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Shift Work and Diabetes Mellitus among Male Workers in Japan: Does the Intensity of Shift Work Matter?
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The purpose of this study was to examine the association between shift work and diabetes mellitus by separating shift workers according to the intensity of their shift work (seasonal shift work and continuous shift work). Between May and October 2009, we collected data from annual health checkups and questionnaires at a manufacturing company in Shizuoka, Japan. Questionnaires were returned by 1,601 workers (response rate: 96.2%, men/women = 1,314/287). Diabetes mellitus was defined as hemoglobin A1c ≥ 6.5% and fasting blood sugar ≥ 126 mg/dl. After exclusions, which included all the women and clerical workers because they did not work in shifts, we analyzed 475 skilled male workers. After adjusting for age, smoking status, frequency of alcohol consumption, and cohabitation status, odds ratios for diabetes mellitus were 0.98 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.28–4.81) and 2.10 (95% CI: 0.77–5.71) among seasonal shift workers and continuous shift workers, respectively, compared with non-shift workers. In an age-stratified analysis (< 45 years vs. ≥ 45 years), the association between continuous shift work and diabetes mellitus was more pronounced among older participants. Compared with non-shift workers, the risk of diabetes mellitus was increased among continuous shift workers, whereas its effect is limited among seasonal shift workers.
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Despite the global spread of shift work, there is a growing concern over its adverse health effects, including the negative effects of accumulated fatigue on immune function, particularly from being engaged...
in long-term continuous shift work [1, 2]. Moreover, shift work has been shown to be a risk factor for various chronic diseases [3–5] including cardiovascular disease [6, 7] as well as for malignant tumors such as breast cancer [8, 9] and prostate cancer [10]. Indeed, the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) recognizes the association between shift work and breast cancer in women. According to the Carcinogenicity Criteria of the IARC, shift work involving disturbed circadian rhythms is assigned to Group 2A, which implies that it is probably carcinogenic to humans [http://monographs.iarc.fr/ENG/Monographs/vol98/mono98-8.pdf (International Agency for Research on Cancer, 2010) accessed October 16, 2012].

Although genetic factors often contribute to the onset of diabetes mellitus, the work environment, such as shift work employment, is also known to play an important role in the onset of diabetes mellitus [4, 11, 12]. In line with this, several studies have also reported that shift work is associated with an increased risk of obesity [13, 14] and metabolic syndrome [15, 16]. However, there are some issues to be addressed. First, most of those studies examined the effect of shift work on diabetes mellitus without taking into account the type of occupation (e.g., “white collar” work vs. “blue collar” work). For example, in a recent study from Japan, Oyama et al. [17] examined the association between shift work and impaired glucose tolerance in men. Although the results of this study suggested a significant increase in the risk of impaired glucose tolerance among shift workers, their analysis was based on a comparison between shift workers and non-shift workers without taking into account the types of occupation. Considering the mounting evidence that manual work is associated with worse health-related behaviors in Japan [18, 19], further studies are warranted to solely examine the association between shift work and diabetes mellitus taking into account health disparities across occupations [20, 21].

Second, although 2 previous studies from Japan examined the effects of the pattern of shift work (i.e., 2- or 3-shift work) [17, 22], no studies have investigated the differential effects (if any) of the intensity of the shift work (e.g., regular vs. seasonal shift work) [23]. Even in a recent large study of female nurses in the U.S. [24], differences in working conditions in hospitals were not addressed, and the differential effects of the intensity of shift work were not examined. Given the diversified patterns of shift work in modern society, there is interest in examining the differential health effects of various domains of shift work [4, 23].

Accordingly, in the present study we sought to examine the association between shift work and diabetes mellitus among male skilled workers using data from a large manufacturing company in Japan. We attempted to investigate the possible differential effects of shift work by separating shift workers according to the intensity of their shift work (i.e., seasonal shift work and continuous shift work).

Materials and Methods

Study design and participants. The study subjects were skilled workers aged 19 to 70 years employed at a large manufacturing company in Shizuoka Prefecture, Japan. In late May 2009, we collected data from a questionnaire that included items on sociodemographic factors, working conditions, and health-related behaviors. We also collected the health-outcome data from the workers’ annual health checkup between May and October 2009. Fig. 1 is a flow diagram describing how the participants were selected for/excluded from the present study. Of the 1,664 workers, 1,601 returned the questionnaire (response rate: 96.2%, 1,314 men and 287 women), and we excluded all of the women respondents because the proportion of the women engaged in shift work was considerably low (i.e., 0.9%). Of the remaining 1,314 men, we excluded subjects who could not be linked to their annual health checkup data for administrative reasons (e.g., unavailability of electronic data of health checkup) (n = 360). Subsequently, we excluded clerical workers, all of whom were non-shift workers, from the analysis to solely examine the effect of shift work by increasing the comparability between non-shift workers and shift workers. The employees who worked more than 10 h a day were also excluded from the analysis in reference to a previous study, which showed a 3.7 times higher risk for diabetes mellitus in those who worked overtime more than 50 h a month (or 10 h a day on average), compared to those who worked 0–25 h a month [25]. The final population for analysis was 475 male skilled workers.
The study was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee on the Research of Epidemiology at Okayama University Graduate School of Medicine, Dentistry and Pharmaceutical Sciences.

**Measurements.** Shift work at the time of the study was assessed by questionnaire. The schedule for skilled workers at this company consisted mainly of the following 3 working patterns: (1) non-shift work (only day shift), (2) continuous shift work, and (3) seasonal shift work. Non-shift workers were required to work on the 5-weekdays (8:00–16:45 including a 1-h break; 7 h and 45 min of actual working hours per day) and take 2 days off on weekends. Continuous shift workers are divided into 2 groups and work on a rotating 14-day cycle. The cycle consists of a pattern in which the employees work 5-weekday day shifts (8:00–16:45 including a 1-h break; 7 h and 45 min of actual working hours per day) and take 2 days off on the weekend and then work 5-weekday night shifts (21:15–6:00 including a 1-h break; 7 h and 45 min of actual working hours per day) and take 2 days off on the weekend. Seasonal shift workers work in the same pattern as the continuous shift employees during the busy season, and work in the same pattern as the non-shift workers during off-peak times. The busy season varies depending on the sales situation but is a consecutive period mainly from spring to summer and lasts, on average, about 5 months. Average working hours were ascertained from the following question on the questionnaire: “How many hours did you work per day for the past month on average?”

As a primary health outcome, based on the blood test data from the workers’ annual health checkup, we defined diabetes mellitus as hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) ≥ 6.5% (converted to the international standard) and fasting blood sugar (FBS) ≥ 126 mg/dl, which is one of the diagnostic criteria for diabetes mellitus [26]. In accordance with the recommendation from the Japanese Diabetes Society [27], the HbA1c values were converted to the international standard using the following formula: National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program (%) = 1.02 × Japan Diabetes Society (%) + 0.25%. In addition, to enhance the comparability with some previous studies, we also used the following 2 definitions as supplementary health outcomes: (1) HbA1c ≥ 6.5% (converted to the international standard), and (2) FBS ≥ 126 mg/dl. To minimize the possibility of misclassification, all employees were required to observe an overnight fast after 21:00 on the previous day, and the annual health checkup was carried out during the morning.

With reference to a previous study [12], we considered the following variables as potential confounders: age (continuous), cohabitation status (cohabitating vs. non-cohabitating), smoking status (current vs. never/former), and frequency of alcohol consumption. The frequency of alcohol consumption was categorized into the following 3 groups: none/rarely, sometimes (1–3 days a month/1–3 days a week), and often (4–6 days a week/daily). We also examined the prevalence of hypertension and proteinuria. We defined hyperten-
sion as systolic blood pressure ≥ 140 mmHg or dia-
stolic blood pressure ≥ 90 mmHg, which is diagnostic
criteria for grade I hypertension [28]. Proteinuria
categories were defined as No (−/±) and Yes (+/2+/
/3+); these are diagnostic criteria for positive pro-
teinuria [http://www.jsn.or.jp/guideline/pdf/
CKDguide2012.pdf (Clinical Practice Guidebook for
Diagnosis and Treatment of Chronic Kidney Disease
October 16, 2012]. Although the information about
body mass index (BMI) and sleeping hours were
obtained, we decided a priori not to adjust for these
variables as confounders because they could act as
mediators between shift work and diabetes mellitus,
being affected by disturbed circadian rhythms or
working hours [29].

**Statistical analysis.** We first examined the
prevalence of diabetes mellitus and other sociodemo-
graphic characteristics by the different intensity of
shift work. Then, we examined the association between
shift work and diabetes mellitus by using a crude
logistic regression model (Model 1). Subsequently, we
adjusted for age (continuous) as a covariate (Model 2),
and finally, we additionally adjusted for smoking sta-
tus, frequency of alcohol consumption, and cohabita-
tion status as covariates (Model 3). To further evalu-
ate the effect of the duration of shift work on diabetes
mellitus, we conducted an age-stratified analysis by
splitting the group of subjects roughly in half (i.e., <
45 years vs. ≥ 45 years). Throughout the analysis, we
used the non-shift workers as a reference. We did not
adjust for working hours as a covariate due to a sig-
nificant correlation with shift work (p < 0.001,
Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test).

Odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals
(CIs) for each health outcome were calculated, and
p-values < 0.05 (two-sided test) were considered sta-
astically significant. All analyses were performed
using STATA/SE 11.1 (StataCorp, College Station,
TX, USA).

**Results**

Table 1 shows the sociodemographic characteris-
tics of the subjects based on the intensity of their shift
work. Of the 475 subjects analyzed, 252 were
engaged in non-shift work, 67 in seasonal shift work,
and 156 in continuous shift work. Working hours
among continuous shift workers were longer, com-
pared with the non-shift workers and seasonal shift
workers.

Table 2 lists the ORs and 95% CIs for diabetes
mellitus and related health outcomes. Of all 475 sub-
jects, 21 (4.4%) met the criteria for diabetes melli-
tus. The crude ORs for diabetes mellitus among the
67 seasonal shift workers and the 156 continuous shift
workers were 0.74 (95% CI: 0.16–3.48) and 1.48
(95% CI: 0.59–3.73), respectively (Model 1). When
we adjusted for age in Model 2, the OR for diabetes
mellitus among the continuous shift workers increased.
In Model 3, the point estimate of OR among the sea-
sonal shift workers was close to 1.0, although its
precision was low (OR: 0.98, 95% CI: 0.20–4.81). In
contrast, the OR among the continuous shift workers
was 2.10 (95% CI: 0.77–5.71). When we additionally
adjusted for BMI, the OR among the seasonal shift
workers was 1.18, (95% CI: 0.23–6.00), whereas the
OR among the continuous shift workers was 1.93
(95% CI: 0.68–5.46). When only HbA1c or FBS was
used to define the health outcome, similar patterns
were observed, with the exception of relatively lower
point estimates of ORs among the continuous shift
workers when the outcome was defined as HbA1c ≥
6.5%. In addition, when the 20 subjects who worked
more than 10 h a day were included in the analysis, we
observed no substantial changes (data not shown).

Table 3 shows the results of the age-stratified
analysis. The association between continuous shift
work and diabetes mellitus was more pronounced
among the 221 older subjects—the point estimates
of ORs were 1.91 and 2.24 among the younger and older
continuous shift workers, respectively. The pattern
was reversed for the seasonal shift workers—the
point estimates of ORs were 2.24 and 0.61 among the
younger and older subjects, respectively. However,
the precision of these estimates is considerably low.

**Discussion**

To our knowledge, this is the first study to inves-
tigate the association between shift work and diabetes
mellitus by dividing the shift workers according to the
intensity of their shift work (i.e., seasonal shift work
and continuous shift work). We sought to examine the
association by targeting all skilled male workers in a
large manufacturing company in Japan, which meant
Table 1  Characteristics of the study subjects by shift work among men in Japan, 2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Non-shift workers</th>
<th>Shift workers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n = 252)</td>
<td>Seasonal (n = 67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years; mean, SD)</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>11.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 25kg/m²</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>73.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 25kg/m²</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>26.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working hours per day (mean, SD)</td>
<td>8.05</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohabitation status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohabiting</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>81.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-cohabiting</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never/Former</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>44.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>55.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of alcohol consumption</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None/Rarely</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>35.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sometimes</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Often</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>41.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension³</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>87.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteinuria³</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>94.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD, standard deviation.
³The frequency of alcohol consumption categories were: none/rarely; sometimes (1–3 days a month / 1–3 days a week); and often (4–6 days a week/daily).
³Hypertension was defined as systolic blood pressure ≥ 140mmHg or diastolic blood pressure ≥ 90mmHg.
²Proteinuria categories were defined as No (−/±) and Yes (+/2+/3+).

Table 2  Odds ratios for diabetes mellitus associated with shift work among men in Japan, 2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Non-case</th>
<th>Model 1 OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Model 2 OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Model 3 OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus⁴</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-shift workers</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seasonal shift workers</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0.74 (0.16-3.48)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.17-3.81)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.20-4.81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous shift workers</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>1.48 (0.59-3.73)</td>
<td>1.87 (0.72-4.85)</td>
<td>2.10 (0.77-5.71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c ≥ 6.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-shift workers</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seasonal shift workers</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>0.69 (0.20-2.44)</td>
<td>0.75 (0.21-2.71)</td>
<td>0.92 (0.25-3.43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous shift workers</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>0.90 (0.39-2.10)</td>
<td>1.16 (0.49-2.77)</td>
<td>1.32 (0.53-3.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBS ≥ 126mg/dl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-shift workers</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seasonal shift workers</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>0.69 (0.20-2.44)</td>
<td>0.75 (0.21-2.70)</td>
<td>0.79 (0.22-2.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous shift workers</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>1.57 (0.75-3.27)</td>
<td>2.07 (0.96-4.46)</td>
<td>2.10 (0.95-4.66)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c; FBS, fasting blood sugar.
Model 1: Crude model. Model 2: Adjusted for age (continuous). Model 3: Adjusted for age (continuous), smoking status, frequency of alcohol consumption, and cohabitation status.
⁴Diabetes mellitus was defined as hemoglobin A1c ≥ 6.5% (converted to the international standard) and fasting blood sugar ≥ 126mg/dl.
that the working environment and conditions other than the shift work were almost the same for all subjects and thus suitable for a more valid evaluation of the health effects of shift work.

Unlike previous studies [17, 25, 30], we attempted to eliminate the influence of confounding factors influenced by the subjects’ type of occupation by restricting our analysis to skilled workers from the same company. Our present findings suggest that, compared to the non-shift workers, the continuous shift workers had approximately double the odds of diabetes mellitus. In contrast, no clear association was observed between seasonal shift work and diabetes mellitus. This notable discrepancy between the different intensities of shift work had not been addressed in earlier studies. In our age-stratified analysis, the association between continuous shift work and diabetes mellitus was more pronounced among the subjects aged $\geq$ 45 years, which may indicate that the adverse health effects of continuous shift work become increasingly accentuated in long-term shift work.

We surmise that the marked discrepancies between the different intensities of shift work can be interpreted within the context of a potential biological model underlying the association between shift work and diabetes mellitus. Kivimäki et al. [29] proposed a potential model in which circadian rhythms may be disturbed by long-term continuous shift work, possibly leading to type 2 diabetes mellitus due to insulin resistance and weight gain, as well as negatively affect sleep [31], exercise [32, 33], dietary habits [34], and stress [35]. For example, the difference in work-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3</th>
<th>Odds ratios for diabetes mellitus associated with shift work among men stratified by age in Japan, 2009</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Case</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Younger than 45 (n = 254)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus$^a$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-shift workers</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seasonal shift workers</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous shift workers</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hba1c $\geq$ 6.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-shift workers</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seasonal shift workers</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous shift workers</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBS $\geq$ 126mg/dl</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-shift workers</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seasonal shift workers</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous shift workers</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 or older (n = 221)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus$^a$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-shift workers</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seasonal shift workers</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous shift workers</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hba1c $\geq$ 6.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-shift workers</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seasonal shift workers</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous shift workers</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBS $\geq$ 126mg/dl</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-shift workers</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seasonal shift workers</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous shift workers</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval; Hba1c: hemoglobin A1c; FBS: fasting blood sugar.

Model 1: Crude model. Model 2: Adjusted for age (continuous). Model 3: Adjusted for age (continuous), smoking status, frequency of alcohol consumption, and habitation status.

$^a$Diabetes mellitus was defined as hemoglobin A1c $\geq$ 6.5% (converted to the international standard) and fasting blood sugar $\geq$ 126mg/dl.
ing styles might affect various dietary habits, including total calories eaten, the types of food eaten, food style such as fast food, the duration of each mealtime, and the frequency of taking snacks. It is likely that these adverse health effects of shift work appear once workers are engaged in shift work over a prolonged period (i.e., continuous shift workers) rather than for a limited duration (i.e., seasonal shift workers).

Indeed, it is likely that seasonal shift workers have sufficient recovery time that would afford them the opportunity to tackle the adverse health effects of their shift work. Furthermore, in the present study, the association between continuous shift work and diabetes mellitus was more pronounced among the older subjects (aged ≥ 45 years). This finding is consistent with previous studies regarding female nurses in the U.S.; Kroenke et al. [36] reported that shift workers who worked for ≥ 10 years had a significantly higher risk of developing type 2 diabetes mellitus in a cohort study, and Pan et al. [24] reported that the risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus increased gradually depending on the number of years of continuous shift work by using the data from the Nurses’ Health Study (NHS) I (1988–2008) and NHS II (1989–2007). Thus, the findings of the present study further confirm the risk of diabetes mellitus in Japanese men doing shift work.

In this study, we tested both HbA1c and FBS in blood to assess the health outcomes of interest to minimize the possibility of misclassification. Indeed, the combination of these 2 tests would also be clinically relevant, since it could be used to efficiently identify the individuals who are most likely to develop diabetes and to allow for early intervention [37].

Previous studies have used only either HbA1c [17, 22] or FBS [38] to define diabetes mellitus. Additionally, despite concern regarding low sensitivity [39], diabetes mellitus was defined based on a self-reported questionnaire in the 2 previous studies from the U.S. [24, 36]. Future studies should assess diabetes mellitus by testing both HbA1c and FBS. In addition, given that the clinical importance and severity of diabetes mellitus depend on the presence of its complications such as nephropathy, retinopathy, angiopathy, and neuropathy, further studies are warranted to examine the health effects of shift work by taking into account these complications.

The present study had some limitations. First, there is a possibility of selection bias caused by excluding the subjects who could not be linked to annual health checkup data. This exclusion predominantly occurred due to the unavailability of electronic data of health checkup of workers who had completed medical checkups in different hospitals on different occasions, instead of their annual health checkup. The proportions of those who had an annual health checkup varied across the groups: 68.7% for the non-shift workers, 77.0% for the seasonal shift workers, and 78.0% for the continuous shift workers. Since the likelihood of getting an annual health checkup may be influenced by employees’ shift work as well as the presence of diabetes mellitus, the selection bias could be a limitation. However, even under this situation, the ORs are not biased when shift work and diabetes mellitus are noninteracting (i.e., the effect of shift work on receiving an annual health checkup is independent of the effect of diabetes mellitus on receiving an annual health checkup) [40, 41].

Second, although we used the results of blood tests to define the health outcomes, information about the subjects’ use of medication was unavailable. Therefore, there is a possibility that those who were treated showed good test results. This misclassification, however, would be non-differential, which may have resulted in an underestimation of the present findings.

Third, because shift work was assessed only at the time of this study, the results do not necessarily represent the history of shift work. In particular, there is a possibility that some subjects did not engage in shift work for health reasons and that others may have left continuous shift work due to health problems. Consequently, the present findings may be underestimated due to this reverse causation.

Fourth, information about dietary habits, physical activity, and job stress was not available. Since these factors potentially mediate the association between shift work and diabetes mellitus [29], a rigorous assessment of their roles would enhance our understanding of this cause-effect relationship [42–44]. Finally, we examined only 1 company, and the low statistical power resulting from the relatively small sample size is another limitation.

The findings of the present study demonstrate the importance of considering the intensity of shift work when examining its possible adverse health effects. Our data suggest that the risk of diabetes mellitus is
increased among continuous shift workers compared to non-shift workers. However, the seasonal workers did not show an increased risk of diabetes mellitus. The present findings may be useful in exploring the mechanism by which diabetes mellitus is induced by shift work. In future studies examining the health effects of shift work (including effects on cancer risk), it would be of significant importance to assess various domains of shift work (e.g., direction of rotation, rate of rotation, etc.) [23], which would further increase our understanding of the possible health effects among those who are engaged in shift work for decades.
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