Validity of Mothers’ Reports of Children’s Weight in Japan
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Estimation methods for pediatric weight have not been evaluated for Japanese children. This study aimed to assess the accuracy of mothers’ reports of their children’s weight in Japan. We also evaluated potential alternatives to the estimation of weight, including the Broselow tape (BT), Advanced Pediatric Life Support (APLS), and Park’s formulae. We prospectively collected cross-sectional data on a convenience sample of 237 children aged less than 10 years who presented to a general pediatric outpatient clinic with their mothers. Each weight estimation method was evaluated using Bland–Altman plots and by calculating the proportion within 10\% and 20\% of the measured weight. Mothers’ reports of weight were the most accurate method, with 94.9\% within 10\% of the measured weight, the lowest mean difference (0.27kg), and the shortest 95\% limit of agreement (-1.4 to 1.9kg). The BT was the most reliable alternative, followed by APLS and Park’s formulae. Mothers’ reports of their children’s weight are more accurate than other weight estimation methods. When no report of a child’s weight by the mother is available, BT is the best alternative. When an aged-based formula is the only option, the APLS formula is preferred.
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Accurately determining the weight of pediatric patients is essential for prescribing medications and choosing appropriate medical devices. However, there are many situations, especially in emergency settings, in which determining weight using a scale is not possible, and medical staff involuntarily begin treatment based on information from parents. Some reports have evaluated the reliability of parental estimates of a child’s weight. Some of these reports showed these estimates to have high accuracy [1–3], and others showed them to be unreliable [4, 5]. All of these studies were performed outside of Japan. Consequently, there has been no prospective study in Japan that has evaluated the accuracy of parental reports of a child’s weight in the setting of an outpatient clinic. Unreliable information could result in errors in medical treatment.

Generally, mothers come with children on clinic visits. Therefore, this study primarily aimed to evaluate the accuracy of mothers’ reports of their children’s weight in Japan. We also evaluated potential alternatives for estimating a child’s weight, such as the Broselow tape [6] and two age-based formulae (the Advanced Pediatric Life Support (APLS) formula [7] and Park’s formula [8]).
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Methods

Setting. This was a prospective study conducted in Ochiai Hospital, located in a sparsely populated area in western Japan. This hospital has approximately 10,000 children presenting to its pediatric outpatient clinic annually. This study was approved by the ethics committee of Ochiai Hospital.

Population. The study was conducted in an outpatient clinic in Ochiai Hospital from 10 July, 2014 to 8 January, 2015. One of the authors (NN) was in charge of the outpatient clinic once a week. Data were prospectively collected from medically stable children aged less than 10 years who were accompanied by their mothers. The age was rounded down to the child’s age at the last birthday. Children with endocrine or growth disorders, genetic or chromosomal abnormalities, and illnesses requiring resuscitation were not recruited into the study.

Data collection. Each patient’s age and sex were recorded. Before any measurements, the mother was asked to report the child’s weight. The patient was then measured on a scale (HA-011, Tanita, Tokyo, Japan), wearing minimal clothing and without shoes. Children who could not stand were measured in the supine position (BD-715, Tanita). Height was measured to the nearest 0.1cm and weight to the nearest 0.1kg. Scales were calibrated before commencement of the study and at its conclusion.

After these data were obtained, the patients’ age was used to estimate their weight by the weight estimation methods (Table 1) of the APLS formula [7] and Park’s formula [8]. Subsequently, true height measurements were cross-referenced to Broselow tape markings (version 2007 edition B; Armstrong Medical Industries, Inc., Lincolnshire, IL, USA) to derive an estimated weight based on the Broselow tape [9].

The sample size was determined based on Bland’s recommendation that a sample size of 100 subjects is adequate, and a sample size of 200 subjects is better, to accurately estimate the limits of agreement (LOA) between 2 methods of measurements [10]. Multiple studies comparing 2 methods of measurement have used this recommendation [10–12].

Outcomes. The primary outcome of this study was to evaluate the accuracy of mothers’ reports of their children’s weight in the setting of an outpatient clinic in Japan. The secondary outcome was to identify useful alternatives for measuring the weight of Japanese children among various conventional tools, as described above.

Data analysis. Data analysis was performed using Prism 6.0 software (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA) and Excel for Mac 2011 (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA, USA).

The accuracy and precision of the estimation methods were evaluated using the Bland–Altman method and the proportions of the estimates within 10% and 20% of the true weight (ρ10 and ρ20, respectively). Bland–Altman plots were used to determine the bias and 95% LOA. The bias indicates the mean weight difference between the true and estimated weights. Positive bias indicates underestimation of the weight on average, while negative bias indicates overestimation of the weight on average. The 95% LOA indicates the range in which 95% of the differences between the true and estimated weights will fall [13].

Bland–Altman plots were generated with Prism 6.0 to visually assess the agreement with the measured weight for each estimation method. Ideally, the bias should be zero and the width of the 95% LOA should be as short as possible, as represented by a narrow band around the mean when plotted. A more accurate and precise weight estimation method will also have a larger ρ10 [9].

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Formula</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>APLS†</td>
<td>1–10 years (age + 4) × 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;12 months</td>
<td>(age in month) + 9/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–4 years</td>
<td>(2 × age) + 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5–14 years</td>
<td>(4 × age) − 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Advanced Pediatric Life Support.
measured and estimated weight is plotted against the average weight. The Bland–Altman plot for the mothers’ reports was visually the best of all of the graphs. This plot showed that for the mothers’ reports the bias was closest to zero and had the shortest 95% LOA. The Bland–Altman plot for the Broselow tape was visually the second most reliable, with a bias that was the second closest to zero and the second shortest 95% LOA. The other plots, which were based on age-based formulae, had larger biases with a wider range of 95% LOA.

Table 2 shows the proportion of estimated weights within 10% (ρ10) and 20% (ρ20) of the measured weight for each method. The mothers’ reports were the most optimal, followed by the Broselow tape, the APLS formula, and Park’s formula.

Table 3 shows the performance of the weight esti-
### Discussion

We found that the mothers’ reports were the most accurate for estimating the weight of pediatric patients. This study showed the validity of mothers’ reports of children’s weight in Japan for the first time. Further, in the present study, the accuracy of the mothers’ reports was higher, with a 94.9% accuracy to within 10% of the measured weight, compared with previous studies outside of Japan [1–3]. Easier access to medical facilities, close, regular, medical check systems for children, and a high prevalence of weight scales in homes, accompanied by the current widespread interest in physical fitness might be the reasons for this excellent accuracy.

Our study showed that the Broselow tape should be the second choice when the measured weight is unavailable. Many studies in the setting of the emergency department have shown that the Broselow tape performs better than age-based formulae [9]. The advantage of the Broselow tape over age-based formulae is also accepted in Japan. Based on this result, we recommend that the Broselow tape should be ready for use in pediatric clinics or ambulances, although further studies in multiple facilities are warranted to evaluate the usability of the Broselow tape for Japanese children.

The current study included the evaluation of age-based formulae. We used the APLS formula because many previous studies, most of which were performed in Western countries, have used this formula. Some studies have shown that the accuracy of the APLS formula is poor [2, 14, 15]. Therefore, new formulae have been created [8, 16]. The current study, however, showed a relatively good performance of the APLS formula in the Japanese population. Moreover, the estimation of weight with the APLS formula is better than those of other age-based formulae, such as the Leffler formula (data not shown). The ethnicity of the subjects might be the reason for this difference between studies. The performance of each weight

### Table 3: Performance of weight estimation methods by age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Parental report</th>
<th>Broselow tape</th>
<th>APLS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bias (SD)</td>
<td>Bias (SD)</td>
<td>Bias (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.02 (0.57)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.54)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.01 (0.56)</td>
<td>-0.01 (0.54)</td>
<td>-0.01 (0.56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.03 (0.54)</td>
<td>0.03 (0.54)</td>
<td>0.03 (0.54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.04 (0.53)</td>
<td>0.04 (0.53)</td>
<td>0.04 (0.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.05 (0.52)</td>
<td>0.05 (0.52)</td>
<td>0.05 (0.52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.06 (0.51)</td>
<td>0.06 (0.51)</td>
<td>0.06 (0.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.07 (0.50)</td>
<td>0.07 (0.50)</td>
<td>0.07 (0.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.08 (0.49)</td>
<td>0.08 (0.49)</td>
<td>0.08 (0.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.09 (0.48)</td>
<td>0.09 (0.48)</td>
<td>0.09 (0.48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.10 (0.47)</td>
<td>0.10 (0.47)</td>
<td>0.10 (0.47)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3**: Performance of weight estimation methods by age. While the mothers’ reports maintained their accuracy across the age range, the performance of the other methods, especially the performance of the age-based formulae, decreased with increasing age, particularly with children older than 7 years of age.
estimation formula that was created based on a Western population must be evaluated when it is adapted for Japanese children. Therefore, we used Park’s formula in our study. This formula was created based on the Korean pediatric population [8], which should be ethnically close to the Japanese population. However, the accuracy of Park’s formula was lower than that of the APLS formula, in contrast with our expectations. Park’s formula tends to overestimate the weights of Japanese children. The reasons for this finding were not explored in our study.

For the APLS formula, Park’s formula, and the Broselow tape, there was a general decreasing trend in the proportion of estimates that were accurate to within 10% as the age of the child increased in our study. This same tendency was found and discussed in other previous studies of weight estimation [9, 17]. This tendency may be due to body habitus. Further elucidation of these trends is required in order to adapt these methods to school-age children in Japan.

This study has several limitations that must be considered when interpreting the results. First, our data were collected from mothers in a general outpatient clinic, under conditions that were not very stressful. Under stressful conditions (e.g., if the children are critically unwell), the mothers may not be as capable. Second, the Broselow tape itself was not directly used on children in this study. Third, the number of patients was too small to draw a strong conclusion about the differences in the performance of the weight estimation methods by age. Fourth, the validity of reports by fathers or grandparents needs to be assessed in future studies. Finally, this study was performed in a single outpatient clinic in a sparsely populated area in Japan. We are currently preparing for our next study on weight estimation methods that will involve a larger number of patients and multiple pediatric emergency departments in different areas in Japan.

In conclusion, the use of the mother’s report of a child’s weight appears to be appropriate in the setting of an outpatient clinic when the child cannot be weighed. When the child’s weight cannot be estimated, the Broselow tape is the second choice. When an aged-based formula is the only option, the APLS formula is preferred, although its adaptation to school-age children may have a risk of low accuracy.
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