

Mathematical Journal of Okayama University

Volume 38, Issue 1

1996

Article 2

JANUARY 1996

On the Differential Submodules of Modules

Mamoru Furuya^{*}

Hiroshi Niitsuma[†]

^{*}Meijo University

[†]Science University of Tokyo

Copyright ©1996 by the authors. *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University* is produced by
The Berkeley Electronic Press (bepress). <http://escholarship.lib.okayama-u.ac.jp/mjou>

ON THE DIFFERENTIAL SUBMODULES OF MODULES

MAMORU FURUYA and HIROSHI NIITSUMA

§1. Introduction.

In [17], A. Seidenberg proved that if R is a Noetherian Ritt algebra, then any differential ideal of R has a primary decomposition of a differential version. This is extended by W. C. Brown and W. E. Kuan [5], and S. Sato [12], under the assumption that the ring R is Noetherian. In [6], we extend this result for differential ideals of rings which may be non-Noetherian. Furthermore we showed some detailed results for differential ideals. In this paper, we extend some results of higher derivations of rings introduced in [6] to modules, using similar methods to those of [6].

In §2, we show some of the basic facts of a module over a commutative ring. In §3, we consider the problem of determining conditions under which the weak prime divisors of a differential submodule are also differential. In §4, we study the class of modules in which primary decomposition of a differential version holds. In particular we show that if M is a strongly Laskerian module over a commutative ring, then any differential submodule of M has a primary decomposition of a differential version.

§2. Preliminaries.

In this section we collect some definitions and results for later use. All rings in this paper are assumed to be commutative with a unit element and all modules are assumed to be unitary. Furthermore we always denote a ring by R and an R -module by M .

Let t be an indeterminate over R and $R[[t]]$ the formal power series ring over R . Put $R_m = R[[t]]/(t^{m+1})$ and $M_m = M \otimes_R R_m$ ($m = 1, 2, \dots$). Then M_m is an R_m -module. Furthermore we put $M[[t]] = \varprojlim M_m$. Then $M[[t]]$ is an $R[[t]]$ -module. Particularly put $R_\infty = R[[t]]$ and $M_\infty = M[[t]]$ (cf. [11], p.28).

A prime ideal P of R is called a *weak associated prime* of M if there exists $x \in M$ such that P is a minimal element of the set of prime ideals

containing $\text{ann}_R(x)$ (the annihilator of x); We denote by $\text{Ass}_R^f(M)$ the set of weak associated primes of M (cf. [3, IV, §1, Exercise 17]). For a submodule N of M , the weak associated primes of the R -module M/N are referred to as the *weak prime divisors* of N .

We say that $a \in R$ is a *zero-divisor* of M if there exists a non-zero $x \in M$ such that $ax = 0$. The set of zero-divisors of M is written $Z_R(M)$.

For a submodule Q of M , if $\text{Ass}_R^f(M/Q)$ consists of one element, then we say that Q is *primary* in M . Furthermore if $\text{Ass}_R^f(M/Q) = \{P\}$, then we say that Q is *P -primary* in M (cf. [3, IV, §2, Exercise 12]).

Let M be a finitely generated R -module. We say that a P -primary submodule Q of M is *strongly primary* in M if $\text{ann}_R(M/Q)$ contains a power of P (cf. [3, IV, §2, Exercise 27]).

We say that M is a (*strongly*) *Laskerian* R -module if M is finitely generated as an R -module and every submodule of M can be written as an intersection of a finite number of (*strongly*) primary submodules. We say a ring is (*strongly*) *Laskerian* if it has the property as a module over itself. It is well known that if a module is Laskerian, or strongly Laskerian, then so is any factor module, and any quotient module with respect to a multiplicative subset in the ring. Particularly, a ring with a faithful module of one of these types is also a ring of that type (cf. [3, IV, §2, Exercise 23, 28], [8], [9]).

Let S be a multiplicative subset of R , that is, S is a subset of R which contains the product ab for all $a, b \in S$, and which contains 1 but not 0. Let $f : M \rightarrow S^{-1}M$ be the natural mapping defined by $f(x) = x/1$ for $x \in M$. For a submodule N of M , the inverse image $f^{-1}(S^{-1}N)$ of $S^{-1}N$ under f is called the *saturation* of N in M with respect to S , and denoted by $\text{sat}_S(N)$. For a prime ideal P of R , $\text{sat}_P(N)$ denote the saturation of N in M with respect to $R - P$.

The following proposition is needed to prove Theorem (3.1).

Proposition (2.1). *Let R be a ring, t an indeterminate over R and M a strongly Laskerian R -module. If Q is a primary submodule of M with $\text{Ass}_R^f(M/Q) = \{P\}$, then $Q[[t]]$ is a primary submodule of $M[[t]]$ with $\text{Ass}_{R[[t]]}^f(M[[t]]/Q[[t]]) = \{P[[t]]\}$.*

Proof. Replacing $M[[t]]$ by $M[[t]]/Q[[t]] (= M/Q[[t]])$, we may assume $Q[[t]] = (0)$. Thus $Q = (0)$ is a primary submodule of M with $\text{Ass}_R^f(M) =$

$\{P\}$, whence $\text{ann}_R(M)$ is a P -primary ideal of R . Furthermore we may suppose that $\text{ann}_{R[[t]]}(M[[t]]) = (0)$. Then we have $\text{ann}_R(M) = (0)$ and so (0) is a P -primary ideal of R . Suppose that $a \in R[[t]] - P[[t]]$. Then we shall show that a is not a zero-divisor of $M[[t]]$. Write $a = a_0 + a_1t + \cdots$, where $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{m-1} \in P$ and $a_m \notin P$. Since $P = \sqrt{(0)}$, we have that $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}$ are nilpotent and so $b := a_0 + a_1t + \cdots + a_{m-1}t^{m-1}$ is nilpotent. Therefore it is enough to show that $a - b$ is not a zero-divisor of $M[[t]]$. Since $a - b = a_mt^m + \cdots$ and $a_m \notin P = Z_R(M)$, we have that $a - b$ is not a zero-divisor of $M[[t]]$. Now suppose that $ax = 0$ and $x \neq 0$ ($a \in R[[t]]$ and $x \in M[[t]]$). Then we shall show that $a \in \sqrt{\text{ann}_{R[[t]]}(M[[t]])}$. Since $\text{ann}_{R[[t]]}(M[[t]])$ is $P[[t]]$ -primary, $\sqrt{\text{ann}_{R[[t]]}(M[[t]])} = P[[t]]$. If $a \notin P[[t]]$, then a is not a zero-divisor of $M[[t]]$, which is a contradiction. Thus (0) is a primary submodule of $M[[t]]$ with $\text{Ass}_{R[[t]]}(M[[t]]) = \{P[[t]]\}$.

A *derivation* of R is an additive endomorphism $d : R \rightarrow R$ such that $d(ab) = d(a)b + ad(b)$ for every $a, b \in R$. The set of all derivations of R is denoted by $\text{Der}(R)$.

For $m \leq \infty$ we define a *higher derivation* of length m of R to be a sequence $d = (d_0, d_1, \dots, d_m)$ of additive endomorphisms $d_n : R \rightarrow R$, satisfying the conditions $d_0 = 1$ (the identity mapping of R) and $d_n(ab) = \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(a)d_j(b)$ for $1 \leq n \leq m$ and $a, b \in R$. The set of all

higher derivations of length m of R is denoted by $H\text{Der}^m(R)$. Note that the set $H\text{Der}^m(R)$ has a group structure (cf. [10]).

A *derivation* of M is an ordered pair (d, D) , satisfying the following two conditions:

(1) $d \in \text{Der}(R)$ and

(2) $D : M \rightarrow M$ is an additive endomorphism such that $D(ax) = d(a)x + aD(x)$ for $a \in R$ and $x \in M$.

We denote the set of all derivations of an R -module M by $\text{Der}(R, M)$. It becomes an R -module in a natural way.

For $m < \infty$, a *higher derivation* of length m of M is an ordered pair (d, D) , satisfying the following two conditions:

(1) $d = (d_0, d_1, \dots, d_m) \in H\text{Der}^m(R)$

(2) $D = (D_0, D_1, \dots, D_m)$ is a sequence of additive endomorphisms $D_n : M \rightarrow M$ such that $D_0 = 1$ (the identity mapping of M) and

$$D_n(ax) = \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(a)D_j(x) \text{ for } a \in R, x \in M \text{ and } 1 \leq n \leq m.$$

We denote the set of all higher derivations of length m of an R -module M by $H\text{Der}^m(R, M)$.

We say that an ordered pair (d, D) is a *higher derivation* of length ∞ of M if $d = (d_0, d_1, \dots)$ and $D = (D_0, D_1, \dots)$ are infinite sequences such that $((d_0, d_1, \dots, d_m), (D_0, D_1, \dots, D_m)) \in H\text{Der}^m(R, M)$ for every $0 \leq m < \infty$. The set of all higher derivations of length ∞ of an R -module M is denoted by $H\text{Der}^\infty(R, M)$.

For any $(d, D) \in H\text{Der}^m(R, M) (m \leq \infty)$, put

$$f_d(a) = \sum_{k=0}^m \left(\sum_{i+j=k} d_i(a_j) \right) t^k \text{ for } a = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i t^i \in R_m \ (a_i \in R).$$

Then f_d is an automorphism of the ring R_m such that $f_d(a) \equiv a \pmod{t}$ ($a \in R$) and $f_d(t) = t$.

Furthermore put

$$g_D(x) = \sum_{k=0}^m \left(\sum_{i+j=k} D_i(x_j) \right) t^k \text{ for } x = \sum_{i=0}^m x_i t^i \in M_m \ (x_i \in M).$$

Then g_D satisfies the following four conditions.

- (1) $g_D : M_m \longrightarrow M_m$ is a bijection.
- (2) $g_D(x + y) = g_D(x) + g_D(y)$ ($x, y \in M_m$).
- (3) $g_D(x) \equiv x \pmod{t}$ ($x \in M$).
- (4) $g_D(ax) = f_d(a)g_D(x)$ ($a \in R_m, x \in M_m$).

We note that for (d, D) , (f_d, g_D) is uniquely determined. Conversely, let $g : M_m \longrightarrow M_m$ be a mapping satisfying the above conditions (1), (2), (3) and (4). Then, for an ordered pair (f_d, g) , there exists a unique ordered pair $(d, D) \in H\text{Der}^m(R, M)$ such that $g = g_D$. Furthermore note that the sets $H\text{Der}^m(R, M) (m \leq \infty)$ have a group structure like that of $H\text{Der}^m(R)$ and a higher derivation of a module has a unique extension to the localizations (cf. [13],[14],[15]).

§3. Weak prime divisors of differential submodules.

Let R be a ring and M an R -module. Let $(d, D) \in \text{Der}(R, M)$. An ideal I of R is called *d-differential* if $d(I) \subset I$, and a submod-

ule N of M is called (d, D) -differential if $D(N) \subset N$. Similarly, let $(d, D) \in HDer^m(R, M)$ ($m \leq \infty$). An ideal I of R is called d -differential if $d_i(I) \subset I$ for all $i \geq 0$, and a submodule N of M is called (d, D) -differential if $D_i(N) \subset N$ for all $i \geq 0$.

In this section we consider the problem of detemining conditions under which the weak prime divisors of a differential submodules are also differential.

Theorem (3.1). *Let R be a ring and M a strongly Laskerian R -module. Suppose N is a submodule of M with $\text{Ass}_R^f(M/N) = \{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$ and $(d, D) \in HDer^\infty(R, M)$. If N is (d, D) -differential, then $P_1, \dots, P_n$ are d -differential.*

Proof. Let $N = Q_1 \cap \dots \cap Q_n$ be an irredundant primary decomposition. Put $\text{Ass}_R^f(M/Q_i) = \{P_i\}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Then $\text{Ass}_R^f(M/N) = \{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$. Let t be an indeterminate over R . Then we have that $N[[t]] = Q_1[[t]] \cap \dots \cap Q_n[[t]]$, and each $Q_i[[t]]$ is a $P_i[[t]]$ -primary submodule of $M[[t]]$ by Proposition (2.1). Therefore we have that

$$\text{Ass}_{R[[t]]}^f(M[[t]]/N[[t]]) = \{P_1[[t]], \dots, P_n[[t]]\}.$$

In the group $HDer^\infty(R, M)$, we have that $HDer^\infty(R, M) \ni (d, D)^{-1} = (d^{-1}, D^{-1})$, where $d^{-1} = (1, -d_1, -d_2 + d_1^2, \dots)$ and $D^{-1} = (1, -D_1, -D_2 + D_1^2, \dots)$. Since N is (d, D) -differential, N is $(d, D)^{-1}$ -differential. Thus we have that $g_D(N[[t]]) \subset N[[t]]$ and $g_{D^{-1}}(N[[t]]) = g_D^{-1}(N[[t]]) \subset N[[t]]$, where $g_D : M[[t]] \rightarrow M[[t]]$ is the mapping corresponding to D . Hence we get $g_D(N[[t]]) = N[[t]]$. It is clear that $g_D(N[[t]]) = g_D(Q_1[[t]]) \cap \dots \cap g_D(Q_n[[t]])$, and each $g_D(Q_i[[t]])$ is a $f_d(P_i[[t]])$ -primary submodule of $M[[t]]$, where $f_d : R[[t]] \rightarrow R[[t]]$ is the mapping corresponding to d . It follows that

$$\text{Ass}_{R[[t]]}^f(M[[t]]/N[[t]]) = \{f_d(P_1[[t]]), \dots, f_d(P_n[[t]])\}.$$

Therefore, for any i , $f_d(P_i[[t]]) = P_j[[t]]$ for some j . Hence we can easily check that $i = j$, and so $f_d(P_i[[t]]) = P_i[[t]]$. Consequently P_i is d -differential.

Next we examine the problem on the Laskerian case. We show the following lemma by making use of the Krull intersection theorem for Laskerian

modules (cf.[8, Corollary 3.2]).

Lemma (3.2). *Let R be a ring containing the rational numbers and M a Laskerian R -module. Suppose $(d, D) \in \text{Der}(R, M)$ and a is an element of the Jacobson radical of R . If $d(a)$ is a unit in R , then $a \notin Z_R(M)$.*

Proof. If $ax = 0$ ($x \in M$), then $x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} a^n M$. By Corollary 3.2 of [8], we have $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} a^n M = (0)$, and thus $x = 0$.

Proposition (3.3). *Let R be a ring containing the rational numbers and M a Laskerian R -module. Suppose N is a submodule of M with $\text{Ass}_R^f(M/N) = \{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$ and $(d, D) \in \text{Der}(R, M)$. If N is (d, D) -differential, then $P_1, \dots, P_n$ are d -differential.*

Proof. If $P \in \text{Ass}_R^f(M/N)$ is not d -differential, then there exists $a \in P$ such that $d(a) \notin P$. Now we consider the R -module M/N . Let $\bar{D} : M/N \rightarrow M/N$ be the mapping defined by $\bar{D}(x + N) = D(x) + N$ ($x \in M$). Then we have $(d, \bar{D}) \in \text{Der}(R, M/N)$. We further consider the $S^{-1}R$ -module $S^{-1}(M/N)$, where $S = R - P$. Let $(d^*, \bar{D}^*) \in \text{Der}(S^{-1}R, S^{-1}(M/N))$ be a unique extension of $(d, \bar{D})$. Put $b = a/1$ ($\in S^{-1}P$). Then $d^*(b)$ is a unit in $S^{-1}R$, since $d^*(b) \notin S^{-1}P$. Therefore $b \notin Z_{S^{-1}R}(S^{-1}(M/N))$ by Lemma (3.2). On the other hand, we may assume that $P_i \cap S = \phi$ ($i = 1, \dots, t$) and $P_i \cap S \neq \phi$ ($i = t+1, \dots, n$). Then, we have $\text{Ass}_{S^{-1}R}^f(S^{-1}(M/N)) = \{S^{-1}P_1, \dots, S^{-1}P_t\}$. It follows that $Z_{S^{-1}R}(S^{-1}M/S^{-1}N) = S^{-1}P_1 \cup \dots \cup S^{-1}P_t$. Since $P = P_i$ for some i ($1 \leq i \leq t$) and $a \in P$, we have $b \in S^{-1}P \subset Z_{S^{-1}R}(S^{-1}(M/N))$. Thus we get a contradiction.

Proposition (3.4). *Let R be a ring containing the rational numbers and M a Laskerian R -module. Suppose N is a submodule of M with $\text{Ass}_R^f(M/N) = \{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$ and $(d, D) \in H\text{Der}^m(R, M)$ ($m \leq \infty$). If N is (d, D) -differential, then $P_1, \dots, P_n$ are d -differential.*

Proof. For $(d_0, d_1, \dots)$ and $(D_0, D_1, \dots)$, put $\delta_1 = d_1$, $\delta_2 = d_2 - \frac{1}{2}d_1^2, \dots$ and $\Delta_1 = D_1$, $\Delta_2 = D_2 - \frac{1}{2}D_1^2, \dots$. Then $(\delta_1, \Delta_1), (\delta_2, \Delta_2), \dots$ are deriva-

tions of M (cf. [7], [1], [2], [13]). Since N is (d, D) -differential, N is (δ_r, Δ_r) -differential ($r = 1, \dots, m$). Therefore $P_1, \dots, P_n$ are δ_r -differential for all r by Proposition (3.3), and thus each P_i is d -differential.

Proposition (3.5). *Let R be a ring of characteristic 0 and let M be a Laskerian R -module. Suppose that N is a submodule of M and $(d, D) \in HDer^m(R, M)$ ($m \leq \infty$). Put $\{P_1, \dots, P_t\} = \{P \in \text{Ass}_R^f(M/N) \mid P \cap \mathbf{Z} = (0)\}$, where $\mathbf{Z} (\subset R)$ is the rational integers. If N is (d, D) -differential, then $P_1, \dots, P_t$ are d -differential.*

Proof. Put $S = \mathbf{Z} - \{0\}$. Then S is a multiplicative subset of R . Furthermore $S^{-1}R$ contains the rational numbers and $S^{-1}M$ is a Laskerian $S^{-1}R$ -module. Let $(d^*, D^*) \in HDer^m(S^{-1}R, S^{-1}M)$ be a unique extension of (d, D) . Since $S^{-1}N$ is (d^*, D^*) -differential and $\text{Ass}_{S^{-1}R}^f(S^{-1}M/S^{-1}N) = \{S^{-1}P_1, \dots, S^{-1}P_t\}$, it follows from Proposition (3.4) that $S^{-1}P_1, \dots, S^{-1}P_t$ are d^* -differential. Therefore $P_1, \dots, P_t$ are also d -differential. In fact, for any $S^{-1}P \in \text{Ass}_{S^{-1}R}^f(S^{-1}M/S^{-1}N)$ and for any $a \in P$, we have $d_n^*(a/1) \in S^{-1}P$ for all n . Thus we have $d_n(a) \in P$, because $d_n^*(a/1) = d_n(a)/1$.

§4. Primary decomposition of differential submodules.

In this section we study the class of modules in which primary decomposition of a differential version holds.

Proposition (4.1). *Let R be a ring and M a strongly Laskerian R -module. Suppose N is a submodule of M and $(d, D) \in HDer^\infty(R, M)$. If N is (d, D) -differential, then N can be expressed as an irredundant intersection of a finite number of primary submodules of M which are (d, D) -differential.*

Proof. Let $N = Q_1 \cap \dots \cap Q_n$ be an irredundant primary decomposition, where Q_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) is strongly primary submodules of M . Put $\text{Ass}_R^f(M/Q_i) = \{P_i\}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Then there exists an integer $k \geq 1$ such that $P_i^k M \subset Q_i$ for all i . Put $N_i^* = P_i^k M + N$. Then we have $N \subset N_i^* \subset Q_i$. By Theorem (3.1), each P_i is d -differential, and hence N_i^* is also (d, D) -differential. Furthermore we have that $P_i^k \subset \text{ann}_R(M/N_i^*) \subset \text{ann}_R(M/Q_i) \subset P_i$ for all i . It follows that P_i is minimal among the prime ideals containing $\text{ann}_R(M/N_i^*)$. Put $Q_i^* = \text{sat}_{P_i}(N_i^*)$. Then Q_i^* is a primary

submodule of M with $\text{Ass}_R^f(M/Q_i^*) = \{P_i\}$ and Q_i^* is a (d, D) -differential. Therefore we have that $N \subset Q_i^* \subset Q_i$. Thus we get $N = Q_1^* \cap \cdots \cap Q_n^*$.

In case of characteristic $q \neq 0$, we have the following theorem.

Theorem (4.2). *Let R be a ring of characteristic $q \neq 0$ and M a finitely generated R -module. Suppose N is a decomposable submodule of M and $(d, D) \in H\text{Der}^m(R, M)$ ($m < \infty$). If N is (d, D) -differential, then N can be expressed as an irredundant intersection of a finite number of primary submodules of M which are (d, D) -differential.*

Proof. Let $N = Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_n$ be an irredundant primary decomposition of N . Put $\text{Ass}_R^f(M/Q_i) = \{P_i\}$ and $I_i = \text{ann}_R(M/Q_i)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Then each I_i is a P_i -primary ideal of R . Let $I_i^{(t)}$ be the ideal of R generated by the set $\{a^t | a \in I_i\}$, where $t = (m!)q$. Since $d_n(a^t) = 0$ for all $a \in R$ and $1 \leq n \leq m$ by [6, Lemma 2], $I_i^{(t)}$ is d -differential. Hence the submodule $I_i^{(t)}M$ of M is (d, D) -differential. Furthermore we have $I_i^{(t)}M \subset I_iM \subset Q_i$. Put $N_i = I_i^{(t)}M + N$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Then for each i , N_i is (d, D) -differential, $N \subset N_i \subset Q_i$ and $I_i^{(t)} \subset \text{ann}_R(M/N_i) \subset I_i$. Therefore P_i is minimal among the prime ideals containing $\text{ann}_R(M/N_i)$. It follows that $\text{sat}_{P_i}(N_i)$ is a P_i -primary submodule of M . Put $Q'_i = \text{sat}_{P_i}(N_i)$. Then we have that Q'_i is (d, D) -differential and $N \subset Q'_i \subset Q_i$. Thus we get $N = Q'_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q'_n$.

Next we consider the case of characteristic zero.

Proposition (4.3). *Let R be a ring containing the rational numbers and M a strongly Laskerian R -module. Suppose N is a submodule of M and $(d, D) \in H\text{Der}^m(R, M)$ ($m < \infty$). If N is (d, D) -differential, then N can be expressed as an irredundant intersection of a finite number of primary submodules of M which are (d, D) -differential.*

Proof. Any weak associated prime of N is (d, D) -differential by Proposition (3.4). Thus we can obtain the proof in almost the same way as Proposition (4.1). Therefore we omit the proof.

Proposition (4.4). *Let R be a ring of characteristic 0 and M a finitely generated R -module. Suppose N is a decomposable submodule of*

M and $(d, D) \in HDer^m(R, M)$ ($m < \infty$). If N is (d, D) -differential and $\text{ann}_R(M/N) \cap \mathbf{Z} \neq (0)$, where $\mathbf{Z}(\subset R)$ is the rational integers, then N can be expressed as an irredundant intersection of a finite number of primary submodules of M which are (d, D) -differential.

Proof. Put $I = \text{ann}_R(M/N)$, $\bar{R} = R/I$ and $\bar{M} = M/N$. Suppose $I \cap \mathbf{Z} = (q)$ ($q \neq 0$). Then the ring $\bar{R}$ is of characteristic q and $\bar{M}$ is an $\bar{R}$ -module. Since the ideal I is d -differential and N is (d, D) -differential, (d, D) induces a higher derivation $(\bar{d}, \bar{D}) \in HDer^m(\bar{R}, \bar{M})$ in the natural way, that is, $\bar{d}_n(a + I) = d_n(a) + I$ ($a \in R$) and $\bar{D}_n(x + N) = D_n(x) + N$ ($a \in N$). Since (0) is a $(\bar{d}, \bar{D})$ -differential decomposable submodule of $\bar{M}$, there are $(\bar{d}, \bar{D})$ -differential primary submodules $Q'_1, \dots, Q'_n$ of $\bar{M}$ such that $(0) = Q'_1 \cap \dots \cap Q'_n$ by Theorem (4.2). Let $f : M \rightarrow \bar{M}$ be the natural mapping, defined by $f(x) = x + N$. Put $Q_i = f^{-1}(Q'_i)$. Then we have that each Q_i is a (d, D) -differential primary submodule of M and $N = Q_1 \cap \dots \cap Q_n$.

The following theorem is a main result in the case of characteristic zero.

Theorem (4.5). *Let R be a ring of characteristic 0 and M a strongly Laskerian R -module. Suppose that N is a submodule of M and $(d, D) \in HDer^m(R, M)$ ($m < \infty$). If N is (d, D) -differential, then N can be expressed as an irredundant intersection of a finite number of primary submodules of M which are (d, D) -differential.*

Proof. Put $I = \text{ann}_R(M/N)$. We may assume that $I \cap \mathbf{Z} = (0)$ by Proposition (4.4), where $\mathbf{Z}(\subset R)$ is the rational integers. Let $N = Q_1 \cap \dots \cap Q_n$ be an irredundant primary decomposition such that $P_i \cap \mathbf{Z} = (0)$ ($i = 1, \dots, t$) and $P_i \cap \mathbf{Z} \neq (0)$ ($i = t + 1, \dots, n$), where $\{P_i\} = \text{Ass}_R^f(M/Q_i)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Put $N_1 = Q_1 \cap \dots \cap Q_t$ and $N_2 = Q_{t+1} \cap \dots \cap Q_n$. Then we have $\text{ann}_R(M/N_2) \cap \mathbf{Z} \neq (0)$.

First we consider the $S^{-1}R$ -module $S^{-1}M$, where $S = \mathbf{Z} - \{0\}$. The ring $S^{-1}R$ contains the rational numbers and $S^{-1}N$ is a strongly Laskerian $S^{-1}R$ -module. Let $(d^*, D^*) \in HDer^m(S^{-1}R, S^{-1}M)$ be a unique extension of (d, D) . Then $S^{-1}N (= S^{-1}N_1)$ is a (d^*, D^*) -differential submodule of $S^{-1}M$. Hence $S^{-1}N$ can be written as an intersection $Q'_1 \cap \dots \cap Q'_r$ of primary submodules Q'_i of $S^{-1}M$ which are (d^*, D^*) -differential by Proposition (4.3). Put $Q_i^* = f^{-1}(Q'_i)$ ($i = 1, \dots, r$), where $f : M \rightarrow S^{-1}M$

is the natural mapping, defined by $f(x) = x/1$. Then we have that $N_1 = Q_1^* \cap \cdots \cap Q_r^*$ and $Q_1^*, \dots, Q_r^*$ are (d, D) -differential primary submodules of M .

Next we consider the $\bar{R}$ -module $\bar{M}$, where $\bar{R} = R/I$ and $\bar{M} = M/N$. Then $\bar{R}$ is a ring of characteristic 0 and $\bar{M}$ is a strongly Laskerian $\bar{R}$ -module. Put $N_1/N = \bar{N}_1$ and $N_2/N = \bar{N}_2$. Since $N = N_1 \cap N_2$, we have $\bar{N}_1 \cap \bar{N}_2 = (0)$. Furthermore we have $\text{ann}_{\bar{R}}(\bar{M}/\bar{N}_2) = \text{ann}_{\bar{R}}(M/N_2) = \overline{\text{Ann}_R(M/N_2)}$, and hence $\text{ann}_{\bar{R}}(\bar{M}/\bar{N}_2) \cap \mathbb{Z} = (q)$ for some $q \neq 0$. Put $\bar{J} = q\bar{M}$. Then we have that $\bar{N}_2 \supset \bar{J}$ and $\text{ann}_{\bar{R}}(\bar{M}/\bar{J}) \cap \mathbb{Z} \neq (q)$, and so $\bar{N}_1 \cap \bar{J} = (0)$. Since I is d -differential and N is (d, D) -differential, (d, D) induces the higher derivation $(\bar{d}, \bar{D}) \in H\text{Der}^m(\bar{R}, \bar{M})$ in the natural way. The submodule $\bar{J}$ is clearly $(\bar{d}, \bar{D})$ -differential. It follows from Proposition (4.4) that $\bar{J}$ can be written as an intersection $Q_1'' \cap \cdots \cap Q_s''$ of primary submodules Q_i'' of $\bar{M}$ which are $(\bar{d}, \bar{D})$ -differential. Put $Q_i^{**} = g^{-1}(Q_i'')$ ($i = 1, \dots, s$) and $J = g^{-1}(\bar{J})$, where $g : M \rightarrow \bar{M}$ is the natural mapping, defined by $g(x) = x + N$. Then $Q_1^{**}, \dots, Q_s^{**}$ are primary and (d, D) -differential. Therefore we have $N = g^{-1}(0) = g^{-1}(\bar{N}_1 \cap \bar{J}) = g^{-1}(\bar{N}_1) \cap g^{-1}(\bar{J}) = N_1 \cap Q_1^{**} \cap \cdots \cap Q_s^{**} = Q_1^* \cap \cdots \cap Q_r^* \cap Q_1^{**} \cap \cdots \cap Q_s^{**}$. This completes the proof.

As the case of arbitrary characteristic, we have the following theorem, by (4.1), (4.2) and (4.5).

Theorem (4.6). *Let R be a ring and M a strongly Laskerian R -module. Suppose N is a submodule of M and $(d, D) \in H\text{Der}^m(R, M)$ ($m \leq \infty$). If N is (d, D) -differential, then N can be expressed as an irredundant intersection of a finite number of primary submodules of M which are (d, D) -differential.*

The following result is a generalization of Theorem 6 of [12] to the strongly Laskerian case.

Corollary (4.7). *Let R be a ring and M a strongly Laskerian R -module. Suppose N is a submodule of M and $(d, D) \in \text{Der}(R, M)$. If N is (d, D) -differential, then N can be expressed as an irredundant intersection of a finite number of primary submodules of M which are (d, D) -differential.*

REFERENCES

- [1] S. ABU-SAYMEH, *On Hasse-Schmidt higher derivations*, Osaka J. Math., **23**(1986), 506–508.
- [2] S. ABU-SAYMEH and M. IKEDA, *On the higher derivations of commutative rings*, Math. J. Okayama Univ., **29**(1987), 83–90.
- [3] N. BOURBAKI, *Commutative algebra*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1972.
- [4] J. W. BREWER, *Power series over commutative rings*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 64, Marcel Dekker, 1981.
- [5] W. C. BROWN and W. E. KUAN, *Ideals and higher derivations in commutative rings*, Canad. J. Math., **24**(1972), 400–415.
- [6] M. FURUYA, *On the primary decomposition of differential ideals of strongly Laskerian rings*, Hiroshima Math. J., **24**(1994), 521–527.
- [7] N. HEEREMA, *Derivations and embeddings of a field in its power series ring*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **11**(1960), 188–194.
- [8] W. HEINZER and D. LANTZ, *The Laskerian property in commutative rings*, J. Algebra, **72**-1(1981), 101–114.
- [9] W. HEINZER and J. OHM, *Locally noetherian commutative rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **158**-2(1971), 273–284.
- [10] H. MATSUMURA, *Integrable derivations*, Nagoya Math. J., **87**(1987), 227–245.
- [11] D. G. NORTHCOTT, *Lessons on rings, modules and multiplications*, Cambridge University Press. 1968.
- [12] A. NOWICKI, *The primary decomposition of differential modules*, Comment. Math. Prace Mat., **21**(1979), 341–346.
- [13] P. RIBENBOIM, *Higher derivations of rings. I*, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et Appl., **16**(1)(1971), 77–110.
- [14] P. RIBENBOIM, *Higher derivations of rings. II*, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et Appl., **16**(2)(1971), 245–272.
- [15] P. RIBENBOIM, *Higher derivations of modules*, Portugaliae. Math. **39**(1980), 381–397.
- [16] S. SATO, *On the primary decomposition of differential ideals*, Hiroshima Math. J., **6**(1976), 55–59.
- [17] A. SEIDENBERG, *Differential ideals in rings of finitely generated type*, Amer. J. Math. Soc., **89**(1967), 22–42.

M. FURUYA
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
MEIJO UNIVERSITY
SHIOGAMAGUCHI, TENPAKU
NAGOYA, 468, JAPAN

H. NIITSUMA
FACULTY OF SCIENCE
SCIENCE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
1-3, KAGURAZAKA, SHINJUKU-KU
TOKYO, 162, JAPAN

(Received December 8, 1995)