

Mathematical Journal of Okayama University

Volume 26, Issue 1

1984

Article 5

JANUARY 1984

Galois subrings of independent automorphism
groups of commutative rings are quorite

Carl Faith*

*Rutgers, The State University

Copyright ©1984 by the authors. *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University* is produced by
The Berkeley Electronic Press (bepress). <http://escholarship.lib.okayama-u.ac.jp/mjou>

GALOIS SUBRINGS OF INDEPENDENT AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS OF COMMUTATIVE RINGS ARE QUORITE

CARL FAITH

Let R be a commutative ring, G a finite group of automorphisms, let $A = R^G$ be the Galois subring, let G^{ex} denote the canonical extension of G to the quotient ring $Q = Q_{\text{cl}}(R)$, and $F = Q^{G^{\text{ex}}}$. It is easy to see ([1]) that F is the partial quotient ring of A with respect to the multiplicatively closed subset S of A consisting of all $a \in A$ that are regular in R , that is, $S = A \cap R^*$, and thus that G is *quorite* in the sense that $Q_{\text{cl}}(A) = F$ iff R is *torsion free* over A in the sense that $A^* \subseteq R^*$. Sufficient ring-theoretical conditions for this are : (1) R is reduced (= semiprime, or non-singular) ; (2) R is flat over A . As stated, (1) happens if R is semihereditary, and (2) when G is a Galois group.

The purpose of this short note is to report another useful sufficient condition. A group G of automorphisms of R is *independent* provided that the elements of G , considered as functions $R \rightarrow R$, are linearly independent over R ([2]).

Theorem. *If G is an independent finite automorphism group of R , then G is quorite.*

Proof. Assume the above notation. Let $a \in A^*$, and I the annihilator ideal of a in R . Let $T_G(x)$ denote the trace of any x in R under G . Since $I \cap A = 0$, evidently $T_G(x) = 0$ for any x in I , and moreover, if r is any element of R , we have then that $xr \in I$, whence $T_G(xr) = 0$, that is,

$$\sum_{g \in G} g(x)g(r) = 0$$

and therefore

$$\sum_{g \in G} g(x)g = 0 \text{ on } R.$$

Since G is independent, it follows that $x = 0$, which proves the theorem.

Example. The converse of the theorem fails. Let R be the direct product of three fields $F_1 \times F_2 \times F_3$, with $F_1 \simeq F_2$, and let g denote the extension of this isomorphism to an automorphism of R with Galois subring

$R^g \supseteq F_3$. Since R^g contains an ideal, then by [2] the group (g) is dependent, but quorite since R is reduced.

Question. Let G be a group of automorphisms of a non-commutative ring. If G is finite and independent, is G quorite?

If R is an integral domain, then the answer is yes by [3] without assumption of independence, a result generalized by [4] to any ring R such that R^G is semiprime. See [6] for related results.

The assumption that G is independent is the basis of a number of theorems for what are called strictly Galois extensions in [8], and e.g., [7].

Let $Q = Q_{\max}^r(R)$ denote the maximal right quotient ring of R , and G^{ex} now denote the group of automorphisms of Q which extends G to Q . The theorem of Kitamura [5] states

$$Q^{G^{\text{ex}}} = Q_{\max}^r(R)$$

that is, G is *maximally quorite*, if the trace function $R \rightarrow R^G$ is *non-degenerate*, that is, does not vanish on any nonzero right ideal.

Corollary. If R is commutative, and G independent, then G is *maximally quorite*.

Proof. As stated in [2], if G is independent, then the trace function is non-degenerate.

In fact, Theorem 8.2 of [2] states that a torsion group G is dependent over R iff for some $g \neq 1$ in G either the fixring R^g contains a nonzero ideal of R , or else the g -trace function is degenerate.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. FAITH: Galois extensions of commutative rings, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 18 (1976), 113–116.
- [2] C. FAITH: On the Galois theory of commutative rings I: Dedekind's theorem on the independence of automorphisms revisited, Contemporary Math. 13 (1982), 183–192.
- [3] C. FAITH: Galois subrings of Ore domains are Ore domains, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 78 (1972), 1077–1088.
- [4] V.K. KHARCHENKO: Galois extensions and quotient rings, Algebra and Logic 13 (1974), 265–281.
- [5] Y. KITAMURA: Note on the maximal quotient ring of a Galois subring, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 19 (1976), 55–60.
- [6] S. MONTGOMERY: Fixed Rings of Finite Automorphism Groups of Associative Rings, Lecture

Notes in Math. 818, Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1980.

- [7] T. ONODERA and H. TOMINAGA : A note on strictly Galois extensions of primary rings, J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ. Ser. I 16 (1961), 193–194.
- [8] H. TOMINAGA and T. NAGAHARA : Galois Theory of Simple Rings, Okayama Math. Lectures, Okayama, 1970.

RUTGERS, THE STATE UNIVERSITY
NEW BRUNSWICK, NEW JERSEY 08903, U.S.A.

(Received March 5, 1984)