1	Panel-based Next-generation Sequencing Identifies Prognostic and Actionable Genes in Childhood
2	Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia and is Suitable for Clinical Sequencing
3	
4	Hisashi Ishida ¹ , Akihiro Iguchi ² , Michinori Aoe ³ , Takahide Takahashi ³ , Kosuke Tamefusa ¹ , Kiichiro
5	Kanamitsu ¹ , Kaori Fujiwara ¹ , Kana Washio ¹ , Takehiro Matsubara ⁴ , Hirokazu Tsukahara ¹ , Masashi Sanada ⁵ ,
6	and Akira Shimada ^{1*}
7	
8	¹ Department of Pediatrics/Pediatric Hematology and Oncology, Okayama University Hospital, Okayama,
9	Japan
10	² Department of Pediatrics, Hokkaido University Hospital, Hokkaido, Japan
11	³ Division of Medical Support, Okayama University Hospital, Okayama, Japan
12	⁴ Department of BioBank, BioRepository/BioMarker Analysis Center, Okayama University Hospital,
13	Okayama, Japan
14	⁵ Clinical Research Center, National Hospital Organization, Nagoya Medical Center, Nagoya, Aichi, Japan
15	

1	*Corresponding author: Akira Shimada, Department of Pediatrics, Okayama University Hospital,
2	Okayama, 2-5-1, Shikatacho, Kitaku, Okayama, 700-8558, Japan
3	Tel: +81-86-235-7249, fax: +81-86-221-4745, e-mail: pajj236e@okayama-u.ac.jp
4	Acknowledgement
5	This work was supported by grants from the Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development
6	(AMED). We thank Ellen Knapp, PhD, from Edanz Group (www.edanzediting.com/ac) for editing a draft
7	of this manuscript.
8	
9	

Abstract

1

2 Acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (ALL) is the most common malignancy in children. Although the cure 3 rate of ALL has greatly improved, a considerable number of patients suffer from relapse of leukaemia. 4 Therefore, ALL remains the leading cause of death from cancer during childhood. To improve the cure 5 rate of these patients, precisely detecting patients with high risk of relapse and incorporating new targeted 6 therapies are urgently needed. This study investigated inexpensive, rapid, next-generation sequencing of 7 more than 150 cancer-related genes for matched diagnostic, remission, and relapse samples of 17 patients 8 (3 months –15 years old) with relapsed ALL. In this analysis, we identified 16 single nucleotide variants 9 (SNVs) and insertion/deletion variants and 19 copy number variants (CNVs) at diagnosis, and 28 SNVs 10 and insertion/deletion variants and 22 CNVs at relapse. With these genetic alterations, we could detect 11 several B-cell precursor ALL patients with high risk gene alterations who were not stratified into the 12 highest risk group (5/8, 62.5%). We also detected potentially actionable genetic variants in about half of 13 the patients (8/17, 47.1%). Among them, we found that one patient harboured germline TP53 mutation as 14 a secondary finding. This inexpensive, rapid method can be immediately applied as clinical sequencing, 15 and could lead to better management of these patients and potential improvement in the survival rate in 16 childhood ALL.

1

2 Keywords

3 Leukaemia, paediatric, ALL, molecular genetics, precision medicine

Introduction

1

14

15

16

2 Acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (ALL) is the most common malignancy in children [1]. With risk-3 adapted, multi-agent chemotherapy, more than 80% cases of newly-diagnosed childhood ALL are cured. 4 However, up to 20% of patients with childhood ALL suffer from relapse of leukaemia. The cure rate of 5 these patients is less than 40%. Therefore, childhood ALL still remains the main cause of death from 6 cancer in children [1–3]. 7 Several risk factors of relapse at initial diagnosis have been reported. These factors include age and 8 white blood cell count at diagnosis. Additionally, a limited range of genetic alterations as gene 9 rearrangement or chromosomal events, such as ETV6-RUNXI or hyperdiploidy, have been reported to be 10 associated with a favourable outcome, and BCR-ABL1, KMT2A-rearrangement, hypodiploidy, 11 intrachromosomal amplification of chromosome 21, and TCF3-HLF have been reported to be associated 12 with an inferior outcome. Adding to these factors, minimal residual disease (MRD) measured by sensitive 13 molecular tests, which reflects treatment response, is an emerging strong prognostic factor for paediatric

5

ALL. Modern treatment protocols integrate these genetic alterations and MRD to stratify patients into

several risk groups [1]. Generally, the highest risk group receives maximally intensive treatment,

including hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT), and the lowest risk group receives less

1 intensified multi-agent chemotherapy. Many patients who do not have high-risk features or low-risk 2 features are classified as the intermediate risk group. This group contains heterogeneous patients and thus 3 one uniform therapy is not suitable for this group. While a considerable number of the lowest risk group 4 of patients are expected to be cured with less intensive chemotherapy, the cure rate of the intermediate 5 and highest risk group of patients remains unsatisfactory. Therefore, to improve the overall cure rates of 6 childhood ALL, precisely detecting patients with high risk of relapse or detecting new treatment targets in 7 the intermediate- and high-risk group of patients is important for personalized precision medicine. 8 Recently, many gene deletions at diagnosis were reported to be associated with an inferior outcome in 9 childhood ALL. These genes include transcriptional regulators of lymphoid development (IKZF1 and 10 EBF1), tumour suppressors and cell cycle regulation (TP53, RB1, MSH2, and CDKN2A/B), and 11 epigenetic regulators (CREBBP) [4-14]. These adverse prognostic factors have been reproduced in 12 multiple cohorts, but they have not been incorporated into prospective treatment studies. 13 Fewer prognostic factors for childhood ALL have been applied in the relapse setting compared with the 14 setting of initial diagnosis. Among them, the time to relapse, the site of relapse, and immunophenotype 15 are the most widely accepted and applied in many clinical trials [15–17]. According to these risk factors, 16

patients with relapsed ALL are stratified into the low-risk group or high-risk group. Many patients receive

1	multi-agent chemotherapy and subsequent HSC1. However, the overall survival rate of patients with
2	relapsed ALL still remains poor, and is low as 40%, despite these intensive treatments [2, 3]. With limited
3	success of the conventional strategy of maximally intensified cytotoxic chemotherapy and HSCT,
4	relapsed ALL might be a good candidate for recent targeted therapy [2] or cancer immunotherapy [18].
5	In recent years, development of the high-throughput next-generation sequencing (NGS) method has
6	allowed detection of a large number of genetic alterations. NGS can detect single nucleotide variants
7	(SNVs), small insertions and deletions (in/dels), and gene copy number variants (CNVs) at the same time.
8	Therefore, NGS will be used in the clinical setting, namely as clinical sequencing, in the near future.
9	This study aimed to detect genetic alterations, including single SNVs, small in/dels, and CNVs with the
10	NGS method for matched diagnostic, remission, and relapse samples of 17 patients with relapsed ALL. In
11	this retrospective study, we found that many relapsed patients had genetic alterations with adverse
12	prognostic value at diagnosis. We also found that most patients had actionable variants, including the
13	germline TP53 mutation, which suggested that this method could work well as clinical sequencing and
14	potentially improve management of patients.

Materials and Methods

- 1 **Patients.** Seventeen children aged 0 to 18 years who were diagnosed with relapsed ALL between 2000 2 and 2017 in Okayama University Hospital and Hokkaido University Hospital were enrolled in this study. 3 Treatment protocols were diverse, as Japan Association of childhood Leukemia Study (JACLS) ALL97 and 4 ALL02 protocol [19, 20], Japanese Pediatric Leukemia/Lymphoma Study Group (JPLSG) Ph-04, MLL-03, 5 MLL-10, and T-11 protocol [21, 22]. The results of JPLSG MLL-10 and T-11 protocol has not been 6 published. These are listed in Table 1. Patients with molecular relapse were excluded from this study. We 7 have already reported ABL1 gene mutation analysis [23] and NGS analysis [24] for Ph+ALL (UPN 10 and 8 11) and the NGS analysis for infant ALL (UPN 13 and 14) [25]. The results of NGS analysis for infant 9 ALL is slightly different between our previous report [24] and the present study. This is due to the changes 10 in analytic pipeline; We used only two databases (dbSNP and 1000gp) to remove SNP in the previous study, 11 but we use three databases (dbSNP, 1000gp, and Human Genetic Variation Database) in the present study 12 as noted below. This study was approved by the institutional ethics committee in Okayama University 13 Hospital and informed consent was obtained for each patient from the legal guardian of the patients and/or 14 themselves. All methods as follows were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and 15 regulations.
 - 8

DNA isolation. Somatic DNA was obtained from bone marrow samples at diagnosis and each episode of

- 1 relapse, while germline DNA was obtained from a buccal swab or peripheral blood in complete remission
- 2 (CR) status. DNA was extracted using the QIAamp DNA Blood Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and
- 3 quantified using NanoDrop 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and Qubit® 2.0
- 4 Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer's instructions.
- 5 Targeted NGS approach. We performed targeted sequencing of more than 150 cancer-related genes for
- 6 DNA as previously reported [25]. Targeted gene lists are shown in Supplementary Table S4 and allocation
- 7 of patients is shown in Supplementary Table S1. These gene panels were generated using an online design
- 8 tool for HaloPlex (Agilent Technologies) and target enrichment was performed using the HaloPlex standard
- 9 protocol. Samples were then sequenced by MiSeq (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). Read alignment
- 10 to the hg19 reference genome was performed by the Burrows-Wheeler Aligner and variant calling was
- performed using SureCall software (Agilent Technologies).
- 12 Variant prioritization and assessment of pathogenicity. We excluded synonymous or non-coding
- variants, and single nucleotide polymorphisms reported with a frequency of 1% or higher in single
- 14 nucleotide polymorphism databases (dbSNP, 1000gp, and Human Genetic Variation Database). Variant
- bases that had \geq five reads in each sample proceeded to the next step. Genetic variations that were constantly
- detected from diagnostic, remission, and relapse samples with a VAF \geq 0.2 were regarded as candidate

1 germline alterations. Genetic alterations that were not or rarely detected from diagnostic samples, but were 2 detected from diagnostic and/or relapse samples with a VAF ≥ 0.05 were regarded as candidate somatic 3 alterations. Differences in VAF between normal and diagnostic/relapse samples were assessed by Fisher's 4 exact test, and regarded as significant with a threshold of ≤ 0.01 . Finally, for germline and somatic 5 alterations, the read quality was checked on IGV software (Broad Institute, Cambridge, MA, USA). 6 Germline mutations detected as secondary findings were validated by Sanger sequencing after informed 7 consent was obtained from each patient, again. 8 In the same single sequencing run, we could detect not only SNV, but also CNV in the targeted genes. CNV 9 was identified with a read depth-based method using SureCall v3.0 software. CNVs were predicted based 10 on the log ratio of the normalized sample read depth to the reference sample read depth using default 11 parameters. The patients' CR samples were used as the reference sample. Base sequences with a log ratio 12 below/above -0.6/0.3 were classified as deletion/duplication, respectively. In CNV analysis, higher scores 13 were considered more reliable and the maximum value was 1, which was calculated with an original 14 algorithm of Agilent Technologies. We previously reported the utility of this method [24]. For childhood 15 ALL, gene deletions are often reported as prognostic factors, and gene amplifications are less frequently 16 reported as prognostic factors. Therefore, we selected deletions of previously reported genes (IKZF1, PAX5,

- 1 RB1, CDKN2A/B, ETV6, EBF1, BTG1, CREBBP, SH2B3, TP53, and MSH2) [4, 5, 7–10, 13, 14, 26].
- 2 BCL11B, NOTCH1, and FBXW7 deletions in T-cell ALL [27, 28], RUNX1 deletion in ETV6-RUNX1 ALL,
- 3 TCF3 deletion in TCF3-PBX1 ALL, ABL1 deletion in BCR-ABL1 ALL, and KMT2A deletion in KMT2A-
- 4 rearranged ALL were also analysed. We selected deletions among these genes mentioned above with a
- 5 score of ≥ 0.5 and deletions detected in two or more consecutive regions. We reported the gene deletions
- 6 only when minimum 100 reads are aligned on the regions of reference samples.
- 7 Analysis for clonal changes between diagnosis and relapse. We also checked the clonal relationship of
- 8 diagnostic and relapse samples in ALL by analysing SNVs, small insertion/deletions, and CNVs on
- 9 matched diagnostic and relapse samples. For CNVs, we included not only gene deletions, but also gene
- gains. We used only highly reliable genetic alterations. Therefore, we chose SNVs and in/dels with a VAF
- ≥ 0.1 , and CNVs with 100 or more reads aligned on the reference samples with a score of ≥ 0.5 and detection
- in two or more consecutive regions. If CNVs detected at diagnosis or relapse were also detected in matched
- relapse or diagnostic samples with narrowed regions and a sufficient score, the genes are not determined as
- 14 changed. Four cases (UPN 9, 10, 12, and 17) were excluded from this analysis because they were not
- analysed with the same gene panels among diagnostic, CR, and relapse samples. Finally, clonal changes
- were categorized into four patterns (A: genetically distinct leukaemia, B; same as a diagnostic clone, C;

1 clonal evolution from a diagnostic clone, D; clonal evolution from ancestral clones), as previously reported 2 [29]. 3 Definition of potential actionable genes. Among gene alterations we detected, we selected several 4 alterations as "potentially actionable genes" based on several previous larger studies [30-32]. These 5 potentially actionable genes include genes alterations that could lead to a newer molecular-targeted therapy, 6 a change in selection of drugs, or a change in patient or family counseling and management by identifying 7 germline cancer-predisposing gene alterations. We exclude gene alterations which were detected only in 8 diagnostic samples but not in relapsed samples, because targeting these alterations could not avoid 9 subsequent relapse events. 10 Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH). FISH for detection of TP53 deletion was performed with 11 commercially available probes (Vysis TP53 / CEP 17 FISH Probe Kit; Abbot, Chicago, IL, USA) and 12 samples were counterstained with DAPI according to manufacturer's instructions. 13 14 Results 15 Patients' characteristics. Clinical information of the analysed patients is shown in Table 1. Sixteen 16

patients were Japanese and one patient (UPN 10) was Egyptian. A relatively high ratio of boys to girls

- 1 (14:3) was observed in our cohort, and the median age at diagnosis was 5 years (3 months to 15 years). Our
- 2 study included nine patients with B-cell precursor ALL (BCP-ALL; in this study, this terminology excludes
- 3 Philadelphia chromosome-positive ALL or infant ALL), three patients with Philadelphia chromosome-
- 4 positive ALL (Ph+ALL), three patients with infant ALL, and two patients with T-cell ALL (T-ALL).
- 5 Among the nine patients with BCP-ALL, only one (UPN 4) received HSCT at her first remission. All 17
- 6 patients received HSCT after the first relapse.
- 7 **Descriptive results from sequencing runs.** The average number of total reads was 1,874,078 (range:
- 8 1,080,771–4,221,238) with an average read length of 112–136. The read depth in analysable target regions
- 9 per sample was between 210 and 928×. A total of 87.63%–98.3% of analysable regions were covered at
- 10 least 20 reads, 83.63%–97.34% were covered at least 50 reads, and 74.55%–95.84% were covered at least
- 11 100 reads. These quality metrics data were obtained from analysis by SureCall v3.0 software (Agilent
- Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA), and the details are shown in Supplementary Table S1.
- The results of NGS are shown in Table 2. We identified total 16 SNVs and in/dels at diagnosis, and 28 at
- relapse. For CNV, 19 genes were deleted at diagnosis and 22 were deleted at relapse. The total number of
- 15 CNVs was mainly increased in BCP-ALL.

1 Germline variations. In this study, we analysed triplicate samples of diagnosis, remission, and relapse 2 for childhood ALL, and this approach enabled us to detect germline variations as secondary findings. While 3 candidates of germline variations were detected in six patients, most of them were not regarded as 4 pathogenic or likely pathogenic according to published recommendations [33-35]. Only one variation, 5 TP53 R248Q detected in UPN 2, is widely known as a pathogenic mutation. This mutation was 6 heterozygous in the germline sample with a variant allele frequency (VAF) of 0.498. However, the VAF 7 was elevated to 0.744 and 0.698 in diagnostic and relapse leukaemia samples, respectively. We also 8 performed FISH analysis for these samples. While remission sample shows only normal signal patterns 9 with two red (TP53) and green (centromere of chromosome 17), diagnostic and relapsed leukemic samples 10 include leukaemia cells 11 with one red signal (Supplementary Figure). These finding suggested that loss of heterozygosity occurred 12 due to the deletion of TP53 and led to progression of leukaemia, which is a hallmark of tumorigenesis of 13 TP53 mutations. Other candidate genes that were detected from germline samples are listed in 14 Supplementary Table S2.

Genetic alterations at diagnosis. All but one (UPN 2) of the patients had at least one genetic alteration

15

1 at diagnosis, and all 17 patients had at least one genetic alteration.

2

11

12

13

14

3 the highest risk group, and this patient received HSCT at first remission. However, among eight patients 4 with BCP-ALL who were not classified into the highest risk group, three patients (UNP 1, 3, and 5) had 5 CNVs with unfavourable prognostic value previously reported [4, 5, 8–12, 36]. UPN 4, who was regarded 6 as the highest risk patient, also had unfavourable risk CNVs. UPN 4, who was regarded as the highest risk 7 patient, also had a cytogenetic risk factor. With regard to the remaining five patients, UPN 8 had a 8 hyperdiploidy karyotype and well-known KRAS and CREBBP mutations, which are associated with a 9 higher risk of relapse [37–39]. UPN 2 also had the germline TP53 mutation, as mentioned above, and was 10 thought to be a high-risk patient [40]. Therefore, among a total of nine patients with BCP-ALL, six had

This study included nine patients with BCP-ALL. Only one (UPN 4) of these patients were classified into

were also detected at relapse of each patient, and only one patient (UPN 3) lost his cytogenetic risk factor (*EBF1* deletion) at relapse. Three patients did not have any prognostic genetic alterations, including two

unfavourable prognostic genetic alterations at diagnosis. And, five out of eight BCP-ALL patients who

were not stratified into the highest risk group had these alterations at diagnosis. Many of these risk factors

- patients who had *TCF3-PBX1*-positive ALL, and both of them had *TCF3* gene deletions. UNP 7 did not
- show any prognostic genetic alteration at diagnosis.

- All three patients with Ph+ALL were accompanied by *IKZF1* deletions, which are associated with an
- 2 unfavourable outcome [41]. While two of three patients with Ph+ALL had RB1 deletions, both of them lost
- 3 *RB1* deletions at relapse.
- 4 This study included three patients with infant ALL. All of the three patients showed mutations in tyrosine
- 5 kinase-PI3K-RAS signalling pathways. The mutations in these pathways are frequently reported as
- 6 activating mutations, and VAFs were relatively high (0.22-0.368, Table 2). These gain of function
- 7 mutations are candidates for new target treatment, as mentioned below.
- 8 Two patients with T-ALL had sequencing performed, and both patients had NOTCH1 mutations at
- 9 diagnosis. The prognostic significance of *NOTCH1* alterations are not consistent, but are reported to be
- good prognostic factors [28, 42]. These two patients showed a WTI mutation, JAK3 mutation, FBXW7
- deletion, CDKN2A/B deletion, BCL11B deletion, and CREBBP deletion, and all of these genetic alterations
- are frequently involved in T-ALL [43].

13

15

14 Patterns of relapse. To clarify the clonal origins of relapsed samples, we analysed the differences in

SNVs, in/dels, and CNVs between matched diagnostic and relapse samples, and classified them into four

groups (A: genetically distinct leukaemia, B: same as a diagnostic clone, C: clonal evolution from a

1 diagnostic clone, D: clonal evolution from ancestral clones), as previously reported [29]. We used genetic 2 alterations that passed a higher threshold, as described in the Materials and Methods section below. Four 3 cases were excluded from analysis because gene panels that were used for these patients were not consistent 4 among triplicate samples (see Supplementary Table S1). The results are shown in Supplementary Table S3. 5 In most cases (9/13, 69.2%), relapsed samples acquired new lesions and lost some lesions that were present 6 at diagnosis, which showed their clonal evolution from ancestral clones. Four (30.7%) cases showed direct 7 clonal evolution from diagnostic clones. No relapsed ALL clones were classified into genetically distinct 8 or identical clones as diagnostic clones. 9 10 Actionable genetic alterations. In this study, 8 patients (46.1%) had several potentially actionable 11 genetic alterations according to the definition noted above. These detected genetic alterations and potential 12 actions for these are shown in Table 3. These genetic alterations included not only genes that could be 13 therapeutic targets, but also genes that are predictive markers of resistance to specific therapy (ABL1)

15

14

16

Discussion

mutation and tyrosine kinase inhibitor).

1 This study showed the results of NGS for matched diagnostic, remission, and relapse samples of childhood 2 ALL. We showed the utility of NGS to clarify new prognostic genetic alterations and many targetable 3 genetic alterations, including cancer-predisposing, germline genetic alterations in the clinical setting. 4 In patients with BCP-ALL, many genetic alterations have been reported to be associated with an adverse 5 prognosis [1]. In this study, we detected adverse prognostic genetic alterations in 6/9 (66.7%) patients with 6 BCP-ALL, and most of them were not classified into the highest risk group at diagnosis. Among three 7 patients without any prognostic genetic alterations, two patients with TCF3-PBX1 showed a TCF3 deletion, 8 but their prognostic value is unknown. Relapse of childhood ALL occurs owing to a variety of reasons, 9 such as older age, a less sophisticated treatment protocol, and patients' adherence to therapy besides genetic 10 prognostic factors [44]. Therefore, some relapsed patients should be free from any genetic risk factors. The 11 total number of CNVs was mainly increased in BCP-ALL, as previously shown [29] 12 IKZF1 deletion is an adverse prognostic factor in any type of BCP-ALL [8–10]. Detecting IKZF1 deletions 13 in Ph+ALL is also important because Ph+ALL without IKZF1 deletions has a better prognosis than that 14 with IKZF1 deletions, and it could potentially avoid HSCT. Addition of vincristine-steroid pulses during 15 maintenance therapy may specifically benefit patients with non-Ph+BCP-ALL with IKZF1 deletions [10], 16

but this benefit has not been confirmed for all patients with Ph+ALL. Therefore, optimal treatment

- 1 modifications in patients with Ph+ALL and *IKZF* deletions are under investigation [45, 46].
- Remarkably, all of the patients with infant ALL in our study had tyrosine kinase-PI3K-RAS pathway-
- 3 activating mutations. In a larger study, a trend toward inferior survival and an increased relapse rate in
- 4 patients with these mutations were reported, and this effect was emphasized when VAF of these mutations
- 5 was $\geq 30\%$ [47]. These mutations are also new candidates of target treatment. This targeted therapy should
- 6 not be used alone but should be added to conventional chemotherapy. This is because tyrosine kinase-PI3K-
- RAS pathway-activating mutations in infant ALL are sometimes lost at relapse [47].
- 8 Recently, SPII fusions were newly reported for paediatric T-ALL, and this subgroup is associated with a
- 9 poor outcome [48]. While we detected many recurrent genetic alterations for T-ALL, a small number of
- genetic alterations with adverse prognostic value have been reported [49]. Patients with T-ALL have many
- 11 non-coding genetic alterations or genetic rearrangement, such as SPII fusions. Therefore, the method used
- in our study might not be appropriate for patients with T-ALL.
- We checked the patterns of relapse events for our patients. Our patients showed clonal evolution from a
- 14 diagnostic clone or clonal evolution from ancestral clones. These distributions are comparable to the
- previous report [29, 50]
- One remarkable finding of this study was frequent detection of actionable genetic alterations at diagnosis

1 and relapse. A total of 8/17 (47.1%) patients showed actionable alterations, and these genetic alterations

were detected, irrespective of ALL subtype. The frequency is comparable to that in a previous report [30,

3 31]. Among these alterations, many alterations dominantly presented at diagnosis of patients with T-ALL

and one tyrosine kinase-PI3K-RAS pathway-activating mutation in a patient with infant ALL was lost at

relapse. These alterations should be chosen with caution as target treatment.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

4

5

Another interesting finding in this study was detection of a germline variation as secondary findings. The

larger childhood cancer case series find that 8.5% of their patients conferred a pathogenic mutation among

cancer predisposition genes they selected for analysis [51]. And among patients with candidate gene

mutations, only 40% of patients had a family history of cancer. Moreover, another large study shows that

panel-based gene sequencing is associated with increased detection of individuals with germline gene

mutations over the predicted yield of targeted germline testing based on clinical guidelines [52]. These

observations have illustrated the importance of genetic testing for selected patients even when they do not

have a family history of cancer.

Among cancer predisposition syndromes, Li-Fraumeni syndrome (LFS) is one of the most aggressive and

investigated conditions. Patients with LFS suffered from wide spectrums of cancers such as brain tumors,

1 adrenocortical carcinoma, soft tissue and bone tumors, hematologic malignancies, or breast cancer. 2 Germline TP53 mutations are the primary underlying genetic alteration that predisposes individuals to the 3 development of these cancers. As for ALL, low-hypodiploid type is known to be associated with germline 4 TP53 mutations [53], but about one-third of patients with germline TP53 pathogenic variants did not have 5 typical hypodiploid karyotype [54]. 6 In this study, we detected well-known TP53 R248Q mutation from germline sample of UNP2. He was 7 initially diagnosed as ALL with normal karyotype, and did not have family history of cancer at the first 8 diagnosis. Thus, he was not suspected as having any underlying cancer predisposition conditions. However, 9 after his first relapse, his younger sister suffered from pontine glioma, and subsequently he developed skin 10 tumor (Bowen disease). Therefore, he was diagnosed with LFS according to Chompret criteria [55]. 11 Patients with LFS have a substantial lifetime risk of developing cancer, and a clinical surveillance protocol 12 enables early detection of tumours and improves long-term survival with reduced treatment-related 13 morbidity and mortality [56]. As shown for UPN2, panel-based genetic analysis, but not targeted germline

21

testing based on clinical information, would be beneficial for better management of patients with underlying

cancer predisposition syndromes at the first diagnosis. However, the survival benefit of surveillance

protocol has not been verified in the most cancer predisposition syndromes. Moreover, interpretations of

14

15

detected variants and reporting them in the clinical setting should be performed with caution, usually in

accordance with published guidelines [33–35].

3

5

6

7

8

9

2

4 Time and cost required are another advantage of the approach used in our study. This method requires

relatively low throughput of NGS, and thus less time and cost are required. Currently, MRD is the most

powerful prognostic factor. However, MRD is usually measured at the end of induction and at the end of

consolidation therapy, which are several months after diagnosis. We can obtain an informative result from

this approach within a few days, and we might possibly be able to adjust treatment intensity at an earlier

phase than MRD in a future study. However, this should be confirmed in the prospective larger study.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Our study has several limitations. This study was retrospective and only included relapsed patients. We

detected several poor prognostic factors among our patients, but it is unclear whether we could stratify

patients according to these factors. Prospective study of a greater number of patients are needed to confirm

true usefulness of this method for stratifying patients with high risk ALL. Moreover, targeted therapy should

be considered with caution, because actionable genetic alterations would be detected in patients who do not

relapse with conventional chemotherapy. For these patients, actionable genetic alterations might possibly

1 be used to reduce chemotherapeutic burden, but we could not elucidate this point because this study 2 includes only patients with high risk ALL. 3 4 In summary, we used the NGS method for patients with relapsed ALL, and detected SNVs, in/dels, and 5 CNVs with prognostic value, including a well-known germline mutation. We precisely detecting patients 6 with high risk of relapse and could also detect actionable targets in most patients with this approach. 7 Incorporating this inexpensive, rapid method into the clinical setting will enable a patient-oriented, 8 precision strategy for childhood ALL. 9 10 Availability of data. The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available 11 from the corresponding author on reasonable request. 12 **Author Contribution** 13 H.I, A.I, and A.S wrote the manuscript. H.I, M.A, T.T, T.M, M.S, and A.S performed the genetic analysis 14 and interpreted the result. H.I, K.T, K.K, K.F, K.W, H.T, and A.S did the patient's care and collected the 15 clinical data. All authors reviewed the manuscript. 16

Compliance with Ethical Standards

1 **Conflict of interests** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest. 2 **Ethical approval** The institutional Review Board of Okayama University Hospital approved this study. 3 4 patients and/or themselves. 5 6 7 Reference 8 1. Hunger SP, Mullighan CG (2015) Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia in Children. N Engl J Med 9 373:1541-1552. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra1400972 10 2. Bhojwani D, Pui C-H (2013) Relapsed childhood acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. Lancet Oncol 11 14:e205-e217. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(12)70580-6 12 3. Goto H (2015) Childhood relapsed acute lymphoblastic leukemia: Its biology and recent progress 13 of treatment. Pediatr Int 57:1059–1066. https://doi.org/10.1111/ped.12837 14 Schwab CJ, Chilton L, Morrison H, et al (2013) Genes commonly deleted in childhood B-cell 4. 15 precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia: association with cytogenetics and clinical features. 16 Haematologica 98:1081–1088. https://doi.org/10.3324/haematol.2013.085175

2		in adolescent and adult patients with precursor B acute lymphoblastic leukemia enrolled in
3		PETHEMA protocols. Cancer 121:3809–3817. https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.29579
4	6.	Messina M, Chiaretti S, Fedullo AL, et al (2017) Clinical significance of recurrent copy number
5		aberrations in B-lineage acute lymphoblastic leukaemia without recurrent fusion genes across age
6		cohorts. Br J Haematol 178:583–587. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjh.14721
7	7.	Mullighan CG, Goorha S, Radtke I, et al (2007) Genome-wide analysis of genetic alterations in
8		acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. Nature 446:758–764. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05690
9	8.	Mullighan CG, Su X, Zhang J, et al (2009) Deletion of IKZF1 and prognosis in acute
10		lymphoblastic leukemia. N Engl J Med 360:470–480. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa0808253
11	9.	Dörge P, Meissner B, Zimmermann M, et al (2013) IKZF1 deletion is an independent predictor of

Ribera J, Morgades M, Zamora L, et al (2015) Prognostic significance of copy number alterations

1

12

13

14

15

16

5.

10. Clappier E, Grardel N, Bakkus M, et al (2015) IKZF1 deletion is an independent prognostic marker in childhood B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia, and distinguishes patients benefiting from pulses during maintenance therapy: results of the EORTC Children's Leukemia

protocol. Haematologica 98:428–432. https://doi.org/10.3324/haematol.2011.056135

outcome in pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia treated according to the ALL-BFM 2000

- Group study 58951. Leukemia 29:2154–2161. https://doi.org/10.1038/leu.2015.134
- 2 11. Moorman A V., Schwab C, Ensor HM, et al (2012) IGH@ translocations, CRLF2 deregulation,
- 3 and microdeletions in adolescents and adults with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. J Clin Oncol
- 4 30:3100–3108. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2011.40.3907
- 5 12. Olsson L, Castor A, Behrendtz M, et al (2014) Deletions of IKZF1 and SPRED1 are associated
- 6 with poor prognosis in a population-based series of pediatric B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic
- 7 leukemia diagnosed between 1992 and 2011. Leukemia 28:302–310.
- 8 https://doi.org/10.1038/leu.2013.206
- 9 13. Diouf B, Cheng Q, Krynetskaia NF, et al (2011) Somatic deletions of genes regulating MSH2
- protein stability cause DNA mismatch repair deficiency and drug resistance in human leukemia
- 11 cells. Nat Med 17:. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.2430
- 12 14. Gupta SK, Bakhshi S, Kumar L, et al (2017) Gene copy number alteration profile and its clinical
- correlation in B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Leuk Lymphoma 58:333–342.
- 14 https://doi.org/10.1080/10428194.2016.1193855
- 15. Gaynon PS, Qu RP, Chappell RJ, et al (1998) Survival after relapse in childhood acute
- lymphoblastic leukemia: impact of site and time to first relapse--the Children's Cancer Group

- Experience. Cancer 82:1387–95
- 2 16. Parker C, Waters R, Leighton C, et al (2010) Effect of mitoxantrone on outcome of children with
- 3 first relapse of acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (ALL R3): an open-label randomised trial. Lancet
- 4 376:2009–2017. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(10)62002-8
- 5 17. Eckert C, Henze G, Seeger K, et al (2013) Use of allogeneic hematopoietic stem-cell
- 6 transplantation based on minimal residual disease response improves outcomes for children with
- 7 relapsed acute lymphoblastic leukemia in the intermediate-risk group. J Clin Oncol 31:2736–
- 8 2742. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2012.48.5680
- 9 18. Maude SL, Frey N, Shaw PA, et al (2014) Chimeric Antigen Receptor T Cells for Sustained
- Remissions in Leukemia. N Engl J Med 371:1507–1517.
- 11 https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1407222
- 19. Horibe K, Yumura-Yagi K, Kudoh T, et al (2017) Long-term Results of the Risk-adapted
- Treatment for Childhood B-Cell Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia. J Pediatr Hematol Oncol
- 39:81–89. https://doi.org/10.1097/MPH.0000000000000760
- 15 20. Sakamoto K, Imamura T, Kihira K, et al (2018) Low Incidence of Osteonecrosis in Childhood
- Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia Treated With ALL-97 and ALL-02 Study of Japan Association

- 1 of Childhood Leukemia Study Group. J Clin Oncol JCO.2017.75.506.
- 2 https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2017.75.5066
- 3 21. Manabe A, Kawasaki H, Shimada H, et al (2015) Imatinib use immediately before stem cell
- 4 transplantation in children with Philadelphia chromosome-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia:
- 5 Results from Japanese Pediatric Leukemia/Lymphoma Study Group (JPLSG) Study Ph + ALL04.
- 6 Cancer Med 4:682–689. https://doi.org/10.1002/cam4.383
- 7 22. Koh K, Tomizawa D, Moriya Saito A, et al (2015) Early use of allogeneic hematopoietic stem
- 8 cell transplantation for infants with MLL gene-rearrangement-positive acute lymphoblastic
- 9 leukemia. Leukemia 29:290–296. https://doi.org/10.1038/leu.2014.172
- Aoe M, Shimada A, Muraoka M, et al (2014) ABL kinase mutation and relapse in 4 pediatric
- Philadelphia chromosome-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia cases. Int J Hematol 99:609–15.
- 12 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12185-014-1565-3
- Aoe M, Ishida H, Matsubara T, et al (2018) Simultaneous detection of ABL1 mutation and
- 14 IKZF1 deletion in Philadelphia chromosome-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia using a
- customized target enrichment system panel. Int J Lab Hematol 1–10.
- 16 https://doi.org/10.1111/ijlh.12805

- 1 25. Ishida H, Kanamitsu K, Washio K, et al (2016) Relapsed infant MLL -rearranged acute
- 2 lymphoblastic leukemia with additional genetic alterations. Pediatr Blood Cancer 63:2059–2060.
- 3 https://doi.org/10.1002/pbc.26100
- 4 26. Mullighan CG, Zhang J, Kasper LH, et al (2011) CREBBP mutations in relapsed acute
- 5 lymphoblastic leukaemia. Nature 471:235–239. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09727
- 6 27. Gutierrez A, Kentsis A, Sanda T, et al (2011) The BCL11B tumor suppressor is mutated across
- 7 the major molecular subtypes of T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Blood 118:4169–4173.
- 8 https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2010-11-318873
- 9 28. Jenkinson S, Koo K, Mansour MR, et al (2013) Impact of NOTCH1/FBXW7 mutations on
- outcome in pediatric T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia patients treated on the MRC UKALL
- 2003 trial. Leukemia 27:41–47. https://doi.org/10.1038/leu.2012.176
- 12 29. Mullighan CG, Phillips LA, Su X, et al (2008) Genomic Analysis of the Clonal Origins of
- Relapsed Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia. Science (80-) 322:1377–1380.
- 14 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1164266
- 15 30. Mody RJ, Wu Y-M, Lonigro RJ, et al (2015) Integrative Clinical Sequencing in the Management
- of Refractory or Relapsed Cancer in Youth. JAMA 314:913.

- 1 https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2015.10080
- 2 31. Zehir A, Benayed R, Shah RH, et al (2017) Mutational landscape of metastatic cancer revealed
- from prospective clinical sequencing of 10,000 patients. Nat Med 23:703–713.
- 4 https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.4333
- 5 32. Gröbner SN, Worst BC, Weischenfeldt J, et al (2018) The landscape of genomic alterations
- 6 across childhood cancers. Nature 555:321–327. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature25480
- Richards S, Aziz N, Bale S, et al (2015) Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of
- 8 sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical
- 9 Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. Genet Med 17:405–423.
- 10 https://doi.org/10.1038/gim.2015.30
- 11 34. Green RC, Berg JS, Grody WW, et al (2013) ACMG recommendations for reporting of incidental
- findings in clinical exome and genome sequencing. Genet Med 15:565–574.
- 13 https://doi.org/10.1038/gim.2013.73
- 14 35. Kalia SS, Adelman K, Bale SJ, et al (2017) Recommendations for reporting of secondary findings
- in clinical exome and genome sequencing, 2016 update (ACMG SF v2.0): a policy statement of
- the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics. Genet Med 19:249–255.

- 1 https://doi.org/10.1038/gim.2016.190
- 2 36. Moorman A V, Enshaei A, Schwab C, et al (2014) A novel integrated cytogenetic and genomic
- 3 classification refines risk stratification in pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Blood
- 4 124:1434–1444. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2014-03-562918. The
- 5 37. Mullighan CG, Zhang J, Kasper LH, et al (2011) CREBBP mutations in relapsed acute
- 6 lymphoblastic leukaemia. Nature 471:235–239. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09727
- 7 38. Inthal A, Zeitlhofer P, Zeginigg M, et al (2012) CREBBP HAT domain mutations prevail in
- 8 relapse cases of high hyperdiploid childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Leukemia 26:1797–
- 9 1803. https://doi.org/10.1038/leu.2012.60
- 10 39. Malinowska-Ozdowy K, Frech C, Schönegger A, et al (2015) KRAS and CREBBP mutations: a
- relapse-linked malicious liaison in childhood high hyperdiploid acute lymphoblastic leukemia.
- Leukemia 29:1656–1667. https://doi.org/10.1038/leu.2015.107
- 13 40. Qian M, Cao X, Devidas M, et al (2018) TP53 Germline Variations Influence the Predisposition
- and Prognosis of B-Cell Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia in Children. J Clin Oncol
- 15 JCO.2017.75.521. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2017.75.5215
- 16 41. van der Veer A, Zaliova M, Mottadelli F, et al (2014) IKZF1 status as a prognostic feature in

- BCR-ABL1-positive childhood ALL. Blood 123:1691–1698. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2013-
- 2 06-509794
- 3 42. Petit A, Trinquand A, Chevret S, et al (2017) Oncogenetic mutations combined with MRD
- 4 improve outcome prediction in pediatric T-Cell Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia. Blood blood-
- 5 2017-04-778829. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2017-04-778829
- 6 43. Liu Y, Easton J, Shao Y, et al (2017) The genomic landscape of pediatric and young adult T-
- 7 lineage acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Nat Genet 49:1211–1218. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3909
- 8 44. Bhatia S, Landier W, Shangguan M, et al (2012) Nonadherence to Oral Mercaptopurine and Risk
- 9 of Relapse in Hispanic and Non-Hispanic White Children With Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia:
- A Report From the Children's Oncology Group. J Clin Oncol 30:2094–2101.
- https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2011.38.9924
- 12 45. van der Veer A, Zaliova M, Mottadelli F, et al (2014) IKZF1 status as a prognostic feature in
- BCR-ABL1-positive childhood ALL. Blood 123:1691–1698. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2013-
- 14 06-509794
- Boer JM, van der Veer A, Rizopoulos D, et al (2016) Prognostic value of rare IKZF1 deletion in
- childhood B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia: an international collaborative study.

- 1 Leukemia 30:32–8. https://doi.org/10.1038/leu.2015.199
- 2 47. Andersson AK, Ma J, Wang J, et al (2015) The landscape of somatic mutations in infant MLL-
- 3 rearranged acute lymphoblastic leukemias. Nat Genet 47:330–337.
- 4 https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3230
- 5 48. Seki M, Kimura S, Isobe T, et al (2017) Recurrent SPI1 (PU.1) fusions in high-risk pediatric T
- 6 cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Nat Genet 49:1274–1281. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3900
- 7 49. Jenkinson S, Kirkwood AA, Goulden N, et al (2015) Impact of PTEN abnormalities on outcome
- 8 in pediatric patients with T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia treated on the MRC UKALL2003
- 9 trial. Leukemia 30:39–47. https://doi.org/10.1038/leu.2015.206
- 10 50. Ma X, Edmonson M, Yergeau D, et al (2015) Rise and fall of subclones from diagnosis to relapse
- in pediatric B-acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. Nat Commun 6:6604.
- 12 https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms7604
- 13 51. Zhang J, Walsh MF, Wu G, et al (2015) Germline Mutations in Predisposition Genes in Pediatric
- Cancer. N Engl J Med 373:2336–2346. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1508054
- 15 52. Mandelker D, Zhang L, Kemel Y, et al (2017) Mutation detection in patients with advanced
- cancer by universal sequencing of cancer-related genes in tumor and normal DNA vs guideline-

2		https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2017.11137
3	53.	Holmfeldt L, Wei L, Diaz-Flores E, et al (2013) The genomic landscape of hypodiploid acute
4		lymphoblastic leukemia. Nat Genet 45:242–252. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.2532
5	54.	Qian M, Cao X, Devidas M, et al (2018) TP53 Germline Variations Influence the Predisposition
6		and Prognosis of B-Cell Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia in Children. J Clin Oncol 36:591–599.
7		https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2017.75.5215
8	55.	Tinat J, Bougeard G, Baert-Desurmont S, et al (2009) 2009 Version of the Chompret Criteria for
9		Li Fraumeni Syndrome. J. Clin. Oncol. 27
10	56.	Villani A, Shore A, Wasserman JD, et al (2016) Biochemical and imaging surveillance in
11		germline TP53 mutation carriers with Li-Fraumeni syndrome: 11 year follow-up of a prospective
12		observational study. Lancet Oncol 17:1295–1305. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(16)30249-
13		2
14		

based germline testing. JAMA - J Am Med Assoc 318:825–835.