Significant association of dupA of Helicobacter pylori with duodenal ulcer development in South East Indian Population
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Abstract

A novel virulence factor, duodenal ulcer promoting gene A (dupA) in Helicobacter pylori has been found to be associated with disease in certain population but not in others. The debate of relevance of dupA for the prediction of clinical outcome has prompted us to take this study in South East Indian population. A total of 140 H. pylori strains isolated from duodenal ulcer (DU) [n=83] and non-ulcer dyspepsia (NUD) subjects (n=57) were screened by PCR and Dot-Blot to determine the presence of jhp0917 and jhp0918. Part of jhp0917-0918 was sequenced to search for the C/T insertion that characterizes dupA and was also tested for dupA transcript. PCR and Dot-Blot results indicated presence of jhp0917-0918 in 37.3% (31/83) and 12.2% (7/57) of H. pylori strains isolated from DU and NUD, respectively. Sequencing analysis showed an insertion of ‘C’ at position 1386 in 3’region of jhp0917 forming dupA gene in 35 strains. RT-PCR analysis detected dupA transcript in 28 out of 35 strains. Expression level of dupA transcript varies from strain to strain as shown by Real Time PCR. Our study demonstrated that only PCR based analysis for dupA may furnish erroneous interpretation. Prevalence of dupA was significantly greater among strains isolated from patients with DU than NUD (P=0.001, OR=4.26, CI=1.60-11.74) in this population. Based on our finding, dupA can be considered as one of the biomarkers for DU patients in India. The reported discrepancy for this putative virulence-marker in different populations may be due to the genome plasticity of H. pylori.
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Introduction

Helicobacter pylori is a gram-negative, spiral pathogen that infects more than 50% of the world's population (Brown et al., 2000). Infection with H. pylori plays an important role in development of peptic ulcer disease, distal gastric carcinoma and gastric mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma (Megraud & Lamouliatte, 1992; Parsonnet et al., 1991; Wotherspoon et al., 1991). In India, around 65-70% populations are infected with the H. pylori (Graham et al., 1991; Singh et al., 2002). Overall, 15-20% of infected patients develop gastric or duodenal ulcer (DU) and less than 1% develop gastric adenocarcinoma. H. pylori infection is more prevalent in developing countries, and its incidence is decreasing in western countries (Czinn et al., 2005). The decisive factor(s) of H. pylori-mediated infection is still unclear. However, involvement of several virulence factors of the bacteria, host genetics and environmental influences are believed to determine the outcome of the infection. Among the host factors, pro-inflammatory cytokine gene polymorphisms have been associated with DU and gastric carcinoma (GC) (El-Omar et al., 2000; Machado et al., 2001; Rocha et al., 2005).

Several bacterial virulence genes such as vacA, cagA, babA and oipA of H. pylori have been investigated to understand their association with gastroduodenal diseases (Covacci et al., 1993; Atherton et al., 1995; Yamoka et al., 1999, 2000, 2002; Argent et al., 2004). One possible problem that has complicated identification of definite disease-specific H. pylori virulence factors is the considerable geographic diversity in the prevalence of H. pylori virulence factors. Cytotoxin-associated gene (cagA) was the first reported gene that varies in H. pylori strains and considered as a marker for the presence of the cag Pathogenecity Island (cag-PAI), which include a number of other genes associated with
increased virulence (Broutet et al., 2001; Cenini et al., 1996; Rahman et al., 2003).

However, none of the above mentioned virulence factors have exhibited any discriminating roles in the development of peptic ulcer versus GC. In addition to the cag-PAI, comparison of whole genome of two unrelated H. pylori (J99 and 26695) (Alm et al., 1999; Tomb et al., 1997), indicated presence of a hypervariable region called ‘plasticity zone’ with low G+C content along with strain specific open reading frames (ORFs). This plasticity region is 45 kb long, continuous in strain J99 and 68 kb discontinuous in strain 26695. As compared to 38 ORFs of the plasticity zone (jhp0914-jhp0951) in strain J99, 33 were absent in strain 26695 (Yamaoka., 2008; Pacheco et al., 2008; Yakoob et al., 2010; Kersulyte et al., 2003; Occhialini et al., 2000). Recently, a novel duodenal ulcer promoting gene (dupA) was described, which consists of two ORFs jhp0917 and jhp0918 and form one continuous gene by the insertion of a base T or C after the position 1385 of the jhp0917 in the 3’ region (Lu et al., 2005). This gene (homologues to virB4) is located in the plasticity region and is associated with increased risk of DU and protective against gastric atrophy, intestinal metaplasia and gastric carcinoma in Japan and Korea (Lu et al., 2005).

However, the role of dupA as a virulence marker is still controversial. Some researchers have supported the interpretations of Lu et al. (2005) but others did not find any association. Hussein et al. (2008) have reported that dupA gene is associated with peptic ulcer but they did not find any negative association with GC in Iraqi population. In Chinese and north Indian populations significant association of dupA with DU was established (Zhang et al., 2008; Arachchi et al., 2007). In contrast, Argent et al. (2007) showed no association of dupA gene with DU in population from Belgium, South Africa, China and the United States. Douraghi et al. (2008) showed no association of dupA gene with any clinical
outcome in Iranian population. Schmidt et al. (2009) identified no consistent association between dupA and DU or GC across the Swedish, Australian and ethnic Chinese, Indian and Malaysian population residing in Singapore and Malaysia. Meta-analysis based study by Shiota et al. (2010) has shown that the presence of dupA gene was significantly associated with DU. Another systematic review confirmed that dupA was associated with gastroduodenal diseases (Hussein, 2010).

There are also indications of significant geographic differences among strains. Indian H. pylori strains are genetically distinct than East Asian and Western strains (Mukhopadhyay et al., 2000). Moreover, our recent study showed that presence of strains with intact cag Pathogenicity Island was found more frequently in Kolkata than in Southern India indicating regional variation in the H. pylori gene pools (Patra et al., 2011). These considerations and our interest in the dynamics of genetic traits associated with H. pylori infection and disease association motivated us to conduct the present study for investigating the prevalence of dupA gene of H. pylori in duodenal ulcer and NUD patients isolated from South East Indian population and also to find out the association of dupA with the clinical outcome in a different setting.

Materials and Methods

Collection of Biopsy samples:
A total of 221 adult subjects of both genders (aged between 20 and 65 years) with upper gastrointestinal disorder underwent endoscopy at the hospital of the Institute of Post Graduate Medical Education and Research, Kolkata, and St. John's Medical College Hospital, Bangalore, India during the year 2006 to 2008. A detailed patient’s history was taken, and a physical examination of each subject was carried out prior to endoscopy. The objective of the
study was explained to every individual and the informed consents were obtained from each individual under protocols approved by the ethical committees of the respective institutes based on the Helsinki Declaration. During endoscopy, two biopsies, one from antrum and the other from corpus of the stomach, were obtained from each subject. Biopsies taken in 0.6 ml of Brucella broth (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, MI) with 15% glycerol were transported to the laboratory in ice-cold condition and were stored at -70°C until culture.

**H. pylori Culture:**

In the laboratory, Brucella broth containing the specimen was vortexed for 2 min and 200 µl of the mixture was streaked on Petri plates containing brain heart infusion (BHI) agar (Difco Laboratories) supplemented with 7% sheep blood, 0.4% IsoVitaleX, amphotricin B (8µg/ml) (Sigma Chemicals Co., St. Louis, MO), trimethoprim (5µg/ml), vancomycin (6µg/ml) (Sigma Chemicals) and Nalidixic acid (8µg/ml) (all from Sigma). Plates were incubated for 3 days at 37°C in a double gas incubator (Heraeus Instrument, Germany) which maintains an atmosphere of 5% O₂, 10% CO₂ and 85% N₂. The *H. pylori* colonies were identified by their typical colony morphology, appearance on Gram staining and positive reactions in urease, catalase and oxidase tests along with the urease PCR. Bacteria were sub cultured at 37°C on the above medium and under the same microaerophilic condition.

**Extraction of genomic DNA:**

Cells were harvested from the culture plates and washed with phosphate-buffer saline (pH 8.0) followed by centrifugation at 3000rpm for 1min. The pelleted cells were resuspended in 540µl of TE buffer (10mM Tris-HCL, 1mM EDTA), 60µl of 10% Sodium dodecylsulfate (SDS) (Sigma) and 9µl of Proteinase K (20mg/ml) (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), mixture was incubated at 50°C for 1 hour followed by addition of 100µl of 5M NaCl,
80 µl of 10% CTAB solution and then again incubated at 65°C for 10 minutes. The DNA was extracted according to the standard phenol-chloroform-method (Ausubel et al., 1993).

**PCR amplification:**

PCR amplification was performed in a final volume of 20 µl containing template DNA (2-20 ng), 2 µl of 10x Buffer (Roche, Germany), 2.5 mM dNTPs (Roche) and 10 pmol of corresponding primers in the presence of 1U of Taq DNA Polymerase (Catalog no. 11435094001, Roche). The cycling program has the following condition: initial denaturation at 95°C for 3 min followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 1 min, annealing at 55°C for 1 min, extension at 72°C for 1 min, and final extension at 72°C for 7 min. Genomic DNA from the strain J99 and 26695 were included as positive and negative control respectively. The PCR products were analyzed by 1.5% agarose gels (containing 0.5 µg of ethidium bromide per ml) in 1X TAE buffer. Gels were scanned under UV light and analyzed with Quantity One software (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). The size of product was confirmed by using DNA molecular size standard.

**Dot-Blot Hybridization:**

Dot-Blot was performed using the DNA extracted from all the strains to avoid false-negative results in PCR assay due to variation in the primer annealing sites. About 50 ng of purified DNA in 2 µl volume was spotted individually onto the Hybond N+ membrane (Amersham Biosciences, U.K). The membrane was gently placed on 2 ml of denaturation solution for 5 min; enough care was taken to avoid drowning of the membrane into the solution. The membrane was subsequently treated with 2 ml of neutralization solution for 5
min. Following neutralization, the membrane was air dried, and UV-cross linked (Bio-Rad).

Parts of *jhp0917* and *jhp0918* were amplified by PCR using the primer sets *Jhp0917F/jhp0917R* and *jhp0918F/jhp0918R* respectively. (Table 1). The amplified fragments were purified with, QIAquick PCR Purification kit (QIAGEN, Germany) and used as a probe. The probes were labeled with alkaline phosphatase using the Gene image Alkphos Direct Labelling and Detection System (Amersham Biosciences). The membrane was then used for hybridization with DNA probes at 55°C overnight and then washed with primary wash buffer having pH 7 and Magnesium salt 1 mM two times at 55°C for 10 mins each. Hybridization Blot was again washed with secondary wash buffer having pH 10 with 2 mM Magnesium salt twice at room temperature for 5 mins each and then finally developed. Based on the signal intensity as detected for positive and negative controls, presence or absence of genes in the test strains was assigned.

**Nucleotide sequencing:**

The intergenic region between *jhp0917* and *jhp0918* of 38 strains was amplified using four sets of primers: *DupAsetIF/DupAsetIR; DupAsetIIF/DupAsetIR; DupAsetIIF/918R* and *DupAsetIF/DupAR* (Table 1). The amplified products were purified using the QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (QIAGEN). The purified PCR product was quantified on 1% agarose Gel. The intensity of the band compared with *λ* Hind III digest. The PCR purified products were sequenced with a Big Dye Terminator v3.1 cycle sequencing kit on an ABI PRISM 3100 genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystem, USA). The sequences obtained in this study were deposited in GenBank under accession numbers: JN379045-JN379050.
Gene expression assay by RT-PCR

Total RNA of *H. pylori* was isolated with TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol and treated with DNase I (Ambion, USA) to remove DNA contamination. The absence of DNA contamination was checked by PCR with primer set ureBF/ureBR (Table 1) and quantified by measuring the absorbance at 260nm. 2µg of total RNA was reverse transcribed into cDNA with the RevertAid first strand cDNA synthesis kit (Fermentas, EU). The cDNA was then amplified with two different primer sets set5F/set5R, jhp0917F/jhp0917R (Table 1). All the cDNA samples were amplified with ureBF/ureBR (table1) to check the integrity of cDNA formed. Real time PCR was carried out in 12µl final volume with the step one plus (Applied Biosystem, USA) under the following the condition containing 75ng of cDNA, 10pmol each primer dupAsetIF/dupAsetIR, rpsTF/rpsTR (table 1) and Power SYBR Green master mix (Applied Biosystem, U.K). Polymerase activation at 95°C for 5 min followed by 40 cycle at 95°C for 15s, 55°C for 30s, and 62°C for 30s. Threshold cycle number (*C*<sub>T</sub>) of triplicate reactions was determined using the stepone software v2.1, and the mean *C*<sub>T</sub> of triplicate reactions was determined. The internal control gene *rpsT* was amplified simultaneously in separate reaction tubes under same condition.

The levels of expression of the dupA genes were normalized against *rpsT* as Δ*C*<sub>T</sub> = *C*<sub>T</sub> *dupA* - *C*<sub>T</sub> *rpsT*. The relative expression of dupA gene in *H. pylori* strains were calculated as 2<sup>-ΔΔC</sup><sub>T</sub>, where -ΔΔ*C*<sub>T</sub> = Δ*C*<sub>T</sub> (sample) - Δ*C*<sub>T</sub> (reference). Strain I-77 was used as reference in the real time PCR assay.

Statistical analysis: A univariate analysis was performed to determine the risk of dupA in relation to clinical outcome. For univariate analysis, *χ*<sup>2</sup> test was used. A Probability levels (*P*) value of ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant.
Result:

A total of 140 *H. pylori* strains were isolated from the enrolled 221 subjects, who underwent endoscopy. Subject with abdominal discomfort, acidity, loss of appetite but no frank ulceration was considered as non-ulcer dyspepsia (NUD) but those have visible duodenal ulceration endoscopically were considered as duodenal ulcer (DU) patients. These strains were isolated from the following two groups: (i) 83 DU patients and (ii) 57 NUD. Out of 83 (51 male and 32 female) DU cases, the mean age difference was 46 ± 10.72 and 43.7 ± 9.36 and among 57 (36 male and 21 female) NUD, the mean age difference was 32.4 ± 7.22 and 33.13 ± 6.84 respectively. The genomic DNA from these 140 strains was used for further PCR based analysis.

Distribution of *jhp0917, jhp0918, cagA* and *vacA*:

We first studied the presence of *jhp0917* and *jhp0918* in 140 strains from South East India, (83 with DU and 57 with NUD) using PCR and dot blot hybridization. *Jhp0917* was targeted with the specific primers *jhp0917F/jhp0917R* that yielded 307-bp amplicon. Similarly, *jhp0918* was also amplified with the gene specific primers *jhp0918F/jhp0918R* having amplicon size of 276-bp [Table 1 and Fig 1]. All strains that were positive for both the *jhp0917* and the *jhp0918* PCR were also positive in the dot blot hybridization. In addition, 5 PCR negative strains showed positive by dot blot due to strong binding of the probe (Fig 2). Hybridization results inferred that the interpretation of *jhp0917* and *jhp0918* positivity should not be considered only based on PCR result (Fig 2). All PCR and Dot-Blot Hybridization data indicated absence of both *jhp0917* and *jhp0918* in 68.5% (96/140) strains isolated from South-East India. Among the positive strains, three strains were positive only
Hybridization results showed that 38 strains had both the ORFs. The \textit{cagA} and \textit{vacA} status were determined using primers and protocols described earlier (Mukhopadhyay \textit{et al}, 2000; Chattopadhyay \textit{et al}, 2004). \textit{cagA} was present in 92.1\% (129/140) of the tested strains from this region. 70\% (98/140) of the strains had \textit{vacA} s1m1 allele. Other two alleles s1m2 and s2m2 of \textit{vacA} were present in 17.1\% (24/140) and 12.8\% (18/140), respectively. Status of \textit{cagA} and \textit{vacA} gene in \textit{jhp0917-0918} positive 38 strains isolated from South East Indian population was 34/38 (89.4\%) and 22/38 (57.8\%) respectively. Four strains isolated from DU patients were positive for \textit{jhp0917-0918} but negative for \textit{cagA} and had s2m2 allele of \textit{vacA}.

\textbf{Sequencing analysis}

Four different primer sets were used for sequencing a small fragment of \textit{jhp917} gene to search one base insertion that characterize \textit{dupA} as single PCR set was unable to yield PCR fragment from all 38 strains. Using these four sets of primers, junction region of all the \textit{jhp0917-jhp0918} positive 38 strains were sequenced and compared with the published sequence of strain J99 (GenBank access AE001439). We observed an insertion of C after position 1385 in the 3’region of \textit{jhp0917} gene in 35 out of 38 clinical isolates. The remaining three isolates were same as J99 where no insertion of either T or C was found but still these strains were PCR and dot-blot positive with the primers described earlier indicating these were not the true \textit{dupA} (Fig 3).

\textbf{Expression of \textit{dupA}}

We performed the RT-PCR of 35 \textit{dupA} positive strains and found that \textit{dupA} transcript was present in 28 strains and absent in remaining 7 strains indicating that \textit{dupA}
gene was not expressed in all strains (Fig 4A). Real time PCR were done with randomly
selected dupA positive strains and we found that level of dupA transcript varies in different
strains (Fig 4B).

dupA status from South-East Indian Population

In our study, 38 (31 DU and 7 NUD) clinical strains were positive for jhp0917-0918
by PCR and dot-blot hybridization. We found by sequencing that 35 strains had insertion of
C and three strains had no insertion of C/T like J99. During analysis by RT-PCR, we found
that 28 strains out of 35 were positive in the RT-PCR. On the basis of sequencing and RT-
PCR findings, we confirm that 28 (23 duodenal ulcer and 5 NUD) strains were dupA
positive and 7 (5 duodenal ulcer and 2 NUD) strains were negative for dupA. Our study
showed that the prevalence of dupA in duodenal ulcer patients 23/83 (27.7%) was
significantly higher than the NUD 5/57 (8.7%). [P=0.001, OR= 6.49, 95% CI=1.71-28.94].

Discussion

Recent studies have proposed the possibility of using genetic markers in the
plasticity zone as indicators of pathogenicity for H. pylori infection, in spite of a lack of
credible knowledge regarding the functions of the putatively encoded proteins in this cluster.
It seems that these determinants may play a key role in determining the virulence capacity of
H. pylori strains either directly or by encoding factors that may lead to varying clinical
outcomes. The association between some of the ORFs in the plasticity zone and various
disease categories has been previously reported. For instance, Occhialini et al. (2000) found
that two single ORFs (jhp0940 and jhp0947) were more prevalent in strains isolated from
patients with gastric adenocarcinoma in Costa Rica. However, Santos et al. (2003) showed
the association between jhp0947 and DU as well as GC in Brazilian patients. This was once
more confirmed for \textit{jhp0947} and \textit{jhp0949} genes in DU patients from the Netherlands (de Jonge \textit{et al.}, 2004).

Our study in Southeast Indian population demonstrated that \textit{dupA} gene was 6.5 times more prevalent in duodenal ulcer patients than non-ulcer patients. Hence, \textit{dupA} gene was significantly associated (\(P=0.001\)) with DU. Associations between the presence of \textit{dupA} and \textit{H. pylori}-diseases varies around the world (Nguyen \textit{et al.}, 2009, Argent \textit{et al.}, 2007, Gomes \textit{et al.}, 2008, Hussein \textit{et al.}, 2010). Several issues starting from geographical variations to study procedures have to be considered. In some studies, only one set of primer pairs for \textit{jhp0917} and \textit{jhp0918} was used (Lu \textit{et al.}, 2005; Zhang \textit{et al.}, 2008; Douraghi \textit{et al.}, 2008; Pacheco \textit{et al.}, 2008). Our study showed that 13\% (5/38) dot blot positive strains for \textit{jhp0917-jhp0918} failed to provide any amplicon by initial set of primers. But later on, different set of primers yielded amplicons of the same strains. Hence, use of multiple primer pairs is recommended for detection of the \textit{dupA} gene in future studies. Besides that, sequenced based analysis showed that 7.8 \% (3/38) \textit{jhp0917-jhp0918} positive strains did not have any insertion of C or T after position 1385 in the 3’region of \textit{jhp0917} indicating that they are not forming the \textit{dupA}. This report is inconsistent with previous reports in other populations, which indicated that all clinical isolates possessed a continuous \textit{dupA} gene (Douraghi \textit{et al.}, 2008; Schmidt \textit{et al.}, 2008; Gomes \textit{et al.}, 2008). Moreover, in our study not a single strain was detected with the insertion of T after position 1385 of \textit{jhp917}. RT-PCR analysis showed that 20\% (7/35) of the \textit{dupA} positive strains did not show any \textit{dupA} transcript. This contradicted the findings of Nguyen \textit{et al.} (2009) that \textit{dupA} was always expressed. Real time PCR analysis showed that expression level of \textit{dupA} transcript varies from strain to strain. A recent systematic review study demonstrated the importance of the
presence of the *dupA* gene for duodenal ulcer, especially in Asian countries (Shiota *et al.* 2010). Arachchi *et al.* (2007) showed that *dupA* gene was present in 37.5% and 22.8% of DU and Functional Dyspepsia patients, respectively from North India, but in our study *dupA* gene was present in 27.7% and 8.7% of DU and NUD patients, respectively. The reason of this variation of *dupA* prevalence in India might be due to the fact that their study did not include the sequencing of intergenic region of *jhp0917-918* to check the insertion of one nucleotide after position 1385 and RNA expression profile of *dupA* gene or might be related to the geographical genome variation of *H. pylori* as India is a big country with lots of diversities. Some studies reported that *dupA* gene have single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) that created a premature stop codon and may have considerable effects on protein expression or function (Gomes *et al.*, 2008; Hussein *et al.*, 2010; Queiroz *et al.*, 2011; Moura *et al.*, 2012). Moreover, Douraghi *et al.* (2008) reported that *dupA* was inversely associated with the histological feature dysplasia, a main pre-malignant and precancerous lesion associated with increased incidence of cancer in Iranian population. As a result, *dupA* gene may be applicable as a protective marker against GC development. But we were unable to study this hypothesis as we did not have samples from gastric cancer patients. However, a very recent study showed that the presence of a complete *dupA* cluster (type IV secretory system with *vir* genes around *dupA*) seemed to be important in DU development (Jung *et al.*, 2012).

In conclusion, infection with the *dupA*-positive *H. pylori* increased the risk for DU overall and this evidence was significant in Indian study. The gene *dupA* can be considered as an important biomarker for DU in Indian population. However, further studies are required to determine the functionality of *dupA* and its relationship with disease. The
discrepancy of *dupA* association with diseases outcome could be related to the limitation of PCR techniques for detecting the intact *dupA* gene or may be a consequence of the plasticity of *H. pylori*, which contributes to its genetic diversity and requires additional studies for a firm conclusion.
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Legends to Figures:

Figure 1: Schematic representation of dupA gene of clinical isolates with reference to strain j99 having region jhp0917 and jhp0918. Different sets of primers pair with different location of dupA gene for the amplification of PCR products.

Figure 2: PCR and Dot-Blot Hybridization result (A) Lane1, strain j99 act as a positive control having amplicon size 307bp, lane 2-8 clinical isolates. (B) Lane 1 strain J99 act as positive control 2, 5, 6 shows negative result by PCR but these same strains shows positive result by Dot-Blot Hybridization.

Figure 3: Nucleotide sequences alignment of partial sequences of dupA from position 1355bp to 1406bp, start codon of dupA gene is taken as position1. The asterisks show the positions where nucleotide sequences match and the hyphens represent deletions. Alignment was done by CLUSTAL 2.0.12 multiple sequence alignment tool. Shaded part shows variation.

Fig 4.

(A) Semiquantitative RT-PCR analysis of dupA gene of representative strains from India. 1st lane: 100bp marker. Lane 2-5 and lane 8-9 showed that dupA transcript was present but lanes 6-7 reflected absence of dupA transcript.

(B) dupA mRNA expression was analyzed in triplicate sample by qRT-PCR and data presented as mean (±S.D). Relative expression (rpsT normalized) of dupA gene in strains I-114, 217(4b), san77, 127(1a) compared with strain I-77.
Table 1: Primers used for the amplification and sequencing of dupA gene.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Sequence (5'-3')</th>
<th>Size (bp)</th>
<th>Annealing temp. (°C)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jhp0917R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jhp0918F</td>
<td>CCTATATCGCTAACGCAGCTC AACCTGAAGCGTCTTTAAGGAGC</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Lu et al.(2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jhp0918R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ureBF</td>
<td>CGTCCGGCAAATAGCTGCCCATAGT GAGGTCCTGCTAAGCAAGGCTTA</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ureBR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>set5F</td>
<td>CTAGCGAACAAGATTTTAATGAGATTCAATTCTTTTGACTTGAGTTT</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>set5R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DupA setIF</td>
<td>CGTGATCAATATGGATGCTT GCAAAGTGGTCCGTGATCT</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Gomes et al (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DupA setIR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rpsTF</td>
<td>GGCAAAATCATAGTTCCGCAGA AATCCCATGAAGGCGGTTCT</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rpsTR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DupA setIF</td>
<td>GGGAGATTGTCTGTCAGCGGTG GCAAAGTGGTCCGTGATCT</td>
<td>805</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DupA setIR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DupA setIF</td>
<td>GGGAGATTGTCTGTCAGCGGTG GCAAAGTGGTCCGTGATCT</td>
<td>1022</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jhp0918R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DupA setIF</td>
<td>CGTGATCAATATGGATGCTT GCAAAGTGGTCCGTGATCT</td>
<td>563</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DupA setIR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cagA3cR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Prevalence of dupA, cagA and vacA genes among the studied strains in India

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>DU</th>
<th>NUD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dupA</td>
<td>28 (20%)</td>
<td>23 (27.7%)</td>
<td>5 (8.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cagA</td>
<td>129 (92.1%)</td>
<td>76 (91.5%)</td>
<td>53 (92.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vacA s1m1</td>
<td>98 (70%)</td>
<td>59 (71%)</td>
<td>39 (68.4%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Fig 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lanes</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>158 (6b)</td>
<td>GAAGCAAAAAGAAACACAAACACCTTTTTCCCATTCTATTGATGAACCTAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-121</td>
<td>GAAGCAAAAAGAAACACAAACACCTTTTTCCCATTCTATTGATGAACCTAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San74</td>
<td>GAAGCAAAAAGAAACACAAACACCTTTTTCCCATTCTATTGATGAACCTAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>216 (1A)</td>
<td>GAAGCAAAAAGAAACACAAACACCTTTTTCCCATTCTATTGATGAACCTAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>osc17</td>
<td>GAAGCAAAAAGAAACACAAACACCTTTTTCCCATTCTATTGATGAACCTAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157 (1a)</td>
<td>GAAGCAAAAAGAAACACAAACACCTTTTTCCCATTCTATTGATGAACCTAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135 (1a)</td>
<td>GAAGCAAAAAGAAACACAAACACCTTTTTCCCATTCTATTGATGAACCTAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156 (2A)</td>
<td>GAAGCAAAAAGAAACACAAACACCTTTTTCCCATTCTATTGATGAACCTAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157 (9b)</td>
<td>GAAGCAAAAAGAAACACAAACACCTTTTTCCCATTCTATTGATGAACCTAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>GAAGCAAAAAGAAACACAAACACCTTTTTCCCATTCTATTGATGAACCTAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J99</td>
<td>GAAGCAAAAAGAAACACAAACACCTTTTTCCCATTCTATTGATGAACCTAAA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig 3**
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