### Acta Medica Okayama

Volume 35, Issue 2

1981

Article 7

**APRIL 1981** 

# Complete in vitro replication of SV40 DNA and chromatin in saponin-treated permeable cells.

Satoru Ikeda\* Hideo Nagashima<sup>†</sup>
Kazuhisa Taketa<sup>‡</sup> Makoto Watanabe\*\*

Copyright ©1999 OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY MEDICAL SCHOOL. All rights reserved.

<sup>\*</sup>Okayama University,

<sup>†</sup>Okayama University,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Kagawa University,

<sup>\*\*</sup>Okayama Saiseikai General Hospital,

# Complete in vitro replication of SV40 DNA and chromatin in saponin-treated permeable cells.\*

Satoru Ikeda, Hideo Nagashima, Kazuhisa Taketa, and Makoto Watanabe

#### **Abstract**

Effect of nicomol on high density lipoprotein (HDL) subfractions, HDL2e and HDL3e, separated by electrophoresis.

**KEYWORDS:** HDL<sup>2</sup>, HDL<sub>3</sub>, HDL cholesterol, electrophoresis, Nicomol, nicotinic acid derivative.

Acta Med. Okayama 35, (2), 143-148 (1981)

#### - BRIEF NOTE -

### EFFECT OF NICOMOL ON HIGH DENSITY LIPOPROTEIN (HDL) SUBFRACTIONS, HDL<sup>2</sup> AND HDL<sup>3</sup>, SEPARATED BY ELECTROPHORESIS

Satoru Ikeda Hideo Nagashima, Kazuhisa Taketa\* and Makoto Watanabe\*\*

First Department of Internal Medicine, Okayama University Medical School, Okayama 700, Japan;

\*Health Research Center, Kagawa University, Takamatsu 760, Japan
and \*\*Department of Internal Medicine, Okayama Saiseikai General Hospital, Okayama 700, Japan
Received January 9, 1981

Abstract. High density lipoprotein (HDL) fractions were separated by dextran sulfate-Mg\*\* from sera of 5 healthy males before and after oral administration of a daily 1200 mg dose of Nicomol (2,2,6,6-tetrakis (nicotinoyloxymethyl) cyclohexanol; Cholexamin, Kyorin Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd.) for 2 weeks. The HDL fractions were further subdivided into HDL2 and HDL3 by Utermann's electrophoretic method on polyacrylamide gel containing lauric acid. These subfractions roughly corresponded to HDL2 and HDL3, respectively, which were separated by ultracentrifugation. Nicomol treatment increased the HDL2/HDL3 ratio as is observed for the HDL2/HDL3 ratio, in addition to the increase in total HDL cholesterol concentration. The electrophoretic determination of HDL2/HDL3 ratio in combination with HDL cholesterol level seemed to be clinically important in assessment of the alteration of HDL2 and HDL3 under physiological and pathological conditions.

Key words: HDL<sub>2</sub>, HDL<sub>3</sub>, HDL cholesterol, electrophoresis, Nicomol, nicotinic acid derivative.

A number of studies on high density lipoprotein and its subfractions, HDL<sub>2</sub> and HDL<sub>3</sub>, have been made in recent years since the importance of HDL as a negative risk factor for atherosclerosis was re-evaluated. A generally accepted view is that HDL<sub>2</sub> is more important than HDL<sub>3</sub> in prevention of atherosclerosis (1, 2). However, the clinical application of HDL<sub>2</sub> and HDL<sub>3</sub> determination is still limited because of the time-consuming processes involved in the ultracentrifugal separation of HDL subfractions. In order to circumvent this problem, the authors employed the electrophoretic method described by Utermann (3) in subfractionation of HDL. Since nicotinic acid (4) and its derivative, Nicomol\*\*\* (5), are known to increase the HDL<sub>2</sub> fraction relative to the HDL<sub>3</sub>, both separated by ultracentrifugation, the effect of Nicomol on the HDL subfractions separated by the electrophoretic method was studied in order to prove the validity of the

<sup>\*\*\* 2,2,6,6-</sup>tetrakis (nicotinoyloxymethyl) cyclohexanol; Cholexamin, Kyorin Pharmaceutical Co.

S. IKEDA et al.

144

separation method in terms of yielding a preferential increase in HDL<sub>2</sub> to HDL<sub>3</sub>. The results reported in this communication indicate that Nicomol lowers the total cholesterol concentration and raises the HDL cholesterol concentration as well as the HDL<sub>2</sub>/HDL<sub>3</sub> ratio in sera of healthy volunteers.

Materials and methods. Blood samples were taken from five healthy fasted adult males before and after daily administration of 1200 mg of Nicomol in 3 equally divided doses for two weeks. Sera were separated and analyzed for total and HDL cholesterol concentrations by an enzymatic method with T-Choles (Enzymatic, International Reagent Corp., Kobe) in combination with the dextran sulfate (molecular weight, 500,000; Pharmacia Fine Chemicals AB, Sweden) and Mg++ precipitation method of Kostner (6). HDL and its subfractions were separated also by ultracentrifugation according to the method of Yasugi et al. (7). Electrophoretic separation of HDL subfractions was performed by the method described by Utermann (3); the polyacrylamide gel disc electrophoresis (PAGE) of Davis (8) being modified by adding lauric acid to the separating gel (monomer concentration, 7.5%) and by photopolymerization of the gel. Each 40µl of HDL fractions separated by the precipitation method was applied to the gel after staining with Sudan Black B by the method of Ressler et al. (9). The ratio of HDL2 to HDL3 was estimated by densitometry of the stained lipoprotein bands at a wave length of 600 nm and with a slit length and width of 5 mm and 0.5 mm, respectively.

Results. Nicomol lowered the mean serum concentration of total cholesterol by 6 mg/dl from 163 to 157 mg/dl, while it increased the concentration of mean HDL cholesterol by 5.1 mg/dl from 45.9 to 51.0 mg/dl (Table 1), although the

TABLE 1. EEFFECTS OF NICOMOL ON SERUM	CONCENTRATIONS O	F TOTAL	AND HE	L CHOLESTEROL
and $\mathrm{HDL_2}/\mathrm{HDL_3}$ ratio				

	Concentrations of cholesterol (mg/dl)				HDL <sub>2</sub> / HDL <sub>3</sub>	
Case	Total		HDL		110027 11003	
	before	after	before	after	before	after
1	176	180	55.9	70.7	0.71	0.97
2	192	180	47.5	54.4	0.14	0.44
3	144	148	35.4	40.1	0.08	0.16
4	163	148	40.1	36.8	0.14	0.23
5	137	129	50.8	53.0	0.28	0.39
mean±SD	163±20	157±20	45.9±7.4	$51.0 \pm 12.0$	$0.27 \pm 0.23$	$0.44 \pm 0.29$
mean±5D	- NS -		— NS —		— P<0.05 —	

NS, No significant difference in Student's t Test.

differences were not statistically significant. In our previous results of a similar

145

study with a larger number of cases, the differences were statistically significant (10, 11).

PAGE with lauric acid of HDL and its subfractions separated by ultracentrifugation is shown in Fig. 1. HDL in the original HDL fraction was seen as two separate bands, the fast one corresponding to a major component of HDL<sub>3</sub> and the slow one to HDL<sub>2</sub> and a minor component of HDL<sub>3</sub>. Thus, the fast band was conveniently designated as HDL<sup>6</sup> and the slow one as HDL<sup>6</sup>, "e" standing for the abbreviation of electrophoresis, in order to distinguish HDL's separated by electrophoresis from those obtained by ultracentrifugation. Several sub-bands were observed in each fraction, but they were grouped into HDL<sup>6</sup> or HDL<sup>6</sup>. The ratio (1.5) of HDL<sup>6</sup> to HDL<sup>6</sup> obtained by densitometry of the separated bands was close to that (1.3) calculated from the cholesterol contents in HDL<sub>2</sub> and HDL<sub>3</sub> separated by ultracentifugation. The distribution of HDL<sup>6</sup> and HDL<sup>6</sup> varied widely among the individuals studied as Utermann has already indicated (3). This is shown in Fig.2. In some cases, HDL<sup>6</sup> was seen as a tailing band of HDL<sup>6</sup>, although it was not difficult to distinguish between the two bands.




Fig. 1. PAGE with lauric acid of ultracentrifugally separated HDL, HDL<sub>2</sub> and HDL<sub>3</sub> fractions. Each 40µl of HDL and its subfractions, of which the volume was adjusted to the original one, was applied to the columns.

Although the ratio of HDL<sup>e</sup> to HDL<sup>e</sup> varied considerably among the cases studied, the relative increase in HDL<sup>e</sup> to HDL<sup>e</sup> by Nicomol was apparent by comparing the intensities of HDL<sup>e</sup> and HDL<sup>e</sup> before and after treatment (Fig. 2). In order to represent the difference numerically, the tracings of the electrophoregrams for Case 1 are presented in Fig.3. HDL<sup>e</sup>/HDL<sup>e</sup> ratios for the cases studied were obtained similarly and listed in Table 1. Although the ratios varied tremendously, ranging from 0.08 to 0.71 before treatment, they all increased after Nicomol treatment, and the difference was statistically significant.

146 S. Ikeda et al.




Fig. 2. PAGE with lauric acid of HDL fractions separated by the precipitation method before and after treatment with Nicomol. Pictures of upper row for each case are those before treatment and those of lower row after treatment. Each number represents a different case.




Fig. 3. Densitometric tracing of HDL bands separated by electrophoresis (Case 1). The left panel represents the electrophoretic pattern before Nicomol treatment and the right panel after Nicomol treatment. "0" denotes the origin, albumin the free and BPB the bound dye.

147

Discussion. The separation of HDL subfractions by electrophoresis has been studied by several workers. Narayan et al. (12) fractionated HDL by PAGE into several bands with their less clear correspondence to the density-dependent HDL subfractions. Since PAGE separates proteins according to their electric charge as well as molecular size, the poor correspondence may be explained by the fact that HDL2 and HDL3 can be further subdivided by isoelectric focusing (13, 14). Therefore, it seems reasonable to separate HDL2 and HDL3 by polyacrylamide gradient gel slab electrophoresis, which separates particles preferentially by their size. However, the resolution of HDL2 and HDL3 by this technique was not satisfactory either, because each HDL subclass contained HDL subcomponents overlapping each other with respect to their particle size (15). Utermann's (3) application of lauric acid to the original PAGE is a simple and convenient way of separating HDL2 and HDL3 as clearly discernible separate bands.

This method appears to separate HDL<sub>2</sub> and HDL<sub>3</sub> by the size of particle independent of the difference in the original particle charge probably due to addition of further negative charges from lauric acid to the lipoprotein particles. Faster mobilities of lipoprotein bands in the presence of lauric acid have been demonstrated by the authors (unpublished observation).

HDL<sub>2</sub> is still heterogeneous and has been further subdivided by Anderson et al. (16) into HDL<sub>2a</sub> and HDL<sub>2b</sub>. Although several minor subcomponents of HDL<sub>2</sub> were separated by Utermann's method, they tended to be rather continuous with respect to the electrophoretic mobility and could not be correlated to HDL<sub>2a</sub> and HDL<sub>2b</sub>. Slow-migrating HDL (17) has a density range (1.063-1.083) close to that of HDL<sub>2b</sub> (1.063-1.100) (16), but its electrophoretic mobility was less than that of HDL<sup>e</sup> (authors' unpublished observation). The physiological significance of HDL<sup>e</sup> and HDL<sup>e</sup> separated by PAGE with lauric acid is similar to that of HDL<sub>2</sub> and HDL<sub>3</sub>, because both responded to the administration of Nicomol, giving rise to higher ratios of HDL<sub>2</sub>/HDL<sub>3</sub> or HDL<sup>e</sup>/HDL<sup>e</sup><sub>3</sub>.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Barclay, M., Barclay, R.K. and Spiski, V.P.: High-density lipoprotein concentrations in men and women. *Nature* 200, 362-363, 1963.
- 2. Cheung, M.C. and Albers, J.J.: The measurement of apolipoprotein A-I and A-II levels in men and women by immunoassay. *J. Clin. Invest.* 60, 43-50, 1977.
- 3. Utermann, G.: Disc-electrophoretic patterns of human serum high density lipoproteins. *Clin. Chim. Acta* 36, 521-529, 1972.
- 4. Shepherd, J., Packard, C.J., Patch, J.R., Gotto, A.M.Jr. and Taunton, O.D.: Effects of nicotinic acid therapy on plasma high density lipoprotein subfraction distribution and composition and on apolipoprotein A metabolism. J. Clin. Invest. 63, 858-867, 1979.
- 5. Nakamura, H., Ishikawa, T., Suzuki, N., Nagano, M., Miyajima, E. and Sato, N.: Changes in serum lipid level on Cholexamin treatment. *Geriatric Med.* 18, 1141-1144, 1980. (in Japanese).
- 6. Kostner, G.M.: Enzymatic determination of cholesterol in high-density lipoprotein fractions prepared by polyanion precipitation. *Clin. Chem.* 22, 695, 1976.

148 S. Ikeda et al.

- 7. Yasugi, T. and Honma, R.: Ultracentrifugal separation method of serum lipoproteins. *Phys. Chem. Biol.* 6, 119-122, 1959 (in Japanese).
- 8. Davis, B.J.: Disc electrophoresis-II. Method and application to human serum proteins. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 121, 404-427, 1964.
- 9. Ressler, N., Springgate, R. and Kaufman, J.: A method of lipoprotein electrophoresis. J. Chromatogr. 6, 409-415, 1961.
- 10. Watanabe M, Taketa, K. and Nagashima, H.: Effect of Nicomol on HDL cholesterol level in short term administration. *Geriatric Med.* 16, 1019-1024, 1978. (in Japanese)
- Watanabe, M., Taketa, K., Nagashima, H. and Yamamoto, Y.: Effect of Nicomol on HDL cholesterol level. Acta Med. Okayama 33, 323-326, 1979.
- 12. Narayan, K.A., Narayan, S. and Kummerow, F.A.: Disc electrophoresis of human serum lipoproteins. *Nature* 205, 246-248, 1965.
- 13. Mackenzie, S.L., Sundaram, G.S. and Sodhi, H.S.: Heterogenesity of human serum high-density lipoprotein (HDL<sub>2</sub>). Clin Chim. Acta 43, 223-229, 1973.
- 14. Sundaram, G.S., Mackenzie, S.L. and Sodhi, H.S.: Preparative isoelectric focusing of human serum high-density lipoprotein (HDL<sub>3</sub>). Biochim. Biophys. Acta 337, 196-203, 1974.
- 15. Anderson, D.W., Nichols, A.V., Forte, T.M. and Lindgren, F.T.: Particle distribution of human serum high density lipoproteins. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 493, 55-68, 1977.
- 16. Anderson, D.W., Nichols, A.V., Pan, S.S. and Lindgren, F.T.: High density lipoprotein distribution. Resolution and determination of three major components in a normal population sample. *Atherosclerosis* 29, 161-179, 1978.
- 17. Watanabe, M.: Lipoprotein abnormalities in cholestasis II. Isolation, Characterization and clinical evaluation of an additional cholestatic lipoprotein (Slow-migrating HDL). Acta Med. Okayama 33, 327-341, 1979.