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**FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS OF MAGNETIC FIELDS TAKING INTO ACCOUNT HYSTERESIS CHARACTERISTICS**

T. Nakata, N. Takahashi and Y. Kawase

**ABSTRACT**

A new technique for taking into account hysteresis has been developed. The paper describes the details of the method and the usefulness of the technique is clarified by applying it to the analysis of magnetic fields in single-phase transformer cores. The calculated results are in good agreement with the measured ones.

1. INTRODUCTION

Hysteresis characteristics of the core should be taken into account in order to calculate accurately the flux waveform at each point in the core. When reluctivity is used in the calculation, analysis becomes difficult because the reluctivity corresponding to the hysteresis loop is not continuous when the flux density is equal to zero[1,2].

In this paper, a new technique for taking into account hysteresis is described, which avoids this difficulty. The effects of hysteresis on the flux distributions and the flux waveforms in a transformer core are investigated using the new method.

2. METHOD OF ANALYSIS

2.1 Fundamental equations

In the finite element analysis of magnetic fields, a Poisson's equation, which is a function of magnetic vector potential and reluctivity, is solved[1]. The reluctivity can represent the hysteresis loop shown in Fig.1(a), but it becomes infinite at the points $a_1$ and $a_2$, where the flux densities $B$ are equal to zero as shown in Fig.1(b). Thus, the representation of the hysteresis loop is difficult using the reluctivity $v$. Alternately, if the hysteresis loop is represented by the magnetization $M$, and the relationship among $B$, $M$ and the intensity of the magnetic field $H$ is denoted by the following equation, the difficulty is avoided because the $M$-$B$ curve becomes a continuous one as shown in Fig.1(c).

$$B = \mu_0 H + M$$

Where $\mu_0$ is the permeability of air.

By applying the Ampere's circuital law to Eq.(1), the following equation is obtained:

$$\nabla \times (B - M) = J_0$$

Where $J_0$ denotes the current density. Eq.(2) may be rewritten as

$$\nu \nabla \times \nabla \times A = J_0 + J_m$$

Where $A$ is the magnetic vector potential. $J_m$ is the equivalent magnetizing current density and is expressed by

$$J_m = \nu \nabla \times \nabla \times M$$

In a two-dimensional analysis, Eqs.(3) and (4) may be written as

$$\nabla \times \nabla \times A = J_0 + J_m$$

$$\nu \nabla \times \nabla \times M$$

2.2 Finite element formulation

The following equation can be obtained by the Galerkin method from Eqs.(5) and (6) [3].

$$\frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial y^2} + J_0 + J_m = 0$$

$$J_m = \nabla \times (\nabla \times M)$$

Where $A$, $J_0$ and $J_m$ are the $z$-directional components of $A$, $J_0$ and $J_m$ respectively. $M_x$ and $M_y$ are the $x$- and $y$-directional components of $M$.
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where \( n \) is the number of nodes of which the vector potentials are unknown. \( G^{(m)} \) of an element \( e \) is calculated from Eq. (7) as follows:

\[
G^{(m)} = \frac{1}{3} S_{ke} A_{ke} \Delta (m) - \frac{1}{2} (M^{(m)} d^{(m)} - M^{(m)} e^{(m)})
\]

(8)

where \( S_{ke} \) is defined by

\[
S_{ke} = \frac{1}{4} \Delta (m) (c^{(m)} c_{ke} + d^{(m)} d_{ke})
\]

(9)

\( \Delta (m) \) is the area of the element \( e \), and \( c_{ke} \) and \( d_{ke} \) are denoted by

\[
c_{ke} = y_{ke} - y_{ke}
d_{ke} = x_{ke} - x_{ke}
\]

(10)

with the other coefficients obtained by a cyclic permutation of subscripts in the order \( i, j, k \). \( \partial G^{(m)}/\partial A_{j} \) can be derived from Eq. (9) as follows:

\[
\frac{\partial G^{(m)}}{\partial A_{j}} = \frac{\nu_{e} S_{ij}^{(m)}}{4 \Delta (m)} - \frac{\nu_{e} M^{(m)} d^{(m)} + \nu_{e} M^{(m)} e^{(m)}}{4 \Delta (m)}
\]

(11)

(12)

In Eq. (12), \( M_{x} \) and \( M_{y} \) are assumed to be the functions of the \( x \)- and \( y \)-components \( B_{x} \) and \( B_{y} \) of the flux density \( B \), respectively.

2.3 Process of calculation

Typical d.c. hysteresis loops are stored in a computer to represent hysteresis phenomena as shown in Fig. 2. Hysteresis loop 1 with the maximum flux density \( B_{m} \) is interpolated from these typical hysteresis loops as shown in Fig. 3. The new loop \( P-Q-R-S-P \) is obtained from two nearest typical loops 2 and 3. Two forms of the M-B curves, in the rolling and the transverse directions, are necessary to analyze the magnetic circuit when anisotropic material such as grain-oriented silicon steel is used.

The process of calculation is as follows: In the first few time steps (\( \omega t \)), the flux distribution is calculated using the initial magnetization curve denoted by the chain line in Fig. 3. If the flux density reaches the maximum value \( B_{m} \) at the point \( P \), demagnetization progresses along the upper branch a' of the hysteresis loop. When the demagnetization reaches a state of opposite magnetization at the point \( R \), remagnetization takes place along the lower branch b. The above-mentioned process is repeated until a steady state is reached.

3. EXAMPLES OF APPLICATION

Magnetic characteristics of single-phase, transformer cores are analyzed. In these problems, hysteresis characteristics should be taken into account in order to analyze the flux waveforms accurately.

3.1 Single-phase, two-limbed core

Figure 4 shows a quarter of the analyzed single-phase, two-limbed core. The core is made of 0.3(mm) thick highly-oriented silicon steel M-OH. The hysteresis loops are shown in Fig. 2. The core is excited by a sinusoidal voltage, and the overall flux density in the limb is 1.7(T).

Figure 5 shows the calculated flux distributions. Zero time is taken to be the instant when the flux density in the limb is at a maximum. Figure 6 shows the waveforms of the localized flux densities at the center of the limb. a-d correspond to the positions a-d in Fig. 4. As the waveforms are not so much distorted, the calculated results of such two-limbed core is liable to agree with the results measured as shown in Fig. 6.
3.2 Single-phase, four-limbed core

In a single-phase, four-limbed transformer core shown in Fig. 7, only the inner limbs are excited, while the outer limbs are free. Therefore, the phase difference and the distortion of the flux waveforms in a four-limbed core are greater than those in a two-limbed core. The magnetic characteristics of the core, shown in Fig. 7, are analyzed by taking into account hysteresis. The grade of silicon steel and the overall flux density are the same as those for the two-limbed core.

Figures 8 and 9 show the influence of hysteresis on the flux distributions. If hysteresis is neglected, the flux vanishes at \( \theta = 90^\circ \) as shown in Fig. 8(c). However, if hysteresis is taken into account, circulating fluxes exist at \( \theta = 90^\circ \) as shown in Fig. 9(c). The difference between the flux distributions at \( \theta = 75^\circ \) and \( 105^\circ \) is also caused by the hysteresis effect.

Figure 10 shows the waveforms of the localized flux densities. The waveform of each localized flux density is non-symmetric. The difference in phase angles among the localized flux densities is caused by the hysteresis effect. The calculated results with hysteresis show good agreements with the results measured.

The total CPU time in the calculation with hysteresis was about 6 times as much as that without hysteresis.

4. CONCLUSIONS

By representing the magnetization characteristic of an iron core with the magnetization \( M \), it became possible to analyze magnetic fields numerically taking into account hysteresis. It is found that a circulating flux exists at the instant when the overall flux is zero, and that there exist phase differences among the waveforms of localized flux densities due to the hysteresis effect. If hysteresis is neglected, there are large differences in waveforms between the measured and the calculated fluxes, especially in single-phase transformer cores. Fairly accurate solutions, however, can be obtained by taking into account hysteresis.

It is hoped that this technique may be expanded to the treatment of rotational hysteresis.
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