_{\oplus} Fy_j$, $M_0 \cap T' = \sum_{\oplus} Fy_j$, $T' = \sum_{\oplus} Fy_j \oplus \sum_{\oplus} Fz_k$.

From this, we see that h is a single-valued map. Moreover, one will easily see that h is an $S_i \cdot S_r$ -homomorphism. Clearly $h | T = f_0 | T = \sigma$. Hence $(M_0 + T', h) \in \psi$ and $(M_0, f_0) < (M_0 + T', h)$. This is a contradiction. Therefore we obtain $\mathfrak{G}(T/S, R) \subset \mathfrak{X} | T$.

From Proposition, we obtain the following

Corollary 3. *Let R be q -Galois and locally finite over S . Then*

- i) $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{X}}(R, R) \cap \mathcal{R}_i = S_i$,
- ii) $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{X}}(R, R) \cap \mathcal{R}_r = S_r$,
- iii) $\mathfrak{X}R_r$ is dense in $\text{Hom}_{S_i}(R, R)$,
- iv) $\mathfrak{X}R_i$ is dense in $\text{Hom}_{S_r}(R, R)$.

Remark 3. By Corollary 3, $\mathfrak{X}R_r$ is dense in the ring $[\mathfrak{X}, R_r]$ generated by \mathfrak{X} and R_r . Similarly, $\mathfrak{X}R_i$ is dense in the ring $[\mathfrak{X}, R_i]$ generated by \mathfrak{X} and R_i .

In [1], S. Elliger has defined quasi-Galois extensions of division rings as follows: If for a division ring extension K/L there exists a multiplicative semi-group \mathfrak{G} of $L_i \cdot L_r$ -homomorphisms of K such that $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{G}}(K, K) \cap K_i = L_i$ and $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{G}}(K, K) \cap K_r = L_r$, then K is called quasi-Galois over L . By Corollary 3, our q -Galois extensions are also Elliger's quasi-Galois extensions. Any purely inseparable extension (commutative field) is Elliger's quasi-Galois but not our q -Galois,

REFERENCES

- [1] S. ELLIGER: Über das Rangproblem bei Körpererweiterungen, *J. für die reine und angew. Math.*, 221 (1966), 162—175.
- [2] T. NAGAHARA: On Galois conditions and Galois groups of simple rings, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 116 (1965), 417—434.
- [3] T. NAGAHARA: Quasi-Galois extensions of simple rings, *Math. J. Okayama Univ.*, 12 (1966), 107—146.
- [4] H. TOMINAGA: On q -Galois extensions of simple rings, *Nagoya Math. J.*, 27 (1966), 485—507.

DEPARTMENT of MATHEMATICS,
OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY.

(Received June 10, 1966)